EXHIBIT 35

_			
		Page 1	
	IN THE	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE EAS	STERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA	
		SOUTHERN DIVISION	
	Civ	vil Action No. 7:23-cv-00897	
	IN RE: CAMP LES	JEUNE WATER LITIGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:			
	ALL CASES		
	VIDEOTAPED		
	DEPOSITION OF:	MORRIS MASLIA	
	DATE:	September 26, 2024	
	TIME:	9:22 a.m.	
	LOCATION:	BELL LEGAL GROUP	
		219 North Ridge Street	
		Georgetown, SC	
	TAKEN BY:	Counsel for the Defendants	
	REPORTED BY:	Lauren A. Balogh, RPR	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
2	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS LEADERSHIP GROUP:
3	MOTLEY RICE
	BY: KEVIN R. DEAN
4	HANK YOUNG (via videoconference)
	28 Bridgeside Boulevard
5	Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
	(843) 216-9000
6	Kdean@motleyrice.com
	Hyoung@motleyrice.com
7	_
0	WEITZ & LUXENBERG
8	BY: LAURA J. BAUGHMAN
0	700 Broadway
9	New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500
10	(212) 558-5500 L.baughman@weitzlux.com
11	LEWIS & ROBERTS
	BY: JAMES A. ROBERTS, III
12	3700 Glenwood Avenue
	Suite 410
13	Raleigh, NC 27612
	(919) 981-0191
14	Jar@lewis-roberts.com
15	BELL LEGAL GROUP
	BY: J. EDWARD BELL, III
16	PATRICK TELAN
	(Via videoconference)
17	219 North Ridge Street
	Georgetown, SC 29440
18	(843) 546-2408
	Ebell@belllegalgroup.com
19	Ptelan@belllegalgroup.com
20	AUMODNEVO EOD DEEENDAND
21	ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:
22	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
८ ८	BY: HAROON ANWAR
23	175 N Street NE
	Washington DC, 20005
24	(202) 616-4473
_	Haroon.Anwar@usdoj.gov
25	

			Page 3
1 2	APPEARANCES	CONTINUED:	
3		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: GIOVANNI ANTONUCCI 1100 L Street NW	
4		Washington DC, 20005 (202) 616-8364	
5		Giovanni.antonucci@usdoj.gov	
6		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: ADAM BAIN	
7		(Via videoconference) 175 N Street NE	
8		Washington DC, 20005 (202) 616-4209	
9		Adam.bain@usdoj.gov	
10		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: ALLISON M. O' LEARY	
11		(Via videoconference) 175 N Street NE	
12		Washington DC, 20005 (202) 616-4231	
13 14		Allison.o'leary@usdoj.gov	
15	ALSO	PRESENT:	
16		Matthew Walters, Videographer	
17		Justine Walters, Department of and Human Services	f Health
18		Deanna Havai, Motley Rice (Via videoconference)	
19			
20		Alex Spiliotopoulos (Via videoconference)	
21		Mona Lisa Wallace	
		(Via videoconference)	
22			
23 24	((INDEX AT REAR OF TRANSCRIPT)	
25			

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now on record.

Today's date is September 26th, 2024 and the time

is 9:22 a.m. This is the video deposition in

regards to the Camp Lejeune water litigation Case

No. 7:23-CV-00897 per the U.S. District Court for

the Eastern District of North Carolina. Our

deponent today is Morris Maslia.

THE WITNESS: Maslia.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Maslia. Thank you.

10 Morris Maslia.

8

9

13

17

19

20

21

2.2

23

Will our court reporter please swear in our witness.

MORRIS MASLIA

14 being first duly sworn, testified as follows:

15 EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Maslia.
- 18 A. Good morning.
 - Q. I would like to -- and thank you for your patience while we worked out the technical issues. I would like to start by having you state and spell your full name for the record as well as provide your address.
- A. Okay. My first name is Morris,
- 25 M-O-R-R-I-S. Middle name Lavi, L-A-V-I. Last name

- 1 | Maslia, M-A-S-L-I-A. My address is 3360 Norfolk,
- 2 N-O-R-F-O-L-K, Chase, C-H-A-S-E, Drive, Peachtree
- 3 | Corners, Georgia 30092, USA.
- 4 Q. Thank you. My name is Haroon Anwar.
- 5 I'm an attorney with the U.S. Department of
- 6 Justice. I'm here to take your deposition today as
- 7 | it --
- MR. ANWAR: It looks like it says the
- 9 Zoom is muted. I'm sorry. Good?
- 10 MR. DEAN: Giovanni needs to turn off
- 11 his mic.
- MR. ANWAR: Okay. Good? All right.
- 13 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 14 O. I'll start over. My name is Haroon
- 15 Anwar. I'm here with the U.S. Department of
- 16 Justice along with my colleague, Giovanni
- 17 | Antonucci. I'm here to take your deposition today
- 18 | related to the Camp Lejeune Justice Act Litigation.
- 19 Do you understand that?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- MR. DEAN: Before we go further, we
- 23 | really need to introduce ourselves on the record.
- 24 This is Kevin Dean on behalf of the plaintiffs.
- MR. ANWAR: Sure.

MS. BAUGHMAN: Laura Baughman on behalf of plaintiffs.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. ROBERTS: Jim Roberts appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs.
- MR. DEAN: Can we also have identified on the record the DOJ attorneys present both on the record -- I mean, in the room and on the -- on the Zoom call, if any, and any representatives.
 - MR. ANWAR: I'm happy to do that. I think we had discussed just noting them on the stenographic record so we don't have to spend the time, but in the room is myself and my colleague, Giovanni Antonucci. Then on behalf of ATSDR here is Justine Walters. And then I don't have the list of everyone on the Zoom with me, but...
 - MR. DEAN: Is there any experts or other consultants with the DOJ appearing for this deposition?
- MR. ANWAR: Yes, the same gentleman
 that appeared at the last deposition of
 Mr. Sautner.
- MR. DEAN: Okay. And what was his name, remind me.
- MR. ANWAR: It was Alex --
- MR. DEAN: Yeah, Alex.

1 MR. ANWAR: Yeah.

MR. DEAN: I remember.

MS. BAUGHMAN: What's the last name?

MR. ANWAR: It's Spiliotopoulos.

MR. DEAN: Thank you.

MR. ANWAR: Okay. Good?

BY MR. ANWAR:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

Q. Now, I understand that you've provided at least one deposition before, so you may -- you may know the rules already, so forgive me if I'm sort of repeating myself, but I just want to go over the basics of deposition taking or deposition -- for a deposition so that the deposition will be -- can be as smooth as possible today.

The first and foremost rule is that you are under the same oath to tell the truth as if you were in an actual court of law. Do you understand that?

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Is there any reason, as you sit here today, that you would be unable to testify truthfully?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. If you don't hear me ask my question or if I ask a confusing question or an

unclear question, which is very likely given some of the topics we get into today, would you please let me know?

A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Otherwise, I'll assume you -- you understood my question, fair?
 - A. Fair.
- Q. Okay. For the court reporter's sake, could you please respond verbally. The head nods and head shakes and those types of things just don't show up on the record.
 - A. Understood.
- Q. Okay. And also for the court reporter's sake, can you please wait for me to finish my question before responding. That way our court reporter isn't trying to type people speaking over each other.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. And finally, I think, you know, we'll be here at least for a couple of hours. If at any time you need a break, I'm happy to accommodate you. The only stipulation I would put on that, if there's a pending question, I would ask that you answer my pending question and then we can go ahead and take a break.

Page 9 Understood. 1 Α. 2. Ο. Thank you. 3 MR. ANWAR: Gio, can you go ahead and pull up the first exhibit. 4 5 (DFT. EXHIBIT 1, subpoena to testify at a deposition in a civil action, was marked for 6 identification.) BY MR. ANWAR: 8 9 Ο. Okay. What is being shown on the 10 screen now is --11 MR. DEAN: Can we let it pause for just 12 a second because it doesn't automatically show up 13 in the folder. MS. BAUGHMAN: Yeah, we don't --14 MR. ANWAR: You don't have it? 15 16 MR. DEAN: We don't have it. 17 MR. ANWAR: Oh, okay. 18 MR. DEAN: You have to drop it to folder. Sometimes you have to refresh. Okay. 19 I 20 see the subpoena and the deposition. 21 MR. ANWAR: There should be two 22 subpoenas and a deposition. MR. DEAN: I see that now. Okay. 23 24 We're good to go.

Great.

MR. ANWAR: Okay.

1	MR. DEAN: So you just have to hit
2	refresh on your screen and you should be good.
3	MS. BAUGHMAN: Right here.
4	MR. DEAN: Yep.
5	BY MR. ANWAR:
6	Q. So the first exhibit that I've put up
7	for you or that we've put up for you, Exhibit
8	No. 1, is the subpoena scheduling your deposition
9	here today. Have you seen this before?
10	MR. DEAN: Hold on just a second. So
11	show me which they're not marked as exhibit
12	numbers, so which one are you referring to? Give
13	me the file name. I'm going to pull it up on the
14	screen for him.
15	MR. ANWAR: Oh, I've got you. It is
16	the one described deposition subpoena.
17	MR. DEAN: There's two deposition
18	subpoenas.
19	MR. ANWAR: There's a document subpoena
20	and then a deposition subpoena.
21	MR. DEAN: Okay. So which you're
22	using the depo?
23	MR. ANWAR: Correct.
24	MR. DEAN: Okay.

l BY MR. ANWA	.R	:
-----------------	----	---

2.2

- Q. You see it?
- A. Yes, yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Have you -- have you seen the deposition subpoena before?
- A. Could you just scroll to the bottom so I can them? Yes, yes, sir, I have.
- Q. Okay. And you understand it's a subpoena that we're here today to -- it's the subpoena here that -- that brought you in today for today's deposition?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you understand that we're here in connection with the Camp Lejeune Justice Act Water Litigation pending in the Eastern

 District of North Carolina?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you understand that the United States has subpoenaed you for your testimony as a fact witness in your capacity as a former ATSDR employee?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In other words, I understand that you've been retained as a consultant for the plaintiffs, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. So I'm here to ask you questions today related to your time as a government
- 4 | employee. Do you understand that?
 - A. I understand that.
 - Q. Okay.
- 7 MR. ANWAR: Gio, can you pull up
- 8 Exhibit 2.

1

2

3

5

- 9 (DFT. EXHIBIT 2, subpoena to produce
- 10 documents, information or objects or to permit
- 11 inspection of premises in a civil action, was
- 12 marked for identification.)
- MR. DEAN: And if you want to, moving
- 14 | forward, either Giovanni can rename the file and
- 15 | add "EX1" in front of file name or -- which is what
- 16 | I did, or just read me the --
- MR. ANWAR: Exhibit 2 is the deposition
- 18 | subpoena.
- MR. DEAN: Okay. So just give me the
- 20 | -- the name of the file and I'll click on it and
- 21 show it to him.
- 22 | MR. ANWAR: Got it. Yeah.
- MS. BAUGHMAN: You meant the document
- 24 subpoena?
- MR. ANWAR: I'm sorry. Yes, the

1 document subpoena. Kidding.

MR. DEAN: So I've got it up.

MR. ANWAR: Oh, you have it up. Okay.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Do you see Exhibit 2, Mr. Maslia?

A. This looks like Exhibit 1 that you just showed me.

MR. DEAN: No, this is the deposition.

One was a document subpoena.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

MR. DEAN: This is a deposition

12 subpoena.

13 THE WITNESS: Okay.

14 BY MR. ANWAR:

Q. And if you scroll down to the
Attachment A, I'll represent to you, Mr. Maslia,
that through the subpoena, the United States
requested the production of a number of documents
related to Camp Lejeune. And I will also note for
the record that we received from your counsel a
production -- an electronic production of roughly
four thousand or so pages about a week and a half
ago. And this morning we -- a hard copy -- a box,
a banker's box full of hard copy documents was made
available to us for inspection, so thank you for

1 producing that information.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Is that the information that you intended to produce in response to the government's document subpoena?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I just had a few questions about the documents in the bankers's box. It looked to me that the majority of the items in the banker's box were copies of the ATSDR water modeling reports related to Camp Lejeune. Is that your understanding as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. There were a couple symposium papers in -- in the banker's box. Do you recall if those are publicly available or not?
- A. They would be available, some of them, from the organization that made the presentation on their behalf --
 - 0. 0kay.
- A. -- that requested me to do that. I can't answer yes or no whether they're publicly available.
- Q. Understood. And then there was -- on the back of the ATSDR water modeling reports there were some discs. The vast majority of the discs

appeared to be the original discs that would have been included with the reports; is that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. There was at least one disc in there that looked like it was a burned copy of a disc with some handwriting -- with handwriting on it. Do you know if that information would have been included with the original copy of the report?
- A. I would have to see the report and the disc.
- Q. Okay. I think maybe we can take a look at it at break, but we would formally request production of the symposium reports and the items on the handwritten discs.

MR. DEAN: At a break just point it out. I know what you're talking about, the presentations, but just point out to me the CD and maybe I can burn it while we're here today or something.

MR. ANWAR: Okay. Sounds good.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

Q. I wanted to briefly ask you about your search process in terms of responding to the document subpoena. What did you do to gather documents to -- to produce in response to the

1	subpoena	?
---	----------	---

2.2

2.5

- A. I had copies in my home basement office and so I pulled everything, all reports, with respect to Camp Lejeune, and then I was also instructed that you required the symposium presentation, so I actually printed those all off because I had them as, obviously, electronic versions.
- Q. Understood. To the best of your knowledge, are there any documents that were requested by the subpoena that you haven't already produced or given to your counsel?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. If you think of anything as we're talking today, would you let me know?
 - A. Twill.
 - Q. Thank you.
- How did you prepare for today's deposition?
- A. I just reviewed my electronic versions of some of the Camp Lejeune reports that I was involved with as well as some of the more recent presentations that I made just to refresh my mind as to the concepts, the approaches, that we used.
 - Q. Understood. Are those -- those

Page 17 presentations were produced in response to the 1 2. subpoena, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Ο. Thank you. 5 Did you review any other documents aside from the ones you just identified? 6 7 Α. No. Did you meet with counsel? 8 Ο. Α. Prior to the deposition? 10 Ο. Correct. 11 No. Α. 12 In preparation for the deposition. Q. 13 Α. No. 14 Did you meet with counsel this morning? Ο. 15 Α. I saw him this morning. 16 Okay. About how long did that meeting Ο. 17 last? About five minutes. 18 Α. 19 And is that the only time that you've Ο. 20 met with a lawyer to prepare for today's 21 deposition? 2.2 Α. I really did not meet with a lawyer to 23 prepare for today's -- with an attorney to prepare 24 for today's deposition. 2.5 Okay. Okay. Did you bring any Q.

documents with you asides from the documents in the banker's box?

- A. No.
- Q. I'm going to mark for the record

 Exhibit 3 as the signed Morris Maslia deposition.

6 (DFT. EXHIBIT 3, deposition of Morris

7 | Maslia dated June 30, 2010 Bates-stamped

8 CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000049487 through 49712, was

9 marked for identification.)

10 BY MR. ANWAR:

- 11 Q. Mr. Maslia, can you see Exhibit 3?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I will represent to you this is
- 14 a copy of your deposition transcript -- or a copy
- of the transcript from your deposition on
- June 30th, 2010 in the Laura Jones versus United
- 17 | States matter.
- 18 Do you recall sitting for that
- 19 deposition?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. And if you scroll to the very
- 22 end of the document, close to the end, it's
- 23 | starting on --
- MR. DEAN: Just give me the page number
- 25 and I can --

MR. ANWAR: Yeah, it's starting on page 2 215 of the -- 226 of the PDF.

THE WITNESS: 187.

MR. DEAN: Huh?

THE WITNESS: It says 187 on there.

MR. DEAN: What's --

MR. ANWAR: Yeah, that's correct

actually.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. 215 of the PDF, page 187, which you're looking at right now, did you have an opportunity to review your testimony from that deposition?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you can feel free to look through the next few pages from 187 on. Is that your handwriting completing the errata or the correction sheet there for the deposition?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And on the last page of the errata sheet, which is just 225 of the PDF, 197 of the document, at the bottom there, is that your signature at the bottom of the page?
 - A. Yes, that is my signature.
 - Q. Okay. And that prior deposition in

June 2010 in the Laura Jones matter, you gave that deposition under an oath to tell the truth as well, correct?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And did you testify truthfully during that deposition?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. And do you stand by your prior deposition testimony today?
 - A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And at that time in June 2010, when you sat for that deposition, were you employed by the ATSDR?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And in June of 2010, ATSDR's water modeling efforts related to Tarawa Terrace would have been completed and the report published, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And as of June 2010, ATSDR's water modeling efforts related to Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard would have been ongoing?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Other than that prior -- and let me -- let me clarify. That was in the Laura Jones

Page 21 matter, but that -- that case was also a Camp 1 Lejeune case, correct? It was never represented to me as to 3 Α. what case it was. 4 5 Ο. Okay. I was just requested to provide a 6 Α. 7 deposition. Okay. And did you testify about your 8 Ο. 9 work at ATSDR related to Camp Lejeune? 10 Α. Yes, I did. 11 Okay. Other than that prior Ο. 12 deposition, have you testified either in a deposition or a trial before? 13 14 Α. No. 15 Ο. So that was -- that's the only time 16 that you've testified? 17 Α. Yes. Okay. I am uploading --18 Q. 19 MR. DEAN: Exhibit 4? 20 MR. ANWAR: Yes. 21 MR. DEAN: Okay. 2.2 MR. ANWAR: Actually upload both at the same time, but I'll identify Exhibit 4. 23 24 MR. DEAN: Maslia CV? 25 MR. ANWAR: Correct.

Page 22 (DFT. EXHIBIT 4, resume for Morris L. 1 2 Maslia Bates-stamped CLJA ATSDR BOVE 0000073110 and 73111, was marked for identification.) 3 BY MR. ANWAR: 4 5 Mr. Maslia, you should have before you what is being marked as Exhibit 4. Is that a copy 6 of your CV at least as of January 2018? Could you scroll to the bottom of the 8 Α. 9 page so I can see the date on it? 10 Sure. Ο. 11 Yes, that is correct. Α. 12 And feel free to -- to look through the Q. 13 entire CV. There's two pages. 14 MR. DEAN: Yeah, so I'll just have to 15 work --16 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 17 MR. DEAN: Okay. 18 THE WITNESS: Okay. That's actually --

19 I need to correct that. That's actually a resume.

20 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. It's a resume. Okay.
- A. I distinguish between a CV and a resume.
- Q. How -- in your mind, how do you distinguish between a resume and a CV?

- A. A resume should be no longer than two pages, whereas, a CV can be 10, 20, 30 or multiple tens of pages and it provides more specificity on publications, on job activities, and stuff like that. It's more detailed.
- Q. Understood. As of January 2018, would this have been a true and accurate copy of your resume?
 - A. Yes, it would have.
 - Q. Do you also maintain a CV separately?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have an updated version of your CV available?
- A. Not with me on my person, but there is an updated CV.
 - Q. Okay. If we were to -- and I'll make the record on the record. We will request a copy of that CV. Would you be willing to produce it to us?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- MR. DEAN: No objection.
- 23 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. And given that this is a resume and it's abbreviated from your CV, I assume there are

experiences and presentations and articles and things like that that are not reflected on this resume; is that right?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and mark -- show you Exhibit 5, which is a copy of your LinkedIn profile.

8 (DFT. EXHIBIT 5, LinkedIn profile page 9 for Morris L. Maslia, was marked for 10 identification.)

11 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- 12 Q. Can you see Exhibit 5, Mr. Maslia?
- 13 A. I see it on the screen.
- Q. Oh, it's also up there. Okay. Yeah scroll to the end.

I'll represent to you that I printed this a week or so ago on 9/20, it looks like, so less than a week ago. Is this a true and accurate copy of your current LinkedIn profile?

- A. It appears to be.
- Q. Okay. And are there experiences, articles, presentations, those types of things that are not necessarily reflected on your LinkedIn profile?
- A. Yes.

Q. But those would be reflected in your CV?

A. That is correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. I would like to talk to you a little bit about your -- your educational background. As I understand it from your prior testimony and just the -- the resume and LinkedIn, you graduated with a bachelor's of civil engineering from Georgia Tech?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you graduated in 1976?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Did you have a particular focus?
- A. Not under the bachelor's degree other than general civil engineering.
- Q. Did you do any modeling course work in your undergraduate study?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you tell me about that?
- A. We did some basic fluid mechanics. We would call it modeling using numerical methods to represent mathematical equations. We also did some open channel flow.
- Q. Understood. Anything else that comes to mind?

- Q. Did you complete any sort of, like, senior year thesis or capstone paper?
- A. They did not have a senior year thesis for the undergraduate degree at Georgia Tech.
- Q. Understood. Then I see you also graduated from Georgia Tech with a master of science in civil engineering; is that right?
 - A. That is correct, sir.
- Q. And it looks like you graduated in 1980?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Did you have a particular focus in your master's program?
- A. Yes, it was water resources, fluid mechanics, numerical analysis.
- Q. Did you perform any sort of modeling course work in your master's program?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell me about that?
- A. I worked with and actually developed what's referred to as a very -- variably saturated or saturated/unsaturated flow model.
- Q. Can you describe for me the unsaturated versus saturated flow model that you developed?

- A. It's fully described in my thesis some

 40 -- 50 years ago, however, very briefly --
 - O. Sure.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.5

- A. -- going down from land surface before you hit the water table, which is referred to the saturated zone below that, there's an unsaturated zone that contains air, vapor and some water particles, and that's a more complex analysis than just looking at the water table and going below the water table.
 - O. Understood. Thank you.
- Is your -- you said your thesis related to that model?
- A. Yes, yes, it related to a numerical model developed for that.
 - Q. Was your thesis published?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Do you know if that publication is publicly available?
- A. It should be publicly available from the Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Q. Understood. Did you -- I understand that Mustafa Aral, was he one of your professors?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did he publish that paper with you?

- A. Not the thesis. That's under the graduate student's name.
 - Q. Okay. I saw a number of articles that you have published with Professor Aral or Dr. Aral.
 - A. Right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. And so your thesis related to the model you just described, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And as I understand it, you do not have a doctorate or Ph.D. degree?
- A. I do not. I took course work, but I did not complete the doctoral dissertation.
- Q. Understood. How much course work did you complete towards the Ph.D.?
- A. All of the required one, which I believe is at least 80. Back then it was quarter hours.
- Q. And did you have a particular focus with respect to the -- the Ph.D. courses that you took?
- A. Again, it was a greater emphasis on water resources, environmental fate and transport, and numerical modeling.
- Q. And I think a moment ago you stated that you did not complete the Ph.D. thesis,

1 | correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you publish any other papers or articles coming out of your graduate level Ph.D. work?
 - A. I did as part of my job with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission around 1980.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. There were a couple of articles.
- Q. Would all of those articles be reflected on your CV?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the textbook Applied Groundwater Modeling: Simulation of Flow and Advective Transport by Mary Anderson?
- A. Yes, I am.
 - Q. Okay. I believe the authors listed -- listed on it are Mary Anderson, William Woessner, and Randall Hunt; does that sound right?
 - A. That sounds right.
 - Q. Okay. Would you agree that textbook is established as a reliable authority in the field of groundwater modeling?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I could not say one way

- 2 or the other.
- 3 BY MR. ANWAR:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. Have you -- have you reviewed or used that textbook before?
 - A. I've -- I've used it as a reference.
 - Q. And what have you used it as a reference for, in what context?
 - A. General modeling applications to -- if I'm searching for a particular technique or if someone else has used a technique and what their opinion of that technique is.
 - Q. Can you recall any specific examples where -- where you've referenced that textbook?
 - A. Not at this time, no.
 - Q. Are you familiar with any of the authors of that textbook?
 - A. I'm familiar with Dr. Mary Anderson.
- 19 O. Do you know her?
- 20 A. I have met her professionally at a conference a number of years ago.
- Q. Have you -- have you worked with her at all?
- A. No, I have not.
- Q. Do you respect her in the field of

groundwater modeling?

2.

2.2

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Are you familiar with the textbook

 Modeling Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Transport

 by Jacob Bear and Alexander Cheng?
 - A. Yes.

MR. DEAN: Hold on. So I'm going to allow you, if you're going to continue to do this, if you'll give me a continuing objection. My problem is you're not designating a time frame with respect to your question. So to -- to the extent, as you know, he's been retained by the plaintiffs as our consulting expert since July the 15th of 2022. And to the extent you're asking him any questions that relate to that time period, from that time to the present, I make an objection. I'm not instructing him not to answer the question or anything like that, but I'm just saying, you know, this is not related to the facts of what went on with regard to his deposition.

MR. ANWAR: Sure. And I will give you that objection and I will -- a couple of things.

One -- well, I'll rephrase the question, but if we could sort of limit the speaking objections, I would appreciate it as well.

1 MR. DEAN: Yeah.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. So understanding that you've been retained as a consultant for the plaintiffs in the litigation I believe as of June 2022, I'm not interested in what you've reviewed or what you've discussed with them from June 2022 forward, but prior to your retention as a consultant with the plaintiffs in the litigation, have you reviewed the textbook Modeling Groundwater Flow and Contaminant Flow by Jacob Bear and Alexander Cheng?
- A. I've seen that -- that particular book. I've used other books by Jacob Bear.
 - O. Okay. So you're familiar with it?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you consider that textbook as a reliable authority in the field of groundwater modeling?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question and I am going to instruct him -- I'm going -- I'm not going to instruct him not to answer the question, but, again, you're not asking him questions about facts in this case. You're asking him about whether or not he has a current day opinion on whether some particular periodical

1 is reliable.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So I'm going to not instruct him to answer the question, but I thought we had an agreement that -- and I did it with Dr. Rennix. So you're asking him about something he -- a current opinion and that is not what we agreed to.

MR. ANWAR: And Kevin, your objection is noted and I'm going to ask you to limit your speaking objections. Mr. Maslia is here to testify, not you. And I will rephrase my question.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Prior to your involvement in this litigation as a consultant, would you have considered that textbook as a reliable authority in the field of groundwater modeling?
 - A. Not that particular textbook.
 - Q. Okay. Why not?
- A. There are other textbooks that not only I, but many, many other people rely on that are considered more classic textbooks in groundwater hydrology and modeling.
- Q. And again, I'm asking about your personal knowledge --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- prior to your involvement --

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. -- as a consultant in this litigation. So with that qualification, what are some of those other textbooks?
- A. There's Dynamics of Fluids by Jacob
 Bear. And then there's Groundwater Hydraulics by
 Jacob Bear. And then there's, I think, also
 Groundwater Hydrology or Hydraulics, I don't
 remember exactly, by Freeze and Cherry.
- Q. Okay. And prior to your -- your retention as a consultant for the plaintiffs, would you have considered those reliable authorities in the field of groundwater modeling or modeling generally?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Reliable textbooks that I would use to refer if I had groundwater or geohydrology questions, they do contain sections on modeling, but I would not necessarily call them a modeling book.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Understood. Do you know Jacob Bear?
- A. I don't know him personally.
- Q. But you're familiar with him through

1 his work?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you respect him in the field of groundwater modeling?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know Alexander Cheng?
- A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Shifting gears a little bit, I want to talk about your -- your professional background.

 As I understand it, you -- you started out your career as a research hydrologist at the United

 States Geological Survey in 1980; is that right?
 - A. That is not correct.
 - Q. Okay. Well, please correct me.
 - A. I started as a hydraulic engineer with the Federal Power Commission in Washington D.C. in 1976.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Then I transferred to their office in at Atlanta and the agency name became Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. And then in 1980 I transferred as a hydrologist to the U.S. Geological Survey.
- Q. Understood. Thank you for that clarification. For that position in 1976 to 1980,

remind me, what was the title of the position?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. I was a hydraulic engineer, part of the civil engineering series in the government.
- Q. What were your -- like, what was your role and what were your responsibilities as a hydraulic engineer?
- A. The agency was a regulatory agency to inspect private hydroelectric dams that produced power, so we would inspect those dams and do analyses on the structural competency of those dams.
- Q. Understood. Did you do any modeling in that role from '76 to '80?
- A. I did one model with respect to using my master's dissertation on a dam in Georgia.
 - Q. Can you tell me about that model?
- A. It was the saturated/unsaturated flow model, and one of the concerns of hydraulic engineers is when you build a dam, when you fill it or lower the reservoirs, that it becomes unstable based on pressures. So we did an analysis of Wallace Dam owned by the Georgia Power Company just for -- looking at the safety factors.
- Q. How did you use that model to help you look at the question that you just described?

1 Well, you use measured water levels, field conditions, and then change some condition 2 based on whether they're filling the reservoir or 3 emptying the reservoir, you put in soil properties 4 5 into the model and then the model produces results. And in the case of this model it produces pressures 6 and hydraulic heads and then you can determine if those are exceeding or not exceeding certain 8 factors that would make the dam safe or unsafe. 10

Q. And do you make that determination by comparing sort of data that you collect along the way to the predictions of the model?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. That is correct, but we did not collect the data. That was obtained from the Georgia Power Company.
- Q. Would that model be fairly described as a forecasting model?
- A. It was applied to the present conditions of the day, okay, so it did not go out in time, which is what I would consider it a forecasting model.
- Q. Understood. You said it was applied to the present conditions and time, so it would not have been a hindcasting or reconstruction either, correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
 - Q. Did you do any other work related to models in that role from 1976 to '80?
 - A. No.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And then in 1980, did you join the U.S. Geological Survey as a research hydrologist?
- A. I joined in 1980 as a hydrologist. And then you had the opportunity based whether you wanted to take administrative track or a research track to be reclassified under the Office of Personnel Management's Research Grade Evaluation program. And so probably two or three years later I was promoted under the Research Grade Evaluation program based on publications and other criteria that that -- that RGE program requires.
- Q. Understood. And were you promoted to research hydrologist?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. How long did you work at the U.S.
 Geological Survey?
 - A. From 1980 to, I believe it was, 19 -- November of 1989.
 - Q. And could you describe for me sort of at a high level what you did in that role during

that time?

2.2

2.4

- A. I did groundwater analyses using modeling techniques.
- Q. Okay. Can you provide me with specific examples of the ways in which you used modeling techniques in relation to groundwater?
- A. I was working on a congressionally funded project called the Regional Aquifer-Systems Analysis program or RASA that U.S. Geological Survey was doing all over the country. And being in the southeast we were looking at sections of the Florida aquifer system. And so we applied finite difference groundwater flow models to southwest Georgia and northwest Florida.
- Q. And what was the purpose of using the model in that context with respect to the work you were doing in Florida and southwest Georgia?
- A. To establish predevelopment conditions going back to the late 1800s of groundwater levels. And then also to establish, at that time, present day, which would have been about 1980 to '84 or '85, the current groundwater level conditions after the onset of pumping.
- Q. Did you actually use that model to, I guess, reconstruct conditions all the way back to

1800?

2.

2.2

- A. We used data that was -- that was available back then because of artesian wells that's included as part of the model.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain for me how you use that data to look back to 1800?
- A. Well, you need to start a model at a starting point where you know what the water levels are. So if there was no pumping going on and you had reports through the literature, through historical documents, of people seeing water levels going ten feet above land surface or 20 feet above land surface, you can use that as an estimate to estimate predevelopment conditions along with the aquifer properties.
- Q. Was there data available going back in time to the 1800s?
- A. There's sparse data, but there are some data points, yes.
- Q. Understood. And what did you ultimately use that model for again?
- A. To assess the water resources conditions for the present time, which I'm referring to, you know, the 1980s. There was a question in some of the areas in northwest Florida

as to how much drinking water would be available
for future use 20, 30, 50 years out. There was a
question in southwest Georgia as to how much more
available agricultural land that they could
irrigate by installing additional water supply
wells.

- Q. Would it be fair to characterize -- or would it be fair to characterize the use of that model as a sort of planning tool or urban sort of planning development tool?
 - A. It would be a planning tool.
- Q. Okay. Did you perform any other work related to modeling in your role with the USGS?
 - A. Yes, I did.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Can you tell me about that?
- A. I conducted, along with a colleague, studies at Hyde Park, New York, which is part of the Love Canal/Hyde Park superfund area. We were requested to assist the USEPA in determining the time it would take a water particle that had been contaminated to travel from the Hooker chemical landfill to the Niagara gorge.
- Q. Understood. Can you describe for me a little bit how you were able to do that using the modeling techniques or a model?

Well, my -- my colleague had been a 1 2 geohydrologist with USGS in the early 1960s when 3 they were actually digging the power canals there, so he observed and witnessed water where water was 4 5 coming out and the geology. And so we put that into the model. Obviously we were 20 years later. 6 And then we had some current, at the time, water level measurements, and so we adjusted model 8 9 parameters, hydraulic conductivities, soil 10 saturation properties to come up with, you know, 11 the current conditions. 12

Q. Would it be fair to characterize that model, the Hyde Park model, as a planning tool as well?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. No, I would -- I would consider it a -- an analysis tool, okay? It's -- because we were not requested to do any planning.
- Q. You were -- when you say you would describe it as an analysis tool, what were you analyzing?
- A. We were requested by USEPA to determine how long it would take a water particle assuming, the water particle was contaminated, to travel along a flow path from -- from a landfill to the Niagara gorge.

	Q.	•	Would i	t be	e fair	r to	char	racte	erize	that
model	as	a	predicti	ve r	model	beca	ause	you	are	
planni	ing		_							

- A. Yes, that would have been a predictive model.
- Q. Understood. Any other work related to models in your role at USGS?
- A. Yes, I started to work on a model of Brunswick, Georgia. They had some chloride contamination, natural chloride coming up from the Floridan aquifer going to industrial pumping right along the coast and the barrier islands in Georgia.
- Q. And what was the intended purpose of that model?
- A. The intended purpose was to help the state of Georgia plan as to how much more water could be withdrawn from the Florida aquifer. How many more wells they could permit. How much more industry could withdraw.
- Q. Would it be fair to characterize that model as a planning tool as well?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. You're -- you're sort of looking into the future, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Any other work with modeling in -- during your time at USGS?
 - A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. As I understand it from your prior deposition, you -- you went from USGS -- you left that role in 1989 and you joined Geosyntec Consultants; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the position I saw, I think, either in the deposition or in your -- your resume was manager of the water resources group; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you were at Geosyntec Consultants from 1989 to 1992?
 - A. Probably closer to -- from 1990 through 1992.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - What did you do in that role as water resource -- as a manager of water resources group at Geosyntec?
 - A. I established a library of model -model codes publicly available and things like
 that, so the engineers at Geosyntec, if they had a
 reason to need modeling, they would be there

available to them.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. When you say you established a library of model codes, does that mean you collected existing codes or did you actually develop new codes?
 - A. No, I collected existing codes.
- Q. Do you recall just some examples of the types of codes you collected for that library?
 - A. I really do not for that particular --
 - O. Fair enough.
 - A. -- job that I had.
- Q. Did you do any work related to modeling when you were at Geosyntec?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you tell me about that?
- A. We looked at a landfill, a proposed landfill area in Cinnaminson, New Jersey.
- Q. How did you use modeling in that context?
- A. Again, the client wanted to use the area as a landfill, and as most states, the jurisdictions have a regulation that the water table cannot come within a certain number of feet below a landfill liner. So the question was we had to determine what would the water -- what would the

altitude of the water table be below the liner given a high rainfall season, a low rainfall season, things like that.

- Q. Was that model intended to be used as a planning tool as well?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

- Q. Because it was looking into the future?
- A. It was looking into current conditions and then predicting how many wells would be needed to -- to take the water out to keep the water table below the landfill liner.
- Q. Understood. Did you do any other work related to modeling in your role at Geosyntec?
 - A. No.
- Q. And as I understand it, you -- you left Geosyntec in 1992 and that's when you joined ATSDR, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And for the purposes of the record, what does ATSDR stand for?
 - A. It stands for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.
- Q. Okay. And how would you describe
 ATSDR, its role?
 - A. Under CERCLA they are mandated to be a

scientific agency to look at potential health effects resulting from people living near landfills or ingesting contaminated media. They also are responsible for producing toxicological profiles.

- Q. The work that ATSDR does in looking at particular health effects or chemicals, I think you mentioned toxic profiles, how does that work get used?
- A. I can't speak to the toxic profiles because that was not the division I was in nor my expertise.
- Q. Understood. As it relates -- so that's a good point. Let's -- what was your role when you joined ATSDR?
- A. I was brought in as a civil engineer in the Division of Health Assessment and Consultations.
- Q. Okay. And what is a civil -- what are the responsibilities of a civil engineer in, you said, health assessment consultations --
 - A. Right, right.
 - Q. -- do?
 - A. Let me -- let me correct the record.
- 24 O. Sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.5

A. My apologies. I was brought in as an

L	environmental	engineer.
_	CIIVII OIIMCIICAI	C119 111 CC1 .

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
- A. For the official classification for the --
 - Q. Understood. So we won't hold you to that. Thank you for the clarification.

So what does an environmental engineer do?

- A. You look and assess at environmental data and then determine if there's going to be a completed or not completed exposure pathway that would impact humans.
- Q. How -- how long roughly, give or take,
 I understand that this was a number of years ago -did you hold the title of environmental engineer?
 - A. I'll say for maybe three years.
 - Q. So roughly '92 to '95?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what did you understand that the --well, let me back up. What --what did you do in that role as an environmental engineer? Can you remind me?
- A. I looked at different sites under CERCLA. ATSDR is responsible for assessing any

site that EPA classifies as a national priorities list site, NPL site. And ATSDR, by congressional mandate, has about two years to render an opinion to assess that and produce a public health assessment on that site, the scientific document, okay? And so that's what I worked on on a number of different sites.

- Q. Was -- was your work relied upon for purposes of others that produce the public health assessment?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Do you know, from your time at ATSDR, how the public health assessments would be used?
- A. Could you qualify that? Are you talking about from a scientific, regulatory, public? I'm not sure I understand the question.
- Q. Sure. Were the -- so let me make sure I understand your testimony correctly. The work you did as an environmental engineer, that -- that helped the folks that worked on the public health studies do what they do; is that right?
- A. No, the work that I did as an environmental engineer collecting data, analyzing contaminant data, would be used by ATSDR staff working on the public health assessments, not

health studies. 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- 0. I see. Okay. Did you do any modeling in that role as an environmental engineer?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Can you tell me about that?
 - Α. One site in particular was Groton, Massachusetts and there was some -- and I would have to look back at the document. I don't recall whether it was PCE or TCE, but a volatile organic compound contamination.
 - Ο. Okay.
 - And so we wanted to look because it was in a residential area.
 - What was the purpose of that particular Ο. model?
 - To look at the time of travel of the contaminant and when it may have reached or made a completed exposure pathway so that humans would -would have been impacted by that.
 - Were you looking at the time of travel 0. into the future?
- Α. I would have to look at the -- go back to my, you know, my CV or whatever and look at 2.4 that.
 - Okay. Was -- to the best of your Q.

recollection, was that what you would describe as a historical reconstruction modeling project?

A. No.

2.2

- Q. In your -- in that role as an environmental engineer from '92 to '95, did you do any other work related to modeling?
- A. I was asked for my technical advice and opinion on a number of different sites. I don't recall offhand specific site -- site names we did because we did a full model, but on the other ones it may have been a short analysis. It may have been a probabilistic analysis, things like that.
- Q. Would -- would all of those -- understanding that you don't recall the specific sites, would those models have been used to either analyze sort of present day conditions or sort of look into the future and make predictions?
- A. They most likely would look at past conditions and current day at the time conditions.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any of the models that you worked on that looked at past conditions?
- A. I know the Groton, Massachusetts one looked at past conditions.
- Q. Do you recall how far back you looked for --

A. No, I do not.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Is there -- was there information published about that site and your work on that site?
- A. I believe we did -- coauthored, I published a peer reviewed journal article on -- on the Groton site and I believe there's also a public health assessment by ATSDR on the Groton site. I do not specifically recall if they used the model result in the public health assessment or not.
- Q. Understood. Would you characterize the Groton site or the modeling work that you did on the Groton site as historical reconstruction?
- A. It's got a component of historical reconstruction.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. Historical reconstruction, as I developed it for the agency along with a coauthor, is a process. So it involves many aspects.

 Modeling, data analysis, uncertainty analysis. So it spans the gamut, so it's not just one application or one model.
- Q. Would you have performed the types of things you -- you do for historical reconstruction such as uncertainty analysis with respect to that

-- that Groton site?

2.

2.2

- A. Not at that time.
- Q. Still just focusing on '92 to '95 in that role as an environmental engineer, is there any other work you did related to modeling that you can recall?
- A. I probably looked at a number of different sites and, again, may have done some probabilistic analysis looking at whether some contamination exceeded a certain threshold or not a certain health threshold.
- Q. Understood. What position did you take on after 1995 or in 1995?
- A. I was promoted again. The CDC and ATSDR being part of the CDC also had the Research Grade Evaluation program under Office of Personnel Management. So again, you could either go administrative or scientific. So I was promoted under the RGE program and then assigned as the project officer for the agency's exposure-dose reconstruction program.
- Q. When were you assigned as a project officer to the exposure-dose reconstruction program?
 - A. I don't recall the exact date. The

program was -- document was published around 1993
by the agency where I coauthored it and the
director of the agency at the time signed off on
it.

- O. Who was the director at the time?
- A. Actually he -- at that time he was -- they called them assistant administrators. It was Dr. Barry L. Johnson.
 - O. And this would have been in 1993?
- A. That's when the agency program -- yes, yes, he was the first assistant administrator for ATSDR.
 - Q. Okay. You became project officer for the exposure-to-dose reconstruction program in '95?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And did you hold that title all the way until you retired?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you hold any other titles from '95 until you retired in 2017?
 - A. Not within ATSDR.
 - Q. What -- starting at a high level, how would you describe your -- your roles and responsibilities as project officer for the exposure-to-dose program at ATSDR?

A. I would be the scientific and technical advisor for sites or for people who -- who needed some historical reconstruction. And so they would come to our group or our program, and then we would determine, you know, what approaches to use, what methodologies needed to be used to answer questions that they would pose to us.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. Any other roles or responsibilities that you can think of related to that role?
- A. Well, under the RGE program they reevaluate you every so often, so you have to have a number of publications and things like that. So we would work on sites and publish documents. We would also -- I was responsible for overseeing the corporative agreement with the university partner that spanned five-year increments. So I would author that RFP and then the CDC grants office would put it out for bid. And we had a university partner as a corporative agreement partner.
- Q. When did you take on that role with the university partner?
 - A. I'm thinking it's around 1995.
- Q. And did you partner with multiple different universities?
 - A. No, we partnered with Georgia Tech.

- Q. Okay. Was -- and Georgia Tech was the only university that you partnered with?
 - A. Yes, yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Now, I understand that you led the water modeling efforts related to Camp Lejeune, and we'll talk about that. Putting that aside for a moment, can you tell me about any other work you did related to modeling in that role as project officer for the exposure-to-dose reconstruction program?
- A. We did work on some selected sites that we were asked to look at. It could be groundwater modeling, fate and transport modeling, water distribution system modeling. So we -- you know. And again, if there was a special analysis code that we needed or that we did not have or it was not in the public domain, then, of course, we would ask our university partner to assist us.
- Q. Okay. Understood. Do you recall any of the -- again, putting Camp Lejeune model aside, any -- do you recall any of the types of models you used?
- A. We used water distribution system modeling at Southington, Connecticut and Toms River, Dover Township, New Jersey.

Q. What -- what was the project in Connecticut?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. They had a water distribution system, and the Connecticut -- I'm going to call it public health agency. I don't recall the exact name. It was VOC contamination and they were concerned about, I believe, kidney cancer and miscarriages, and so they wanted to see how the contamination traveled through the pipelines of their water distribution system.
 - Q. Was that looking at travel into the future?
 - A. Not necessarily. Water distribution systems operate on time scales of hours. Okay. So it could be the present day condition or it can be a past condition based on the past condition of the water distribution system.
 - Q. Okay. Would you consider that -- that work you did in Connecticut as historical reconstruction?
 - A. No, I would consider it more present day. Present day for that time.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Not present day now.
 - O. And I understand Dover and Toms River.

That was a historical reconstruction, correct?

A. Yes, it was.

2.

2.2

- Q. Was that the first historical reconstruction project you had performed at ATSDR?
- A. That was probably the first and most publicly-acknowledged project.
- Q. Okay. Is it -- is it the first historical reconstruction you had performed at ATSDR or the first one you had performed period at any place of employment?
- A. It would be the first complete historical reconstruction. Again, historical reconstruction is a process, so we may have taken a certain aspect of historical reconstruction model or data analysis and done some for some other sites, but Toms River, New Jersey was the first complete application of a historical reconstruction process.
- Q. Who did you work with on the Toms River project?
- A. We worked -- we had a corporative agreement. When I say "we", I mean ATSDR, just to clarify. ATSDR had a corporative agreement with the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, and they requested ATSDR's assistance

- because of the increasing number of childhood
 cancer cases that they had observed.
 - Q. Okay. Who from ATSDR worked with you on that project?
 - A. Myself and probably Mr. Jason Sautner.
 - Q. Okay. Did you work with any university partners on that project?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Who -- who was that?
- A. The -- it was referred to as the
 multiple environmental simulations -- multimedia
 environmental simulations laboratory at Georgia
 Tech.
- Q. Is that the laboratory run by Mustafa
 Aral?
- 16 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. And as I understand it, he
 was -- he was a professor that you had while you
 studied at --
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. -- Georgia Tech, correct?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. As it relates to Toms River, can
 you walk me through a little bit, sort of your
 thinking as a scientist or your -- kind of the

scientific process of determining whether you can do a historical reconstruction, particularly since it sounds like you had never done one before?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.2

- O. You can answer.
- A. Okay. It's not that -- again, historical reconstruction is a process, okay? So we had applied previously parts of that, but for Toms River, New Jersey we were asked to look at the development of their water distribution system from its infancy, 1960s, all the way to the current day, which was, I believe at the time, 1998. And on a monthly and annual basis, the people, the health scientists at New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services wanted to know which well field was contributing which volume of water to the total water supply so they could do an epidemiologic study.
- Q. How big was the Toms River site, do you recall?
 - A. Big in area or big in population?
 - Q. Why don't we start with physical area.
 - A. Oh, it's maybe 40 square miles.

- Q. Okay. How does -- just out of curiosity, just for my own kind of conceptualizing, it how does that relate to Camp Lejeune?
- A. Camp Lejeune in its entirety is probably around 150 or more square miles.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Do you recall how many water distribution systems you were looking at at the Toms River site?
- A. There was one because it was a privately owned water utility, United Water, but there were multiple well fields.
- Q. Do you remember the number of well fields?
- A. I believe it was eight, but I would -I just want to couch that and say I would have to
 go back and look at our publications.
- Q. And how many chemicals were you looking at with respect to Toms River?
- A. That is where discussions between the New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services, epidemiologists in our group decided we could use a novel approach and we did not have to look at chemical-specific compounds.
- Q. Can you -- can you explain a bit more for me what you mean by that? You said a novel

approach where you don't have to look at chemical-specific compounds.

A. Uh-huh.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. What did you mean?
- What they were interested in from the epidemiology standpoint is, again, the volume of water that, you know, Jane and John Smith would be receiving from well field A, well field B, C, D, E and F, okay? And the epidemiologist decided that that was of primary importance. If they could determine the volume of water, then based on additional epidemiologic study information, like consumption activities at the home, they could establish the epidemiologic statistics that they needed. So they did not need -- so it was decided that they did not need a specific compound to trace through the water distribution system. assumed whatever compound was there would be conservative, would not degrade, so you really did not need a specific compound.
- Q. I see. So it was just sort of hypothetical compound -- or not hypothetical, but undefined compound?
- A. It was -- no, it was a compound defined as a conservative compound.

1	Q.	Okay

2.2

- A. And then we assumed a certain concentration, and then we could tell what percentage of that concentration originating from well field A, B, C, D or E where it traveled to in -- in their distribution system.
- Q. Did you have -- I think a moment ago you said for Dover -- the Dover reconstruction project you looked at the time period from 1960 to 1998?
 - A. 1962.
- Q. 1962. Excuse me. To 1998.

 Did you have historical data during that period related to the water?
 - A. We had some, yes.
- Q. How much -- what data did you have? How much data did you have?
- A. We had information from the water utility as to when they installed certain pipelines in certain locations. Certain water appurtenances; pumps, valves, stuff like that. So as the system changed, we had information on that.
- Q. Since you were dealing with a compound that you defined as conservative, but not necessarily any specific chemical, I assume you

didn't have, like, historical sampling data related to any particular chemical?

A. No, that's not correct.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Could you -- could you explain it for me?
- A. Yes, it turns out that the groundwater in New Jersey that they used is -- in the water region system has naturally occurring high barium. And so we had some -- and so New Jersey took some barium readings in the 1990s, and so we were able to match the model. When I say "match", we were able to compare modeling for a specific date and time with barium readings, and that's all described in our -- in a journal article that I published in 2000.
- Q. Okay. Prior -- did you have pumpage data related to the Dover site?
- A. Are you talking about groundwater well pumpage or water distribution system pumps?
 - Q. Either one.
- A. We had water distribution system pump curves which is required by the model that we used and that, again, came from the water utility. We knew how much water they were pumping out of their round water wells.

Q. At the time that you worked on the
Dover reconstruction effort, were you aware of any
other reconstruction efforts taken to look at, I
guess, water chemical concentrations over, you
know, the period of time that you were looking,
30-some years?

- A. Like other parties or by ATSDR?
- Q. By anyone.
- A. Well, yes, there was the ongoing -- I think it's the Department of Energy dose reconstruction programs at, like, Hanford, Savannah River plant.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And some of those big facilities assessing, for example, the fallout at Hanford and the Downwinders and things like that.
- Q. I had a thought and I lost my train of thought for a second. Give me one second.

And if you want, we've been going for about an hour. We're welcome to take -- you're welcome to take a break.

- A. I would like a fresh cup of tea.
- MR. ANWAR: You want to grab -- let's
- 24 take five.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

25

MR. DEAN: Take five, if you don't

Page 66 1 mind. 2. MR. ANWAR: Sure. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 10:38 a.m. 4 5 (A recess transpired.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 6 7 record. The time is 10:50 a.m. BY MR. ANWAR: 8 9 0. We are back on the record from a short 10 break. Mr. Maslia, are you okay to continue? 11 Α. Yes, I am. 12 Ο. Great. Did you speak with your lawyers 13 during the break at all? No, I did not. 14 Α. 15 Ο. Okay. Before the break we were 16 discussing your work as it relates to the Dover, 17 New Jersey -- or the Dover Toms River site, 18 correct? 19 That's correct. Α. 20 And I think earlier in your testimony Q. 21 you mentioned that the modeling work you did 2.2 related to that site was to help perform health studies; is that right? 23 24 It was for New Jersey Department of Α. Health and Senior Services to conduct their 2.5

epidemiologic study of the area.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you know if the New Jersey
 Department of Health did, in fact, perform the health study?
 - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. Do you know what that health study was used for?
- A. To determine -- they were conducting a case control study, so to determine if people received water from a certain well field had a higher risk of incurring certain health diseases than people who did not receive water from that particular well field.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall any of the conclusions in that health study?
 - A. It's really an epidemiologic question.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. So I can answer the contribution of the model, but not the epidemiological results.
- Q. Understood. Do you recall whether the New Jersey Department of Health took any sort of action as a result of that health study?
- A. I'm not aware if they're a regulatory agency or what -- what their involvement from that standpoint is.

Page 68 Q. Okay. So, you know, you did the

- reconstruction?

 A. Right.
- 4 Q. They did the study?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And that's it?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. I wanted to go back to -- you mentioned some work you did related to Savannah River; is that correct?
- 11 A. No, no.
- 12 Q. What was --
 - A. We did some work -- Savannah River I mentioned in terms of just doing dose reconstruction --
- 16 O. Oh, correct.
 - A. -- because they were part of the Department of Energy plants producing...
 - Q. Thank you for that clarification. I was misremembering. So you mentioned Savannah River in the context of a question I asked about whether anyone else had done sort of a reconstruction project, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And that Savannah River project, my

understanding about it is that it involved an air model related to nuclear fallout; is that right?

2.

2.2

- A. I really don't know the specifics. I just remember seeing in the scientific literature reports from Savannah River plant, Hanford, and things of that nature where they would have had DOE facilities that produced, you know, weapons-grade materials, so...
- Q. Aside from Savannah River, at the time that you did the Toms River Dover reconstruction, are you aware of any others -- reconstructions, sort of historical reconstruction modeling projects that had been performed anywhere?
- A. Not at the time, however, there's a literature review in 2010 by Jennifer Somheil and others and they do a complete review of environmental reconstruction analyses.
- Q. Off the top of your head, there's -there's your work as it relates to Dover and Toms
 River and then we will talk about your work related
 to Camp Lejeune. Are you aware -- and then you
 mentioned the Savannah River project as well. Are
 there any other historical reconstruction modeling
 projects that you can think of?
 - A. There's one, for example, in Tucson,

Arizona from the Hughes Aircraft TCE plume. That
was sealed under the courts.

Q. Okay.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

2.2

23

24

- A. So it was private consultants. So while ATSDR is aware of that, ATSDR, it's not publicly available.
- Q. Okay. Fair to say you haven't seen that work?
 - A. I've seen parts of that work.
- Q. Okay. Do you know what specifically that work entailed?
 - A. No, no, no.
 - Q. Okay. At the time that you were working at the Toms -- on the Toms River project, did you consult any modeling textbooks?
 - A. Consulted modeling manuals.
 - Q. What modeling manuals did you consult?
 - A. EPANET.
 - Q. Okay. Anything else that you recall?
- 20 A. No.
 - Q. And then you mentioned that -- as I think you mentioned, and you should correct me if I'm misremembering, that as project officer for the ATSDR's exposure-to-dose reconstruction program, that you started that; is that right?

1

That is correct. Α.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 2.2

23

24

2.5

Ο. Okay. I'm trying to understand if in starting that program you started from scratch or did you look to some sort of existing scientific methodology for that program?

The program evolved and was proposed by me and a coauthor because at the time, ATSDR came under scrutiny by the Government Accountability Office. They were handed 1200 NPL sites. In the congressional mandate they were supposed to review all of them within two years. So the agency essentially were taking remedial investigation reports and rubber stamping them and saying, yeah, let's go to the next one.

And so the assistant administrator, Dr. Barry Johnson, the conversations initially just started out as, you know, you know, nothing technical or anything. We agreed that ATSDR needed some quantitative computational ability to independently check results in either the remedial -- remedial investigation reports or proposed remediations by EPA. And so that's how -- that was the origin of the exposure-dose reconstruction program, was to provide a technical and -- and scientific section within ATSDR that people could

tag into and...

2.

2.2

2.4

- Q. And in providing the technical support in that role, did you -- were there existing methodologies that you looked to and relied upon or did you -- did you start from scratch?
- A. Well, there are existing published models that would be part of their existing probabilistic analysis, but we also had our corporative agreement partner and they developed their own models and approaches, so we would incorporate everything as determined by what particular site or what particular question we were asked to answer.
- Q. Understood. At the time that you did the Dover historical reconstruction, did you -- did you start from scratch on that or was there existing sort of scientific methodology on how to do a historical reconstruction?
- A. We started from scratch, from the corporative agreement partner, New Jersey department asked us to look at the water distribution system. And for a few pipes you can do that by hand. It's taught in engineering school.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And once they showed us the expanse of the distribution system, we told them you needed some automated method, and that's when we were -- we looked through the literature and we found out about the EPANET program out of EPA.
- Q. And I think earlier you described only some of the work you did related to Toms River as novel; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you -- and I apologize if you already explained this, but can you remind me how it was novel?
- A. It was the first time that multiple or several dozen pressure launders --
- MR. ANWAR: I apologize. I don't know what that is. Sorry.
- MR. DEAN: It almost caused me a heart attack.
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. Could you -- could you remind me
 why -- the aspects of Toms River, New Jersey that
 were novel?
- A. It was -- first of all, to my
 knowledge, a water distribution system had not been
 reconstructed from its beginning stages, for

example, 1962, year by year, all the way up through 1998. And it was the first time that a large number of automated pressure recorders had been used to obtain data and monitor the system. And that's all, again, in that published article that we published in the Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management in 2000 under the auspices of the American Society of Civil Engineers. And they considered it novel enough that they awarded us the best practice-oriented paper for 2000.

- Q. Okay. And I think I saw online that there was an ATSDR report published related to Toms River as well?
- A. Yes, there was a number of ATSDR reports published. One for the current conditions at the time, which I believe were 1998, and then the historical reconstruction going back from 1962 forward.
- Q. Okay. I think maybe the report I saw was the reconstruction.
 - A. Okay.

2.

2.2

Q. So putting Toms River aside, Dover and Toms River, putting Camp Lejeune aside, during your time as project officer for the exposure-to-dose reconstruction program at ATSDR, are there any

other historical reconstruction efforts you worked on while you were at ATSDR?

- A. We did an uncertainty probabilistic analysis in Morriston -- Marston, Missouri. Again, it was quick. Somebody needed an analysis to see if -- I think it was PCBs, if they were exceeding a certain health criteria. So again, that was a statistical analysis, but, again, it's, you know, part and parcel of the work that we did under the auspices of the dose reconstruction program at ATSDR.
- Q. Okay. And the work you just mentioned, were you -- you mentioned you were looking at PCBs and whether they exceeded --
 - A. The health assessors were.
 - O. The health assessors were.
 - A. Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. What time period were you focused on?
- A. I don't recall that. I would have to go back to a presentation or --
 - O. Sure.
 - A. -- some documents to look at that.
- Q. Do you recall whether you were looking back in time or you -- it was forward looking or present day?

- 1 A. I really don't -- don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Fair enough. Any -- anything else you can remember related to historical reconstruction with the -- putting everything that we've already discussed aside?
 - A. Not...

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. Did you have any other roles or responsibilities aside from modeling work and the technical support as project officer for the exposure-to-dose reconstruction program at ATSDR?
- A. One, I would oversee and maintain the corporative agreement with our university partner.
 - O. Sure.
- A. If they needed something or they needed equipment or whatever. And it was a five-year corporative agreement, so every year they would have to submit a report and I would have to, you know, sign off and say that they -- what they said in the report was true and they accomplished what they wanted to do. I also was responsible, and it was not an official duty, but I mentored people coming from graduate school.
- Q. Who are some of the people that you mentored?
 - A. Mr. Jason Sautner. Mr. Rene

- Suarez-Soto. Dr. Amy Funk, who is now with the Centers for Disease Control.
- Q. Okay. If I remember correctly,
- 4 Mr. Sautner was also a Georgia Tech grad; is that right?
- A. That's -- that's where I became aware of him.
 - Q. Okay.

8

2.2

- 9 A. Through our corporative agreement
 10 partner. I mentioned the undergraduate student
 11 that could assist us.
- Q. And did he -- if I remember correctly,
 do you know, did he study under Mustafa Aral as
 well?
- 15 A. I don't specifically recall.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- A. Although because he was -- Dr. Aral did recommend him to us, but I don't know if he studied underneath him.
- Q. Okay. Would you consider Dr. Aral,
 Mustafa Aral, a mentor to you?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. What -- what is Mustafa Aral's sort of focus at Georgia Tech?
 - A. It varied from developing what he

- referred to as innovative techniques for modeling analyses, health risk analyses.
- Q. Okay. You retired from ATSDR in December of 2017; is that right?
 - A. December 31st, 2017.
 - Q. And upon retirement or after you retired, you started your own consulting firm or you started consulting?
 - A. I -- I established my name as an independent consultant.
- 11 Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

- 12 A. But did not do any consulting for several years.
- Q. And the name I saw on your resume is
 M.L. Maslia, Consulting Engineer?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. What types of consulting work or projects do you handle? And let me caveat, I'm not asking -- again, aware that you're observing as a consultant for the plaintiffs --
 - A. Right, right.
- Q. -- in this litigation, so not asking about that.
- A. Yeah.
- Q. But aside from that.

A	. Aside	from that	I've done	e some	work	for
a privat	e consulti	ng firm i	n Woodsto	ck, Geo	rgia	
overseei	ng some of	their st	aff that w	were co	nduct	ing
groundwa	ter modeli	ng at a p	roprietary	y site	that	
they wer	e asked to	be consu	ltants on	•		

- Q. And where was this at?
- A. Where was the site?
- O. Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. I'm not allowed to say that.
- Q. Okay. I thought you said -- was it Woodstock?
 - A. Well, the consulting company is located in Woodstock --
 - 0. Okay.
 - A. -- Georgia, which is about 15 miles from where I live.
 - Q. Can you share how you supported the groundwater modeling on that project?
 - A. Yes, I reviewed the assumptions that their geohydrologist put into the model. They also collected field samples. I can say it was around a landfill, okay? I would provide them professional engineering advice as to how many samples they should be collecting, how spaced out, and then review the model simulations that their staff

- 1 | would -- would make to see if the assumptions,
- boundary conditions, et cetera, were consistent and
 with best engineering practices.
- Q. Understood. Was -- was that a historical reconstruction model?
 - A. No, no.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. What type of model was it?
- A. It was a current day.
- Q. Current day.
- A. Current day.
- Q. Any other projects or work that you can think of as a consultant?
 - A. I occasionally review, actually for the same company, a semiannual report that they have to submit to the Georgia Power Company, and I review it as a professional engineer. Okay.
 - Q. And you are a professional engineer, correct?
 - A. Yes, I'm registered in Georgia as a professional engineer with an active license.
 - Q. Anything else you can think of that you've worked on since becoming a consultant?
 - A. Not as a consultant.
 - Q. Besides Camp Lejeune.
 - A. Yeah, not as a consultant.

- Q. Okay. Again, not asking about Camp Lejeune specifically, but generally speaking, what do you charge as your -- your consulting rate?
 - A. Around \$300 an hour.
- Q. Okay. I would like to switch gear and
 -- switch gears a little bit and talk more
 specifically about Camp Lejeune. We're going to
 pull up what we're marking Exhibit 6. It should be
 titled ATSDR website -- or no, it should be -- it's
 actually a different one.
- MR. DEAN: I don't know why mine is not pulling up.
- MS. BAUGHMAN: Did you refresh it?
- MR. DEAN: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. ANWAR: The one I want is actually

 -- you can leave that one in there, though. It's

 the CDC 24/7.
- MR. DEAN: That is weird. Can I see that, my iPad?
- MS. BAUGHMAN: This one?
- MR. ANWAR: Okay. It's in there. It's

 -- Exhibit 6 is CDC 24/7 Camp Lejeune summary. And

 just let me know when you see it.
- MR. DEAN: I'm just having a little...
- MR. ANWAR: Let's go off the record for

- 1 | a second.
- 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
- 3 record. The time is 11:12 a.m.
- 4 (Off the record.)
- 5 (DFT. EXHIBIT 7, CDC 24/7, Camp
- 6 Lejeune, Summary 2014 PowerPoint Bates-stamped
- 7 CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-0000003764 through 3792, was
- 8 | marked for identification.)
- 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back -- going
- 10 on the record. The time is 11:15 a.m.
- 11 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 12 Q. We are back on the record from a short
- 13 break to deal with a technical issue. I have
- 14 | pulled up what I have, before the break, described
- as Exhibit 6, but it's actually Exhibit 7. It
- 16 | should be showing on your screen now and it's --
- 17 it's pulled up on the larger screen up there as
- 18 | well.
- 19 I'll represent to you that it's a
- 20 PowerPoint presentation entitled CDC 24/7, Camp
- 21 | Lejeune, Summary 2014. Do you recall -- and feel
- 22 | free to skim through it. I don't know if you have
- 23 that ability.
- A. Yeah, yeah, yeah. No, I can't.
- Q. Gio is skimming through the slides.

- A. Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Yeah. Go ahead and just -- okay. Okay.
 - Q. Okay. My question was, do you recall ever seeing this presentation before?
 - A. No, I've never seen that presentation.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall if you were involved -- or do you know if you were involved in preparing the presentation or populating any of the information contained in it?
 - A. Only if it contained modeling results or analyses that we had published in the ATSDR historical reconstruction -- under the historical reconstruction for Camp Lejeune and they would want a particular figure or not with this, so -- but I don't recall this actual -- being involved with this particular presentation.
 - Q. Okay. I'll just represent to you that the presentation, we pulled it from ATSDR's water modeling project files.
 - A. Oh, okay.
 - Q. Which I think are referred to as the EDRP files.
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - O. Are you familiar with the EDRP files?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Q. What are those	Ο.	What	are	those
-------------------	----	------	-----	-------

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A. Under ATSDR they had a LAN, large area network, but did their work and each person at ATSDR was assigned, you know, user ID and then they could keep files underneath there. Their work files, project files, and so on. So EDRP obviously stood for exposure-dose reconstruction program and so we would have files in there.
- Q. And that's the program you were the project officer for?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you have had access to the EDPR files or the folders?
 - A. Yes, they would have under my user ID.
- Q. And you would have -- that would have been true until you left in -- on December 31 --
 - A. That is correct, that is correct.
- Q. And just for the record, so it's clear, that would have been true until you left in December of 2017, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Thank you.
- And so this presentation is dated 2014.
- 24 I wanted to start by asking you about a few slides.
- MR. ANWAR: Can we go to slide two.

1 | BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Q. So slide two says "Camp Lejeune is a Marine Corps Base in North Carolina. Camp Lejeune opened in 1942." Is that your understanding?
 - A. Construction started in 1941.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And then they started getting Marines in and being operational in 1942.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

Go to slide four, please. Well, actually slide three. So slide three says "what happened?" And then slide four contains the slide that is titled "water contamination." The slide discusses water distribution --

- A. May I go on the record for a second?
- 16 | 0. Sure.
 - A. Just to clarify, this is not anything I put together. I can tell by the language, okay?
 - Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 20 A. Okay. Just so this is the first time 21 I'm -- I'm seeing it.
- 22 O. Understood.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. So this particular slide discusses
 water distributions affected at Camp Lejeune and

sources of contamination, right?

A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. And so we'll discuss the water distribution systems and the sources in more detail a bit later, but I wanted to focus your attention to the bottom of the slide. It states "1989 EPA listed both the dry cleaner and Camp Lejeune, CL, Camp Lejeune, on the national priorities list, which triggers ATSDR's involvement." And I think you mentioned this earlier, but is that your understanding?
 - A. That's my understanding. It was the -just to clarify, it would have been the off-base
 dry cleaner.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. Okay. There's an on-base dry cleaner.
 - Q. Understood. And thank you for that clarification. And the first bullet point says "offsite dry cleaner", correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And would that have -- the offsite dry cleaner is ABC Cleaners?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So this -- where it says the NPL triggers ATSDR's involvement --

A	. Can	you	pull	the	slide	back	on	to	this
screen?	Thank	you.	Okay	у.					

- Where it says national priorities list Ο. triggers ATSDR's involvement, is that your understanding as well in terms of how ATSDR became involved with looking at Camp Lejeune?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

Okay. And I think you -- you said this Ο. earlier in your testimony. Let's go to slide five. And I believe you already said this, but this says "CERCLA" -- The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 --"requires ATSDR to conduct public health assessments at all NPL sites. ATSDR is required to revisit sites until they are removed from the NPL."

Is that your understanding?

- That is my understanding. Α.
- Okay. Let's go to slide six. 0. So according to this slide, there was a Camp Lejeune public health assessment performed in 1997; is that correct?
 - Α. That is correct.
- 23 Are you familiar with the 1997 public 24 health assessment?
 - Α. Yes, I am.

Q. Can you tell me about it?

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

A. It was a standard health assessment, again, as we discussed, that ATSDR was required under law to conduct. And out of the health assessment there were questions about exposure to contaminated drinking water, specifically to children, but the health -- and at that time there were very, very few studies that could be used or relied upon to determine if this was a potential health problem or not.

- O. Okay.
- A. So the recommendation is to conduct health -- health studies on children.
- Q. Okay. And so one of the recommendations that came out of the 1997 public health assessment was to study whether there was an association between Camp Lejeune drinking water and specific birth defects and childhood cancers?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I saw in some of the documents produced in the case that there was mention of criticism around the 1997 public health assessment. Do you know what that's referring to?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me about that?

- A. The 1997 health assessment, I believe, did not have any emphasis or data on benzene contamination. And also it had -- I think they were provided with an incorrect startup date for one of the water treatment plants.
 - Q. Okay. Where was the -- or where or who was the criticism coming from?
 - A. Well, I became aware of the criticism in one of the Camp Lejeune advisory panel meetings, the CAP meetings, that was brought up.
 - Q. Who -- who brought that up to you?
 - A. I don't recall a specific person, but it was brought up.
 - O. Okay.
 - A. Excuse me.
 - O. Was it a member of the CAP?
- 17 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And do you recall the conversation?
- A. Well, they were requesting ATSDR to withdraw the health assessment because of those omissions or errors and there were a number of other issues that they brought up. I don't recall them specifically. And they based that because we were at the time -- not 1997, but when that request from the CAP came through at a CAP meeting, we were

in the process of conducting this historical reconstruction of Tarawa Terrace and they said, well, you're going to have new information, you need to do a new health assessment.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Aside from the member of the CAP that
 -- from whom you became aware about the criticism
 of the '97 public health assessment, are you aware
 of any public criticism of the '97 -- 1997 public
 health assessment?
- A. Well, I mean, by public, my colleagues on the health study side would -- would also state what the issue -- that there were issues with the public health assessment.
- Q. To the best of your recollection, did -- did any Congress members criticize the study?
 - A. I don't recall that.
- Q. Okay. So coming out of the -- the 1997 public health assessment was the recommendation to perform another health study related to Camp Lejeune water and birth defects in childhood cancers, right?
- A. It was to perform a health study. There wasn't any past health study.
 - Q. To perform a future health study --
 - A. Yes.

1	Q	correct
---	---	---------

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

How did the decision come about to perform water modeling related to Camp Lejeune?

- One of the epidemiologists in the Α. Division of Health Studies at ATSDR was aware of the work that we did in New Jersey, in Dover Township, and so he came to me and said, do you think you could apply those same techniques to Camp Lejeune because we are writing a health study and we want to be able to quantify past exposures, and that seems like the only technique that -- that's viable and that has been proven to be useful that we could use in our health study.
- Was -- was that epidemiologist, was Ο. that Dr. Frank Bove?
 - Α. Yes.
- Was anyone else involved in the Q. decision-making process to move forward with the Camp Lejeune water modeling?
- Well, my immediate supervisor, excuse me, division management and obviously agency leadership would have had to be involved because of the budgetary issues associated with that, but I was only involved from the technical scientific standpoint.

- Q. Understood. And so the purpose of the water modeling was to support that epidemiological study related to childhood cancers and birth defects, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you agree that, generally speaking, a person's exact exposure to contaminated water at Camp Lejeune is unknown?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question. If you're asking him about some -- some opinion he had before July of '22, then you're free to discuss it with him, but...
- 13 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Yeah. And you can assume for purposes of our --
- MR. ANWAR: So -- and you can have an standing objection to that.
- 18 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. And for purposes of all of my
 questions, you can assume that I'm not asking about
 the period --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. -- from which you were retained as a consulting expert, so --
- A. Okay. Could you repeat the question?

Q. Sure. Would you agree that, generally speaking, a person's exact exposure to contaminated water at Camp Lejeune is unknown?

2.2

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question. You're asking him for an expert opinion, correct?

MR. ANWAR: I'm asking him for his -
MR. DEAN: No, I need to understand -
you're asking for an expert opinion and expert

opinions in this case are not yet due.

MR. ANWAR: You can make your objection. Unless you're instructing him not to answer, Mr. Maslia, you can answer.

MR. DEAN: Just give us -- give me just two seconds.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{ANWAR}\colon$$ And let me -- let me rephrase the question.

MR. DEAN: Let me solve this problem and say that I'm not going to instruct this witness not to answer this question, but you do know that expert opinions to which we anticipate Mr. Maslia providing expert opinion in this case at some point in time are not yet due. They are not refined. They are not complete, and his work continues today. So I'm not going to instruct him not to

answer the question, but understand it's subject to later modification or changes. And I understood we were here to talk about the facts, but, again, you can continue with my caveats.

MR. ANWAR: Yeah. And I'm not asking for his retained expert opinion. I'm asking for his opinion as the ATSDR employee who oversaw the dose reconstruction program at ATSDR. And I'm not ask about any discussions that have taken place since you all have retained him as a consulting expert.

BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

0. So with that in mind as the -- the employee, the project officer of the dose reconstruction program at ATSDR, would you agree that, generally speaking, a person's exact exposure to contaminated water at Camp Lejeune is unknown?

MR. DEAN: Same objection.

I think we need to THE WITNESS: understand the relationship of the water modelers and the exposure-dose reconstruction program to the health study side. We always kept ourselves blinded to any characterization of exposure or not exposure. We just focused on providing concentrations of -- of water delivered from the

- 1 | water treatment plants. So we were never involved
- 2 | in populations or studies or specific individuals.
- 3 | I really -- that's -- I could not answer that
- 4 question.
- 5 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 6 Q. Okay. And my understanding of the --
- 7 | the purpose of the Camp Lejeune water modeling was
- 8 to simulate estimates of monthly contaminant levels
- 9 | in Camp Lejeune drinking water; is that right?
- 10 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the
- 11 question.
- 12 THE WITNESS: It was to reconstruct
- 13 historical concentrations.
- 14 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 15 Q. Using a computer model, correct?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the
- 17 question.
- 18 | THE WITNESS: Using -- using a variety
- 19 of techniques.
- 20 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 21 Q. And you were reconstructing estimates
- 22 of the monthly concentration levels of contaminants
- 23 | in the water at Camp Lejeune, correct?
- A. So we reconstructed mean monthly
- 25 concentrations.

L	Q. Okay. Now with respect to the
2	Tarawa I always butcher this, the TT, Tarawa
3	Terrace modeling, if I recall correctly, there were
4	estimated mean monthly concentrations, but it also
5	included estimated median concentrations on the
5	distribution curve as well as the 2.5 percentile
7	and the 97.5 percentile; is that right?

- A. Yeah, a number of different analyses, okay? The numbers you're referring to come out of a number of different analyses.
- Q. With respect to the Hadnot

 Point/Holcomb Boulevard modeling, if my memory is

 correct, it looks like you -- you reconstructed

 estimates of -- or attempted to reconstruct

 estimates of mean monthly concentrations only; is

 that right?
- A. We could take the same estimates that we did for Tarawa Terrace.
- Q. Okay. So does the Holcomb Boulevard -- excuse me, the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard also include median estimates and the 2.5 percentile?
- A. I would have to look in my summary of findings reports or whatever to...
 - Q. Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

A. We would have probably mentioned some

means in there.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Okay. We can get back to that question. We can take a look a little later. You didn't work on the childhood cancers and birth defects studies, correct?
 - A. No, no.
- Q. No as in correct you didn't work on it, correct?
- A. I did not work on anything related to epidemiology, which that would have been under.
- Q. And that's because you're not a toxicologist or epidemiologist, right?
- A. That is part of it, but, again, in order to retain scientific objectivity, we had to be blinded. The water modelers had to be blinded to the epidemiology. The results we presented had to be robust and applicable to anywhere the epidemiologists wanted to use them. So that -- we maintained, you know, distinction and purposefully did not ask for nor did we ever receive anything related to the epidemiology.
- Q. Okay. So you weren't involved in ATSDR's epidemiology, correct?
- A. Not in the Division of Health Studies, no.

- Q. And what capacity -- you were involved to the extent the water modeling was used to support the health studies?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. And just based on our discussion about your background and your resume, would you agree that you're not that person or your expertise is not to determine what levels of any chemical will cause an illness or put a person at risk for that?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Was -- was Frank Bove the lead ATSDR epidemiologist that worked on both the childhood cancer study and the other Camp Lejeune health studies?
- A. He was classified as a senior epidemiologist and there was another person who is now Dr. Perri Ruckart, and I -- I always dealt -- I dealt with both of them. I really couldn't say or do I remember who was designated as, in quotations, the lead, okay?
- Q. Do you know when Perri Ruckart, Dr. Perri Ruckart, left ATSDR?
 - A. I was not aware that she had left.
- Q. Oh, okay. And has she left ATSDR, or do you know?

- A. I don't know that either.
- Q. Okay. During the entirety of the period that you were at ATSDR until December 31st, 2017, was Perri Ruckart at ATSDR?
- A. I don't know about the early years.

 Actually I don't know until we started with Camp

 Lejeune in about 2003 that I became aware that she

 was involved with the Camp Lejeune project.
- Q. As of the time that you left in ATSDR in 2017, do you know, was Perri Ruckart still involved in the health studies related to Camp Lejeune?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you know what she -- where she's at today or what she's doing today?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. When did ATSDR's water modeling efforts related to Camp Lejeune start?
- A. We wrote an initial proposed work plan. I'm thinking it was around January of 2003, maybe January of 2002. It's an early work plan that proposed some steps and some timelines and some budgets like that. So that's when I would think that it began.
 - Q. Early 2003 you developed the timelines,

the budgets and sort of the planning phase,
correct?

A. That is correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. Sort of at a general level, could you -- could you describe for me what the work related to the Camp Lejeune water modeling entailed?
- A. Yes. I would like to start by saying those work plans were developed without any knowledge of data or databases or anything like that.
 - O. Sure.
- A. But -- so it was a conceptual work plan from that standpoint, but it gave steps and, again, literature review, obtaining databases or data, formulating model input data files. Conducting groundwater flow, groundwater fate and transport modeling, water distribution system modeling, and then publishing the results.
- Q. Understood. If we go to slide eight.

 According to slide eight, it states here that

 "2007-2009 Tarawa Terrace water modeling chapter
 released"; is that right?
 - A. Well, there's more than one chapter.
 - Q. The entirety of the -- so my reading of

that, like, statement is that the first report was released in 2007 and the last of the reports related to Tarawa Terrace were released by 2009.

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And when would have the water modeling efforts related to Tarawa Terrace been performed, the actual work related to it?
- A. We started -- we made our first site visit to Camp Lejeune in July 2003.
 - Q. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. So a little bit before that. That's what we considered the -- and that's when we were told we had the budget to proceed.
- Q. Understood. And Tarawa Terrace was one of the three water distribution systems at Camp Lejeune impacted by VOC contamination, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And when I -- just as kind of like a general matter, when I refer to Camp Lejeune water modeling -- or excuse me, when I refer to Camp Lejeune water contamination, can we agree that I'm referring to VOC contamination?
- A. Well, it also involved BTEX contamination.
 - Q. My understanding -- and we can talk

about this more, but when I'm referring to it, I'm referring to it specifically as to the -- the chemicals that were modeled in your reports. Can we agree to that?

- A. No, we modeled BTEX also.
- O. Okay. And is BTEX a VOC or --
- A. BTEX stands for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes, and they're products of fuel -- fuel spills.
- Q. When you say BTEX are you primary referring to benzene?
- 12 A. That's the -- that's primary component, 13 yes.
 - Q. Okay. So can we -- so let me clarify. When I -- when I say, hey, water contamination at Camp Lejeune, can we agree that I am referring to the VOCs and benzene?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. I just want it -- for purposes of the record, I'm not --
 - A. Right.
- Q. If there are other chemicals that you're referring to, please let me know.
- 24 And so the slide currently on the 25 screen mentions two challenges. The first

- challenge is "United States Marine Corps,

 Department of Navy delayed data acquisition and

 funding decisions." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. You read that correctly.
 - Q. And so I understand from your prior deposition testimony that there was perhaps some frustration about the speed with which documents were provided to the water modeling team at ATSDR by the Navy and the Marine Corps; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. But I think in that deposition you -- you ultimately agreed that the Navy and the Marine Corps never refused to provide documents requested by ATSDR?
- A. I would say we eventually obtained all the documents, but there was never a sense of urgency on the part of the Department of Navy or the U.S. Marine Corps.
- Q. Okay. But they never refused to provide documents and you did eventually obtain them all, correct?
- A. No, I would not say obtained them all.

 Again, we obtained information and documents that

 were required for model calibration. And for model

 calibration we need specific amounts of information

of data, but no more, okay? So we were not in the process nor did we put into the program a universal search for all the documents at the Navy or the Marine Corps.

- Q. Sure. And I guess my question, I just wanted to be clear, and this is what you testified to in your last deposition, but I think you agreed that the Marine Corps and the Navy never refused to provide documents to ATSDR?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. During the course of ATSDR's water modeling efforts related to Camp Lejeune, you received and reviewed historical and other documents from the Navy and the Marine Corps, right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What kind of documents did you review and receive?
- A. Anything from CERCLA administrative record files, which were actually public documents, to laboratory reports on analyses to underground storage tank files to water supply well operations to operations of their water distribution systems.
- Q. Okay. And my understanding from your prior deposition testimony is that the cost of

ATSDR's water modeling on Camp Lejeune was about 1.5 to 1.8 million per year?

2.

2.2

- A. That would be the budget people. I could not really answer that, okay? I was never involved -- I was only involved in submitting the staff that we needed each year to accomplish what we needed to accomplish, but that total would have been out of the -- I forget the specific name of the office, but it would be up in the office of the director who handled the budgets and the communications back and forth with -- with the Department of Navy.
- Q. If those are the numbers that you -- you testified to in your 2010 deposition, do you have any reason to disagree with that?
- A. Well, those were the numbers probably at the time because we had to finish Tarawa

 Terrace, but I could not say that was necessarily correct for the entirety of the project.
- Q. I understand. I appreciate that clarification. So would you agree that at the time that you finished the Tarawa Terrace water modeling, the cost had been averaging 1.5 to 1.8 million per year?
 - A. For Tarawa Terrace, yes.

- Ο. Okay. And with respect to funding, the Marine Corps and the Navy paid for ATSDR's water modeling efforts related to Tarawa Terrace, right?
- They funded it under the annual plan of Α. work that was submitted to them each year.
 - Which means they paid for it, right? Ο.
 - Α. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- And ultimately ATSDR did receive the Ο. funding it needed to complete water modeling efforts and epi studies related to Camp Lejeune, correct?
- I can't speak about the epi studies. I'll speak about the water modeling as yes.
- The second challenge on the slide Ο. states "missed milestones. Modeling took longer than predicted." What missed -- what were the missed milestones, if you know?
- Well, originally we had proposed a Α. four-year project. The Navy only wanted to fund a three-year project. We started and, you know, someone decided we'll agree down the road how long the project should go on. You know, in getting the information that we needed to develop the models, that took longer because it was more spread out in desperate locations and, in fact, the Department --

Department of Navy hired a consulting firm to do a search through all of Camp Lejeune to find additional documents.

We also were made aware later in the game, around 2009, of an undisclosed portal containing underground storage tanks around 2010 or We were made aware of another consultant's report that we were never provided with. So -- and there were instances of where we were told certain water supply wells were located in terms of coordinates and we found maps in their files that showed it was located someplace else, so we had to go back and, you know, recalibrate models and stuff like that.

And then I think there was a time when there was not an agreement on the annual plan of work and it had to go to arbitration and all the way up to the Office of the Secretary of Navy to be settled, so I had to send contractors home.

Let's go to the -- the next slide, 0. It states there -- it states on this slide "2009 to 2013, Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard water modeling released." And I interpret that meaning the first report related to the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard water modeling was released

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- in 2009 and the last report related to the Hadnot
 Point/Holcomb Boulevard water modeling report -- or
 the last report was released in 2013.
 - A. I don't recall 2009 having released. I would have to look at my reports here.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I know 2010 we released a report.
 - Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

- 9 A. And then 2013 the remaining reports
 10 were released, but I would have to look at the
 11 publication date on the specific reports.
- 12 Q. Understood. So either 2009 or 2010 to 2013?
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. And by 2013, the -- the Hadnot

 Point/Holcomb Boulevard water modeling had been

 completed?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And this slide lists the same

 challenges that we just discussed. Is -- is this

 -- is this referring to the same discussion we had

 about Tarawa Terrace?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. I believe the delay -- or the delay in

funding, end date acquisition were probably

impacted more at Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard

area because it was a far more complex area than

Tarawa Terrace.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. Okay. And I understand there were sort of disagreements and negotiations and misunderstandings or however you want to describe it related to the data gathering.
- A. I would like to still characterize it as a lack of urgency.
- Q. Okay. But the Navy and the Marine
 Corps, like we agreed earlier, never refused to -never refused to provide you information, right?
 - A. Eventually, that is correct.
- Q. Okay. And the Navy and the Marine

 Corps paid for -- or at least you're aware -- well,

 they funded and paid for the cost of the water

 modeling, correct?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Now, I understand that you were the lead on ATSDR's Camp Lejeune water modeling team, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - O. Who else was on the team?
- 25 A. Let's see. We had Jason Sautner. Rene

Suarez-Soto. Barbara Anderson. We may have had temporary grad students, but I don't recall their name without looking through my files. Well, I mean, files at ATSDR. And there was also our university partner and they had a number of people working on it, so -- and then there was Mr. Robert E. Faye who was a private consultant subcontracted to ATSDR.

- O. Understood. Thank you.
- A. Oh, and I think two more. Dr. Walter Grayman, at various points in time, we hired as a consultant. And then for a short period of time, a few days or a week, we hired Dr. John Doherty, D-O-H-E-R-T-Y, who is the developer of the PEST, parameter estimation modeling technique.
- Q. Okay. That is helpful. I would like to go through and ask you about each of the team members one by one.
 - A. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Jason Sautner, he was an ATSDR employee, right?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Was he an environmental health scientist, was that his role or title when --
 - A. That is my recollection of what his

official GS, general service, classification was.

2.2

- Q. Do you recall sort of his educational and experience background?
- A. He had -- I know he's got a degree in civil engineering from Lehigh University.

 Obviously Georgia Tech. And he started basically when we did Toms River, so his expertise was around water distribution system modeling.
- Q. Understood. Did you supervise Mr. Sautner?
- A. Yes, I did. Now let me clarify that.

 I supervised him from a scientific or technical standpoint. Because I was under the research grade classification system, I could supervise people at lower grades or higher grades than me, okay? So -- but I -- I would hand in evaluations annually for his critique, but it would be my supervisor who actually did his supervision, administrating supervision.
- Q. Understood. And you said Mr. Sautner worked on water distribution modeling?
- A. Water distribution system modeling, yes.
- Q. System modeling.

 And was that his role with respect to

the Camp Lejeune water modeling?

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And then Rene Suarez-Soto, he was also an ATSDR employee?
- A. He started out as a -- finishing up his master's under a Pan American Hispanic

 Universities, PAHO, procedure or funding -funding. And then -- and that was run through

 ORISE, which is the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education. So he was actually at -- for a few years -- for probably two or three years, he was a contractor to ORISE that they assigned to ATSDR.
- contractor to ORISE that they assigned to ATSDR.

 And then of course when a position became --
- full-time position came open at ATSDR, he applied and was selected to be a full-time ATSDR employee.
 - Q. Got it. Do you recall his sort of educational and professional background?
 - A. General groundwater modeling, statistical and probabilistic analysis.
 - Q. And did you -- was that his role on the Camp Lejeune water modeling team?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you supervise Mr. Suarez-Soto in the same way that you just mentioned that you supervised Mr. Sautner?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Was Mr. Suarez-Soto, he was also, at least at the time that you worked with him, an
- 4 environmental health scientist?
- A. I really don't recall his classification.
 - Q. Okay. Then I think you mentioned Barbara Anderson?
 - A. Right.
- 10 Q. She was also an ATSDR employee?
- 11 A. Yes.

7

8

16

17

21

2.2

- Q. Was she also an environmental health scientist?
- A. Again, I don't know what she was classified as.
 - Q. Do you recall her educational and professional background?
- A. Not specifically, but I know she -- her focus on the Camp Lejeune project was data analysis. Excuse me.
 - Q. And I know we're getting close to noon and we agreed to take a noon break, so I could do a couple more minutes of questioning or --
- MR. DEAN: That's fine.
- 25 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Okay. Were there any other, I guess, formal ATSDR employees involved in the Camp Lejeune water modeling efforts?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And then I think there were some consultants that also worked on the team, right?
 - A. Yes, yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And you mentioned the university partners. Was -- are you referring to Mustafa Aral and some of the grad students from Georgia Tech?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And Mustafa Aral is the professor from Georgia Tech that we've talked about, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you described him as the director of multimedia environmental simulations laboratory --
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. -- at Georgia Tech?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. What was his role on the Camp Lejeune water modeling team?
- A. When we had a technical or scientific issue or we needed an analysis that went beyond what's just publicly available in terms of pulling

something off the shelf, for example, Holcomb

Boulevard, the intermittent release of water from

Hadnot Point to Holcomb -- Holcomb Boulevard

required a special analysis. And so we would -- I

would call under the corporative agreement he can

speak with the principal investigator.

Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. So I would call him and we would discuss what our objectives, what we needed, and then he would assign graduate students to conduct those analyses.
 - Q. Understood.
- A. And their names are listed on -- as coauthors on some of these reports, so...
 - Q. You also mentioned Robert Faye?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Who is Robert Faye?
- A. I first professionally met -- and I refer to him as Bob Faye -- when we were both at the U.S. Geological Survey.
 - O. Okay.
- A. And he retired and I retired. And when we were doing Toms River we needed -- again, ATSDR was not allowed to hire full-time employees. They had a hiring freeze almost continuously on, but we

were able to go through, like, Eastern Research 1 2. Group or ORISE and things like that, so we hired 3 him through Eastern Research Group to assist us on the modeling at Toms River, New Jersey. And then 4 5 when the Camp Lejeune activities came up -- and he's a very senior experienced geohydrologist, so 6 we hired him again through -- I say we hired him, Eastern Research Group hired him. 8 He's subcontracted to ATSDR.

- Q. Understood. And I think I also saw some references to probably his consulting company, R.E. Faye and Associates?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. And did Mr. Faye, he worked on groundwater modeling?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then you also mentioned Walter Grayman.
 - A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Who is Walter Grayman?
- A. Walter Grayman is an internationally renowned consulting engineer and one of the early developers of water distribution system modeling in the mid-1980s. And again, we became aware of him when we were working on the Toms River, New Jersey

site. We asked for his advice or input. And then when we got to Camp Lejeune, at times we needed also his advice and assistance in conducting field studies and characterizing the water distribution system.

- Q. And he worked on water distribution modeling?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And I asked that generally, but he worked on water distribution modeling as it relates to the Camp Lejeune modeling, correct?
- A. He did not do the day-to-day number crunching, but, again, in modeling you have to set up first your conceptual model and then decide what techniques would best be used for that, what field data you night need, and so he provided us with consulting services and input into that as well as when we went out in the field to collect water distribution system data, he, Bob Faye, and others came out during the field test to assist us to collect the data.
- Q. Understood. And I just have a couple more questions and then we can take a break.

I saw in one of the slides, I think one of your presentations, a reference to the U.S.

- Geological Survey and then like maybe like a

 Georgia Water Institute or something like that.

 Does that ring any bells? Did you have any

 consultants with the USGA -- or USGS?
 - every now and then to come present work, because the work at Camp Lejeune was not the standard run-of-the-mill groundwater flow modeling, water distribution system modeling or site analysis. So every now and then, both locally in Georgia and at USGS headquarters in Reston they would put on workshops or whatever, and so they knew of me from my days at USGS. They would ask me to present, and it was a good opportunity to teach their hydrologists and also a good opportunity for ATSDR to receive critical feedback on what we were doing.
 - Q. Understood. Did you put the water modeling team related to Camp Lejeune together?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. And I guess you've explained it to some degree already, but why did you select the individuals that you selected?
- A. Jason Sautner was already assigned to the exposure-dose reconstruction program. When I wrote up the work -- initial work plan, I obviously

indicated in there we would need some more staff,
so that's when Rene Suarez-Soto came in, and being
right out of college and all of that, that's, you
know, a young engineer that we can mentor and bring
along like that. Obviously Georgia Tech had their
expertise nationally and internationally and all of
that.

And then, again, Mr. Robert Faye, my knowledge of his specific expertise in geohydrology, which I knew we would need to look at geohydrologic information at Camp Lejeune. And then of course Walter Grayman is from the water distribution side and, again, as I said, he's internationally recognized, so...

- Q. Were you happy with the performance of your team?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And I might add Barbara Anderson, she did not work for the project full time.
- Q. Okay. And you were satisfied with the performance of your team?
 - A. Yes, absolutely.
- MR. ANWAR: Why don't we take break

25 there.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the

- 2 record. The time is 12:05 p.m.
- 3 (A luncheon recess transpired.)
- 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
- 5 record. The time is 12:51 p.m.
- 6 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. We are back on the record from a short break, a lunch break. Mr. Maslia, are you okay to
- 9 | continue?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Okay. And during the lunch break, did
 you discuss the substance of your testimony with
 your lawyers at all?
- 14 A. Not at all.
- Q. When we concluded before the lunch break, we had just finished up a conversation about the water modeling team. Do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.

18

19

20

21

- Q. There was one person I forgot to ask you about, so I wanted to revisit. You had mentioned a John Doherty and I think you said test parameter estimation, something like that.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you -- could you tell me who John
 Doherty is?

2.2

2.4

2.5

A. Yeah, one of the more advanced techniques that are sometimes applied, depending on the situation, is an automated way of estimating model parameters. It would be called parameter estimation techniques. They are based on objective stochastic and statistical methods. He is internationally renowned as being in the forefront of developing those. And he's out of Australia, but he occasionally makes trips to the U.S. --

O. Okay.

A. -- to teach or lecture or do whatever.

And he is the developer of the PEST -- all

uppercase P-E-S-T code that is used either

independently of models or incorporated in some

models. And so when we got to the Hadnot Point and

Holcomb Boulevard, we were -- it was far more

complex than Tarawa Terrace would be, and found out

he was going to be in the U.S., so we figured we

could benefit from his expertise at ATSDR for a few

days or a week at most. And so he came down and

gave us some guidance in using the PEST model which

we used and is described in the Tarawa -- the

Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard reports.

Q. Understood. Thank you.

Did he only work on your team with

respect to Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard modeling?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

23

- Q. Okay. And could you describe for me a little bit more about what he specifically did as it relates to the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard modeling?
- A. Well, the application of parameter estimation is a complex endeavor. And you don't just throw numbers at it. You have to understand about parametrization and the statistics and what you want to get out of it and stuff like that. So he sort of helped us get the program going and apply it to the Hadnot Point groundwater flow and transport models as well as the water distribution system models, and that's described in the -- the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard Chapter A, which is the summary of findings and the supplements.
 - Q. Okay. I've got it. Thank you. So let's turn to slide eight.

MR. DEAN: Slide -- so we're back on the same Exhibit 7?

MR. ANWAR: Yes, we're, I think, back a slide.

- 24 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. And on slide eight, do you see it says

"2006 Community Assistance Panel convened?"

A. Uh-huh.

2.

2.2

- Q. Is that your understanding of when the Community Assistance Panel was convened?
- A. Yes. I was not directly involved in convening it or putting it together, but that seems to be around the time that I remember.
- Q. Okay. What is the Community Assistance Panel or the CAP as it relates to Camp Lejeune?
- A. That was -- that was a recommendation from Congress. They had had a health studies expert panel in 2005, so one of the recommendations that -- a congressionally mandated expert panel for the health studies part. And they saw that the affected community at Camp Lejeune, being widespread and disbursed out, really did not have any representation in assessing their health -- health conditions. And so it was put together and they, you know, provided input to ATSDR, not in decision-making, but just about historical issues related to Camp Lejeune.
- Q. And is that where it says "involvement" on the slide, "recommendations of 2005 CL Scientific Advisory Panel", is that the panel you're referring to that Congress mandated?

- A. Yes, yes, yes.
- Q. Did you attend that expert panel?
- A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Could you describe for me generally what the discussion was at that panel?
- A. I was limited, really, to just talking about, you know, groundwater modeling there. It was primarily focused on health affects, health studies. What additional health studies may be undertaken by ATSDR or what health studies should be undertaken. So it was primarily a health studies panel.
- Q. Do you recall who else attended that panel?
- A. I know a couple of ATSDR people did and the chair. I remember their names.
 - Q. Okay. What are their names?
- A. The chair was Dr. Cantor. I believe that's K-A-N-T-O-R [sic]. And they had some other panel members, but because they were in the epi/tox health, I really did not know of them professionally. And then it was Dr. Bove and Perri Ruckart. There may have been other ATSDR management people there.
 - Q. Understood. Do you know Dr. Cantor's

1 | first name?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. Not off the top of my head.
- Q. Okay. Were there any CAP members at the panel? The CAP hadn't been formed yet, right?
 - A. Right. There may have been some community members, but I don't recall specifically.
 - Q. Okay. Then on the slide it lists challenges. One is perception of lack of transparency. Untimely provision of information. And then two is -- well, so wait. Let's focus on one. Do you know what that's referring to?
 - A. I believe the CAP felt that they should be provided information on a regular basis as to what the ATSDR was doing, what the Department of Navy/USMC was providing to ATSDR and the progress of the health studies. And so they wanted a more open -- open process.
 - Q. It was the CAP that wanted that process?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. Okay. And then --
 - A. They wanted it more formalized.
- Q. Understood. Do you know what steps
 were taken to, I quess, formalize it?
 - A. There are documents at ATSDR that you

could, I assume, pull down from the Camp Lejeune website at ATSDR that describes the CAP, and that would probably be a better approach than asking me.

- Q. Okay. Fair enough. And then number two under challenges is frustration with missed milestones?
 - A. Right.

2.

2.2

- Q. Do you know what that's referring to?
- A. Probably the health study because the health study was waiting for results from the water modeling.
- Q. When you set out to do the initial Tarawa Terrace water modeling, I think before the break you told me you-all started setting, like, timelines and budgets in 2003, right?
 - A. Somewhere around there, yes.
- Q. What was your original goal to complete the Tarawa Terrace modeling?
- A. We thought we could complete it in four years with a caveat depending on the information that we needed, okay? Again, we did not know what information we needed operari other than general types with models required, but not specific to Camp -- Camp Lejeune, okay? So that's -- that's what we -- we said that...

- Q. Would you say it's fair to characterize the sort of data gathering process at Camp Lejeune as a large undertaking?
 - A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. And I think you mentioned this already, but could you remind me what the purpose of the CAP is?
- A. The actual full description of what the CAP is is described in the documents on the ATSDR website. We would provide them with regular updates, quarterly updates, as the progress of water modeling results or problems we were encountering. Health studies would provide them with what they were working on, and the CAP would provide feedback as to what some of the issues the community felt needed to be addressed.
- Q. Was the CAP compromised only of community members?
- A. At some point there were some representatives of the U.S. Marine Corps,

 Department of the Navy, and Veterans

 Administration, but I don't know if they were just brought in as technical-type people or representatives of those agencies. I don't know if they were officially on the CAP or not. You would

have to look that up.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. Okay. Do you recall how much input the CAP had on the water modeling project related to Camp Lejeune and/or the epi studies?
- A. They might bring us a document that they found saying, you know, there's this contamination here or there and all of that. And then, you know, we would have to look at the document and see if it's scientifically acceptable or that we need to do further research or investigation on to obtaining other documents to corroborate that. There were members of the CAP that actually served time at Camp Lejeune, so if we had a question about a housing area or, you know, a water treatment plant type thing they -- they -- they could provide us sometimes some very useful information.
- Q. Who are the members of the CAP that served at Camp Lejeune?
- A. It -- it varied. I remember -- I mean, two of them I know of, but there were others and I don't recall their names. Again, ATSDR has on its website the quarterly CAP meetings and you can pull them and find out who the CAP members were.
 - Q. Who are the two that you recall?

- 1 A. Mike Partain and Jerry Ensminger.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 MR. ANWAR: Can -- can you go to slide
- 4 | 22. Yeah, 23.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 6 | BY MR. ANWAR:

8

- 7 | 0. So slide 23 --
 - A. Yeah, that's not pulled up on my screen.
- MR. DEAN: I'm sorry. What?
- 11 THE WITNESS: 23.
- MR. DEAN: 23. What's -- it's not
- numbered on here. Bates stamp, can you tell me the
- 14 last three, four -- 37.
- MR. ANTONUCCI: 86.
- 16 MR. DEAN: 86.
- 17 THE WITNESS: There you go. One more
- 18 | slide. Okay. That's -- okay. Now I see it.
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 20 Q. And so this -- this slide is focused on
- 21 the CAP and it says "the purpose of these panels is
- 22 to, one, enhance effective communication of
- 23 environmental health concerns to ATSDR by the
- 24 public and to establish an avenue for ATSDR to
- 25 inform the community of site specific scientific

finds as they become available." And then two, it says "provide a means for community participation in ATSDR activities." Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And is that your understanding -- or is that consistent with your understanding of the purpose of the CAP?
- A. My understanding with respect to provide means of community participation would be -- I would add in an advisory role, okay? They didn't influence the ATSDR policy, but they could provide advice.
- Q. And then underneath there it lists the members of the CAP --
 - A. Right.
 - O. -- as of 2014.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. And you mentioned Jerry Ensminger and Mike Partain. Who is -- well, and then there's also listed Dr. Richard Clapp and he is denoted, I think, as one of the original members of the CAP. Is that consistent with your understanding?
 - A. I don't know if he was original or not, but he was a technical expert to the CAP. The CAP could have technical experts as part of their

1 | committee.

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know what he was a technical expert in?
 - A. Public health and epidemiology.
- Q. For as long as you were at ATSDR, were Jerry Ensminger, Mike Partain, and Dr. Richard Clapp part of -- or, yeah, Dr. Richard Clapp part of the CAP?
- A. Jerry Ensminger and Mike Partain were.

 I don't know when exactly Dr. Clapp got assigned to
 the -- to the CAP.
- Q. Okay. Did -- prior to 2014, were there other members of the CAP that aren't listed here?
- A. Yes, but I wouldn't -- I don't recall their -- their names.
- Q. Okay. And I don't think I asked you this before. Who is Jerry Ensminger?
- A. He's a retired Marine that's a community activist.
 - Q. Okay. And what about Mike Partain?
- A. He is the son of a Marine, or his parents resided at Camp Lejeune, and developed male breast cancer at the age of 35.
- Q. Is Mr. Partain also -- would you view him as a community activist?

Page 132 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the 1 2 question. 3 THE WITNESS: I really couldn't say about Mr. Partain. 4 5 BY MR. ANWAR: Ο. Okay. Do you know who Lori Freshwater 6 7 is? I know of her, yes. 8 Α. 9 Ο. Who is she? She was a member of the CAP. I believe 10 11 she's -- has something to do with -- with the news 12 reporting type -- type industry. Well, I mean, 13 that's her occupation. 14 Okay. Do you know her personally? Ο. 15 Α. No. Who is Christopher Orris, if you know? 16 Ο. 17 Yeah, I don't know. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Who is Tim Templeton, if you 19 know? 20 A member of the CAP. Again, I don't Α. 21 recall when he was appointed to the CAP, but he was 2.2 a member of the CAP. 23 Then we -- we discussed Dr. Ken Cantor. 0. 24 Α. Right. 25 Who is Gavin Smith? Ο.

- A. I -- I do not know.
- Q. Okay. Are there any members of the CAP that are not listed of -- like past members of the CAP that aren't listed here but you recall?
- A. Not -- not really. I would have to go through the ATSDR CAP meeting transcripts to...
- Q. Okay. Understood. Could we fast-forward to -- it's slide 26. Oh, there it is. Slide 26 ending in Bates range 3789.
- THE WITNESS: Kevin, can you pull up

 there --
- MR. DEAN: I'm sorry. What page?

 MR. ANWAR: It's slide 26 ending in

 Bates range 3789.
- 15 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. And it says "why important?" And then if we scroll to the very next slide there's a slide. It's called H.R. 1742, the Janey Ensminger Act. And I'll read the text. It says "to amend Title 38 United States Code to direct the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a presumption of service connection for illnesses associated with contaminants in the water supply at Marine Corps base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina and to provide health care to family members of veterans who lived

1 | at Camp Lejeune while the water was contaminated."

- Did I read that correctly?
- A. Yes.

3

7

11

12

13

- Q. With you familiar with the Janey
 Ensminger Act?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your understanding of it?
- 8 A. It was signed by President Barack
 9 Obama. The exact year I don't know. Maybe 2012 or
 10 so.
 - Q. And is it this act that established presumptions of service connection for illnesses related to exposure to water at Camp Lejeune as -
 MR. DEAN: Object -- object to the form
- 15 of the question.
- 16 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 17 Q. Okay. Let me -- let me rephrase it.
- 18 Based on your -- what is your understanding of what
- 19 | the Janey Ensminger Act did?
- 20 A. I don't have a specific understanding.
- 21 I never actually read the act. In general it
- 22 provided health care for family members.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. But that's all that -- I don't know any other specifics.

- Q. Okay. And when you say health care, do you mean through the VA or...
 - A. I'd really have to read -- read the act.
 - Q. Okay. Who is Janey Ensminger?
 - A. It's the deceased daughter of Jerry Ensminger.
 - Q. Okay. If you go to the next slide, that slide says "President Obama signed the bill into law on August 6, 2012." Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And that's consistent with your understanding that it was passed in 2012, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And then it says "the bill applies to 15 specific ailments believed to be linked to contamination." And then it lists those. Do you have any understanding of that?
 - A. Just what it says on the slide.
- Q. Okay. Aside from what it says on the slide, you don't have any understanding of the Janey Ensminger Act aside from that it provides health care?
- 25 A. Not the legal or political

ramifications of the act.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. Would you agree that ATSDR's water modeling efforts and health studies related to Camp Lejeune were used to help make policy decisions in passing this bill?
- MR. DEAN: Help. Object to the form of the question. You used the word "help", so it's an opinion. So object to the form of the question. I mean, you can rephrase your question if you want to, but...
- MR. ANWAR: I mean, I'll ask it again, and you can object to form, but I'm asking for your understanding.
- 14 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. Would you agree that ATSDR's water

 modeling efforts and health studies related to Camp

 Lejeune were used in some manner to make policy

 decisions that ultimately led to the passage of the

 Janey Ensminger Act?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.
- 22 BY MR. ANWAR:
 - O. You can answer.
- A. Okay. The policy issue is well, well, well, well above my pay grade when I was in ATSDR. The

water distribution system modeling, again, provided mean monthly concentrations and if someone saw that they were above a certain health criteria, they may have considered that in the act, but I don't know of a direct linkage between what we did -- I was never asked to provide input to the legislation.

Q. Okay. Let's pull up what we'll mark as Exhibit 7 -- no, exhibit --

MS. BAUGHMAN: Eight.

MR. ANWAR: Eight.

(DFT. EXHIBIT 8, letter from Department of Health and Human Services dated January 16, 2013, was marked for identification.)

MR. DEAN: Is it in Dropbox? I mean,

in -- what's it called? I don't see it in the --

MR. ANTONUCCI: I can add it right now.

17 | Sorry about that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

MR. DEAN: Okay.

MR. ANTONUCCI: It's in the shared

folder marked as Exhibit 8.

MR. DEAN: Okay. Got it.

22 THE WITNESS: Okay.

MR. ANWAR: And would you mind zooming

24 into it a little bit.

25 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. I'll just represent to you that -- that I just pulled this letter from ATSDR's website and it looks to be -- to me to be a letter dated January 16, 2013 addressed to General Allison Hickey of the Under Secretary for Benefits at the VA from a Christopher Portier the, at the time, director for the National Center of Environmental Health and Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes. Well, I mean, you zoomed -- I saw it when you scrolled real quickly.
 - Q. Okay.

MR. DEAN: So -- so let me object to the use of this document because it's not Bates stamped. I presume it's been produced somewhere and I recognize you said you got it from the public website, but I don't have that personal knowledge. Do you know -- it's not in -- it's not in the government's productions in this case, but with that said, I'm just making a point that I -- it's not a Bates-stamped document and it's not in the government's protections in this case.

MR. ANWAR: Okay. I'm not sure that it's not in the government's production. I suspect it likely is, but I pulled it from the website,

1 so --

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

MR. DEAN: No objection. Just making a note here if we do have it somewhere, I would like to substitute the Bates-stamped version at a later date. That's all I'm going at.

MR. ANWAR: Fair enough.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. And my first question to you about this document is have you seen it before?
 - A. No, I've never seen that.
- Q. Okay. I would just -- do you know who, excuse me, General Allison Hickey is for the Under Secretary for Benefits Department of VA?
 - A. I've never heard the name.
 - Q. Do you know who Christopher Portier is?
- A. Yeah, Dr. Portier was the ATSDR director maybe from 2010 through 2013.
- Q. Okay. And I'm just going to quickly direct your attention to the first -- the first paragraph of the letter says, "the purpose of this letter is to provide the Department of Veterans Affairs preliminary information regarding our assessment of volatile organic compound exposures in drinking water distributed by Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard water treatment plants at the

United -- at United States Marine Corps base Camp
Lejeune."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. Okay. And then the second paragraph states "the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry has conducted a series of environmental and epidemiological assessments of contaminated drinking water at USC -- USMC base Camp Lejeune. The foundation of our effort is based on modeling of contamination of the drinking water supply before 1987. The modeling was necessary because there was relatively few drinking water samples tested for VOCs during the period of contamination, none prior to 1982 when VOC contamination was first detected."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And is that consistent with your understanding?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. We can read the next paragraph quickly. It says "ATSDR has focused on three different drinking water distribution systems;

 Tarawa Terrace, Hadnot Point, Holcomb Boulevard."

Did I read that correctly?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Yes, yes.
- Q. And are those the three -- three systems that you modeled to estimate contaminant concentrations?
 - A. Yes, it is. Yes, they are.
- Q. And then it goes on to say "we released the final Tarawa Terrace drinking water system report June 2007. That report concluded that former Marines and their families who lived in Tarawa Terrace family housing units during the period November 1957 through February 1987 received drinking water with the dry cleaning solvent PCE at levels above current EPA maximum contaminant level of five parts per billion. The executive summary of the report is located on our website." And then it sites to the modeling -- the executive summary for TTE. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. You read that correctly.
- Q. Okay. And is that your understanding of the -- the water modeling related to Camp

 Lejeune -- or is it consistent with your understanding related to your water modeling efforts for Camp Lejeune?
 - A. With one caveat.

1 Q. Sure.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. The executive summary was prepared for senate subcommittee members and their staffers and it is not written or presented in the highly technical matter that the summary of findings

 Chapter A and all the chapters of the Tarawa

 Terrace reports are. Those were released initially in July 2007.
- Q. Okay. Did you write the executive summary?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Okay. And did you write it knowing that it was going to be provided to senate committee members?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And I guess other Congress members?
 - A. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.
 - Q. No, it's okay. It's very natural.
 - A. Yes, I specifically tailored it. And I don't mean this as a criticism, but it was using larger font type and...
 - O. Yeah.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Making it easier to read and

25 understand --

1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. -- for people that are not modelers,
 right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. Do you remember what senators or Congress members that the letter was sent to?
 - A. I would have to look up because I was subpoenaed to appear at that senate subcommittee hearing.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. And there's obviously some record of who -- who -- who was there, but I don't recall offhand their specific names.
 - Q. The senate subcommittee hearing you're referring to, is that the one that took place in June of 2007?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so this would've gone to the senators and Congress members that attended that hearing?
 - A. Yes, it was released whatever the date of the subcommittee hearing. I seem to think

 June 12th, but whatever. So it was typical that we did -- they would embargo a report and release it first to the parties that needed it, in this case,

the senators and their staffers, and then publicly release -- release it after that.

- Q. Okay. And then it goes on to talk about the findings of the model, but I wanted to direct you to the last paragraph.
 - A. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. It says "I hope this information is useful as the Department of Veteran Affairs evaluates" --
 - A. Please scroll. Okay. Thank you.
- It says "I hope this information is Ο. useful as the Department of Veterans Affairs evaluates claims from veterans who served at USMC Camp Lejeune prior to the release of our full water modeling report in the spring. ATSDR is also on schedule to release its mortality study and birth defect and childhood cancer studies in spring 2013. While we finalize our water modeling and these epi studies, I will make certain that we brief the Department of Veterans Affairs staff on our findings. I would also like to recognize the efforts of your -- your department in supporting ATSDR's work and serving Camp Lejeune veterans and their families who were exposed to contaminated drinking water."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, you did.

2.

2.2

Q. Okay. Does that -- does that paragraph in particular reflect -- refresh your recollection at all as to sort of whether the water modeling efforts made by you and your team and the epi studies by ATSDR were used to help make policy decisions?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Again, I just was not involved in any of the legislation or legislative -- so I don't know what documents or analyses were provided before the official publication of our reports to congressional staffers, so I really could not answer. And then this talks about the veterans affairs, and I never was involved with anything to do with the veterans affairs from -- representing ATSDR. Other people were, but I was not.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Okay. Understood. Do you know who was involved in those conversations?
- A. I know at least Dr. Bove was at some point in time and probably Dr. Tom Sinks who was

- deputy director of ATSDR and NCEH. 1
 - Ο. Fair enough. Thank you.
 - I wanted to quickly turn back to -- and we can take this exhibit down.
- 5 We, a few moments ago, discussed the -the Janey Ensminger Act. Do you recall that? 6
 - Α. Yes.

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

- And I believe you testified Janey Ο. Ensminger was the daughter of Jerry Ensminger, correct?
- That's correct. Α.
 - And he was on the CAP, correct? Q.
- 13 Α. That is correct.
- Did you talk with Mr. Ensminger at all 14 Ο. 15 about the Janey Ensminger Act before it was passed 16 in 2012?
- 17 Α. No.
- You called Mr. Ensminger an activist. 18 Q.
- 19 Why -- why is that?
- 20 Α. Because he was very proactive because 21 he saw the cause for the death of his daughter at 2.2 age nine a result of the water contamination at
- 23 Camp -- Camp Lejeune.
- 24 Ο. And in what ways was he proactive?
- 25 I believe he helped in some ways to get Α.

- Congress to fund -- maybe to fund ATSDR to conduct the health studies, okay? And if we were in need some of information for the water modeling or for the epi studies in terms of base logistics and things like that, he was a good source of information.
- Q. Is -- is that -- or would it be fair to characterize what Mr. Ensminger did as sort of lobbying Congress related to Camp Lejeune?

 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I really did not have any experience in lobbying Congress or what one does to lobby Congress, so I couldn't answer that.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

- Q. But you are -- I guess a moment ago you said he helped, I guess, working with Congress to get funding or --
- A. Yeah, yes, he would -- let me back up. From ear to ear there may be questions as to how much funding was available or reduce the funding, the typical congressional budget activities. So he spoke up on behalf of ATSDR as to why we needed the full amount of our budget and why we needed it in a timely manner.

Q. Do you know if he was having conversations with Congress members or senators?

- A. I don't know. I don't have any direct knowledge of that.
- Q. Okay.

3

- A. Could I ask for a bathroom break real quick?
- 8 MR. ANWAR: Sure. Let's take -- let's 9 five.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
- 12 record. The time is 1:29 p.m.
- 13 (A recess transpired.)
- 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
- 15 record. The time is 1:35 p.m.
- 16 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. We are back on the record from a short
- 18 break. Mr. Maslia, are you okay to continue?
- 19 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Okay. Did you, during the break, speak
- 21 about your substance of your testimony with your
- 22 | counsel?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. I just wanted to put on the
- 25 record the VA letter that I showed a moment ago as,

- 1 | I believe, Exhibit 8 has been produced at CLJ,
- 2 underscore, water modeling, underscore, 01-0000076
- 3 | 158-59 and we are happy to substitute a copy of the
- 4 Bates-stamped version of that as the exhibit.
- 5 So I would like to show you now what
- 6 had been previously marked as Exhibit 6, but we
- 7 kind of went out of order, so this is Exhibit 6,
- 8 but the first time we'll be discussing this
- 9 document.
- MR. DEAN: Okay.
- 11 (DFT. EXHIBIT 6, ATSDR document
- 12 | entitled "Camp Lejeune, Summary of the Water
- 13 | Contamination Situation at Camp Lejeune", was
- 14 marked for identification.)
- 15 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 16 Q. I'll represent to you that I'm showing
- 17 | you a water modeling summary from ATSDR's website
- 18 entitled "summary of water contamination situation
- 19 at Camp Lejeune." Did I read that correctly?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And are you familiar with this
- 22 page?
- A. No, I'm not. It must be a newer page
- 24 because when I was at ATSDR they never used scan
- 25 | codes, QR codes.

- Q. Okay. Do you know if you were involved in providing information or populating the information on this page?
 - A. Yes, I was.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And we can sort of scroll through it, at least up there and then your counsel can scroll through it for you, but I wanted to ask you to take a look at it, and based on your review of it, is the information contained within this website summary, the water modeling website summary, true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?

MR. DEAN: All right. So let's just start at the top and then you tell me to scroll.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Go ahead and scroll. Okay. Go ahead and scroll. Okay. Go ahead and scroll. Okay. I've read it.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Based on your review of this page, is the information contained on the modeling summary true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And so I just want to now talk through it in a bit more detail and then we'll walk through it. According to the page it says there were eight

water distribution systems that supplied finished water to family housing and other facilities at Camp Lejeune, right?

A. That is correct.

2.

2.2

- Q. Then it lists eight water distribution systems. In the middle of the page it states "three water distribution pants, Hadnot Point, Tarawa Terrace, and Holcomb Boulevard have historically supplied finished water to the majority of family housing units at the base and were contaminated with volatile organic compounds, VOCs. Information about these three water treatment plants is provided below. Other non-based treatments plants were not contaminated."

 Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So it lists the eight water distribution plants there, and I just wanted to confirm with you, based on your understanding, the water distribution system for Courthouse Bay was not contaminated with VOCs, right?
- A. I would not be able to answer that without looking at documents because we really did not look at areas other than Tarawa Terrace, Hadnot Point, and Holcomb Boulevard, okay, which were the

family housing areas as the website points out. So we really did not do -- gather any information or data to be able to make that statement yes or no for any of the other areas.

Q. Is the reason that you didn't gather information related to those other areas -- and I'll just read them quickly. Courthouse Bay, Rifle Range, Onslow Beach, Montford Point/Camp Johnson, New River. Is the reason you didn't gather information related to those water distribution systems and did not model those water distribution systems because you're not aware or you have no reason to believe that they were contaminated?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No, that's not the reason.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Tell me the reason.
- A. The reason why Congress funded ATSDR through the Department of Navy to analyze family housing areas, and that's the three that we have previously mentioned here, those are not family housing areas. And when we went on base in July 2003 and toured around, we -- I, in fact,

mentioned to my points of contact, I said, well, if we're going to do water modeling on those three areas, we can just as easily do it on the whole base, and I was told that that was not going to happen.

- Q. Okay. Would it be fair to say, then, as it relates to the water modeling efforts that you performed, the water modeling does not reach any conclusions about water contamination -- VOC contamination, water contamination, at these other water distribution systems, Rifle Range, Courthouse Bays -- Courthouse Bay, Onslow Beach, Montford Point/Camp Johnson and New River in the air station?
- A. Just roll that back right there. Okay. One thing I did notice, based on our analysis, we did look at Montford Point and Camp Johnson because it was connected to Tarawa Terrace through a pipeline.
 - Q. And --

2.

2.2

- A. And -- well, that's what -- I just want to correct the record for that.
- Q. Did you make any determination about whether Montford Point/Camp Johnson was providing water to Tarawa Terrace or Tarawa Terrace was

providing water to Camp Johnson?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. There's -- that's been a subject of controversy, I will say, because there's some people who believe, based on certain documents, that Tarawa Terrace, which was contaminated, provided drinking water to Montford Point and Camp Johnson. Though all the investigation that we did and their documents that show that Tarawa Terrace was so short on water that Camp Johnson provided water to Tarawa Terrace.
- Q. That's what -- based on your investigation --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- you believe Camp Johnson provided water to Tarawa Terrace?
- A. Yes, yes, when needed. When needed by Tarawa Terrace.
 - Q. When needed.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your water model -- the water modeling efforts related to Camp Lejeune didn't examine Courthouse Bay, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the water modeling efforts related to Camp Lejeune didn't examine the Rifle Range,

correct?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- That is correct. Α.
- The water modeling efforts related to Q. Camp Lejeune didn't examine Onslow Beach, correct?
 - Α. That is correct.
- The water modeling efforts that you --Ο. you landed on related to Camp Lejeune didn't examine the Montford Point/Camp Johnson's water distribution, correct?
- Say that again. Sorry. I didn't Α. understand.
- Okay. Let me -- you did not -- the 0. water modeling efforts that you and your team performed related to Camp Lejeune do not show that Montford Point/Camp Johnson's water distribution system were -- was contaminated or affected by VOCs?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: We investigated Camp Johnson/Montford Point from a water distribution side because they had a pipeline connecting that was Tarawa Terrace. So to understand the operations at Tarawa Terrace, we had to instrument certain pertinences at Camp Johnson and Montford

1 Point.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Okay. And I think earlier you stated based on your investigation you believe that Camp Johnson provided water to Tarawa Terrace and not the other way around, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And do you, as you sit here today, have any reason to believe that the water distribution system at -- or do you have any evidence that the water distribution system at Camp Johnson was affected by VOCs or contaminated from '53 -- 1953 to 1987?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: No, I do not.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Okay. And what was it about your investigation that led you to the conclusion that Camp Johnson was providing water to Tarawa Terrace?
- A. We looked at the present day, meaning 2004 water distribution system because that's when we came on base, okay? Initially said we -- they had -- because the Marine Corps and most of the military bases do not meter their water. So we had to find out how much water was flowing through the

system, so we had to instrument the distribution system. And one of the controlling tanks was over at Montford Point/Camp Johnson for Holcomb -- by 2004 it was Holcomb Boulevard that it was controlling for.

O. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. So we would have to -- we did, in fact, instrument a tank, a controlling tank, there based on the water level at Camp Johnson and Montford Point, that's when the pumps at either Tarawa Terrace or Holcomb Boulevard would come on.
- Q. Okay. And the -- your and your team's efforts related to Camp Lejeune water modeling, the water modeling does not show or does not examine New River Air Station, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. The water modeling also does not examine Camp Geiger, right?
 - A. Is that correct.
- Q. Do you have any reason or evidence to believe that Camp Geiger was impacted by VOCs or water contamination?
- A. We just never looked at it, so I couldn't say. I did not review any -- any data.
 - Q. Okay.

A. Okay? So I could not say whether it was contaminated or not.

- Q. I want to turn back quickly to the Montford Point/Camp Johnson issue. And I will show you what we'll upload -- what we're marking as Exhibit 9.
- (DFT. EXHIBIT 9, e-mail correspondence Bates-stamped CL_MASLIA_0000000817 and 818, was marked for identification.)
 - MR. DEAN: So for the record, just to clear this up while he's bringing that up, Exhibit No. 8 that you marked, which was that ATSDR un-Bates-stamped document.
 - MR. ANWAR: Yeah.
- MR. DEAN: For the record is CLJA,

 underscore, VA, underscore, RFP, underscore, fourth

 set underscore, 4109. And I'd ask that we replace

 and use that version for his depo.
 - MR. ANWAR: Okay. We'll take a look at a break and we can -- assuming it's the same thing, that shouldn't be an issue.
- MR. DEAN: Okay.
- MR. ANWAR: Is the exhibit up? Okay.
- 24 | If you'll go ahead and display it, please.
- 25 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

Q. Okay. We are pulling up what has been marked as Exhibit 9 or will be marked as Exhibit 9.

MR. ANWAR: And I will just note for the record before we start talking about this document that we -- we -- this -- so this was produced to us in response to the subpoena issued to Mr. Maslia, and we provided notice to the Plaintiffs Leadership Group who did not object to us holding onto the document or seek to --

MR. DEAN: I agree.

11 BY MR. ANWAR:

3

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

12 Q. Okay. So --

MR. DEAN: And for the record, the reason I told you I provided it to you -- because this is an e-mail from Jerry Ensminger to Mr. Maslia during his consulting with us. He then forwarded it to me, so I had the communication. Therefore, I felt the need and obligation to produce it to you.

MR. ANWAR: Okay.

- 21 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. So let's scroll down to the bottom of the e-mail.
- 24 A. Okay.
- MR. DEAN: Oh, the bottom one?

MR. ANWAR: Yeah, the one from

- 2 Mr. Ensminger.
- 3 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. So it looks like the chain starts --
- 5 | the first e-mail on the chain is dated April 29,
- 6 2024, and it is an e-mail from Jerry Ensminger,
- 7 Mr. Ensminger, to you, Mr. Maslia.
- 8 A. Right.
 - 0. Is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And from my review of it and
- 12 | just from the subject it says "I am sharing CLW1191
- 13 | with you" and then he provides a link to, I think,
- 14 | the document; is that right?
- 15 A. Hold on. I'm not seeing -- i am
- 16 | sharing --

- 17 MR. DEAN: That's in the subject line.
- 18 | THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay.
- 19 Yes, yes, that is correct.
- 20 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. And to the best of your recollection,
- 22 | is that what the link was, the link to that
- 23 | document?
- A. It was a link to a CLW Camp Lejeune
- 25 | water document.

Q. Okay. And do you know why he was sending that document to you?

2.

2.2

- A. I guess he -- my understanding is that there were individuals who believed Tarawa Terrace, because it was contaminated with contaminated drinking water and contaminated wells, was -- was supplying water to Camp Johnson and Montford Point.
- Q. Okay. And so that's what he -- was being sent to you to look at that question?
- A. To look at that document. He felt that that document proved their point.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall what that document is, CLW1191?
- A. Yes, it's a document that describes -if you could scroll down to the top part -- scroll
 up to the top part of the letter, that in the
 document it describes the pipeline going -- there's
 a pipeline going from Tarawa Terrace to Montford
 Point/Camp Johnson and that a Tarawa Terrace was -and the capacities of how much each system in terms
 of million of gallons per day were producing or
 needed, and that Tarawa Terrace was substantially
 short on water.
- Q. Okay. And so I'm just going to read the document. It says at the top of -- so the top

of the chain is dated April 30th, 2014 and it's from you, Mr. Maslia, to Mr. Dean, counsel, and -- is that right?

- A. That -- yes. And somebody from the outside would contact me about work that was consulting on, then I would contact counsel to see if they wanted me to respond or they should respond or...
- Q. Understood. And so your e-mail to Mr. Dean states "received from Jerry Ensminger. Have not responded to his e-mail. I am aware of the CLW1191 document. We have always said there is a pipeline connecting Tarawa Terrace and Camp Johnson. It is shown in Figure A-4 and Plate 1 of the Tarawa Terrace Chapter A report."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And then the next paragraph states "the issue is did Tarawa Terrace provide drinking water to Camp Johnson or did Camp Johnson provide drinking water to Tarawa Terrace?"

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.2

Q. Okay. And the last paragraph states "the answer is Tarawa Terrace was very short on

drinking water, especially in the summer as 1 indicated in CLW1191, so Camp Johnson provided uncontaminated drinking water to Tarawa Terrace. 3 Camp Johnson is at a higher elevation than Tarawa 4 5 Terrace, so that a pump would need -- would be 6 needed for Tarawa Terrace to provide water to Camp Johnson, which did not exist. Additionally, the controlling tank for Tarawa Terrace's tank SM-63 --8 623, excuse me, an elevated storage tank. Thus, 10 based on the water demand and water level in the 11 elevated tank, Camp Johnson would provide 12 uncontaminated drinking water to Tarawa Terrace." 13 Does I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And is that still your conclusion today?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. We can remove that exhibit and go back to Exhibit 6. Okay. Do you have that exhibit in front of you?
 - A. I think we need to scroll up.

MR. DEAN: I'm sorry. It's at 6 again?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, right there. Okay.

24 BY MR. ANWAR:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.5

Q. And so looking at Exhibit 6 again, only

the water distribution systems at Tarawa Terrace,
Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard were affected
with contaminated water, right?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: The three -- the three that you mentioned were contaminated with volatile organic compounds and BTEX compounds. Again, the others we did not specifically look at. That would be, I think, incorrect to make a determination as to whether they were contaminated or not contaminated.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.2

2.5

Q. In the middle of the page, that middle paragraph that we went to, it says "information about these three water treatment plans is provided below. Other on-base treatment plants were not contaminated."

Would you -- would you agree with that statement, "other on-base treatment plants were not contaminated?"

- A. If that's the agency's position, then I would agree with that.
- Q. Okay. As you sit here today, you have no reason to dispute that statement, which is on

1 | ATSDR's website?

- 2 A. No.
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.
- 5 BY MR. ANWAR:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 6 | 0. Was that a "no"?
 - A. That was I have no reason to doubt this -- the text on the web -- webpage.
 - Q. Great. So with respect to Tarawa

 Terrace, Hadnot Point, and Holcomb Boulevard, what

 were the VOCs and contaminants at -- or chemicals

 at issue?
 - A. At Tarawa Terrace the primary source contaminant was tetrachloroethylene or perc or perchloroethylene, which is a dry cleaning and the degradation products from that. At Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard, they had a number of source contaminants. Again, you had perchloroethylene, PCE. They had an on-base dry cleaner. You also had TCE or tetrachloroethylene, and you also had BTEX products.
 - O. Which is benzene?
- A. Benzene, toluene.
- 24 And then at Holcomb Boulevard they had 25 intermittent contamination because of opening a

- pump in the Marston pump 742 and Marston Pavilion valve to provide Hadnot Point water to Holcomb

 Boulevard on an intermittent basis.
 - Q. Okay. And I just wanted to quickly just walk through each of the -- the treatment systems with respect to -- and starting with Tarawa Terrace, since I think your report for Tarawa Terrace came first. It says here "began operation in 1952"; is that right?
- 10 A. Yeah.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Okay. And then it says -- and when it says "began operation", is it referring to the water distribution system for Tarawa Terrace?
 - A. That would be our understanding.
- Q. Okay. And then it says "the Tarawa Terrace water distribution system was shut down in March of 1970 -- or 1987"; is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's your understanding as well, right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And it says "the Tarawa Terrace water distribution systems" --
- A. Can you scroll up a little? That's good. Okay.

- Q. It says "areas served for the Tarawa Terrace water distribution system, TT, family housing, Knox Trailer Park; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And is that your understanding as well?
 - A. That's my understanding as well.
 - Q. Are there any other areas within Tarawa
 Terrace that you -- that are -- you're aware of
 that were impacted by the water distribution
 systems in Tarawa Terrace?
 - A. No, I'm not.
 - Q. Okay. You mentioned that PCE was the main contaminant at Tarawa Terrace, right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. And then you mentioned degradation products of PCE, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. It says in -- on the page, the source of contamination was ABC One Cleaners, an off-base dry cleaning firm; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. All right. And the degradation products for PCE with respect to Tarawa Terrace that I, at least, saw that the model -- your

modeling for Tarawa Terrace looked at were DEC, TCE and vinyl chloride?

A. That is correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. And those three particular chemicals, again, were only as degradation products of PCE, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. ATSDR's water modeling for
 Tarawa Terrace didn't model benzene concentrations
 for Tarawa Terrace, right?
- A. That is correct. Although we documented benzene contamination at one or two locations for data -- data discovery purposes and that's included in some of the reports.
- Q. If I understand your prior deposition testimony correctly, you-all didn't model or look at benzene in the Tarawa Terrace model because any benzene samples that were discovered didn't -- weren't high enough to cause you any concern, correct?
- A. I recall we didn't model benzene because we could not identify a source for benzene even though there were water samples that showed hits of benzene. I don't recall specifically their -- their levels. I do recall them being low,

but whether they were above or below an MCL just without looking at our reports, I could not say, but the primary reason not modeling it was we could not identify the source of that benzene.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Okay. And your -- and I'm just going to, like, read it verbatim. Your prior deposition testimony on this particular topic you state, quote, after reviewing the data and the analyses that we did based on the underground storage tanks, we did not -- number one, we thought number one that whatever qasoline -- because at Tarawa Terrace there were gasoline holding tanks leaks was small enough in nature that it did not impact any of the supply wells, so there was no major source of benzene and, in fact, the results there are, I think, two or three samples at the water treatment plant that are, say, one to four, maybe there's a seven micrograms per liter were substantially low that it did not, again, indicate there was a source at Tarawa Terrace for benzene contamination of groundwater supplies that would impact drown -impact drinking water.

MR. DEAN: Object to the form. If you have -- I can get me a copy of it, but I believe the witness is entitled to review the transcript.

1 MR. ANWAR: We can pull it back up. 2. It's marked as an exhibit. BY MR. ANWAR: 3 But my -- just -- and I'll pull it up 4 Ο. 5 here in a second for you to take a look. Based on 6 having just read your -- your deposition testimony 7 there, is that still your understanding today? MR. DEAN: So --8 9 THE WITNESS: Let's just see the 10 deposition. 11 MR. DEAN: I believe he needs to have 12 an opportunity to take a look at the transcript. 13 So if you give me just a second, I'll --14 MR. ANWAR: We can pull it up. It's up 15 now. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 MR. ANWAR: It's page 71. 18 MR. DEAN: What exhibit? 19 MR. ANWAR: It was Exhibit 3. 20 MR. DEAN: And what page are you on? 21 MR. ANWAR: Page 71. 2.2 MR. DEAN: I'll go to page 70. So let 23 me just do this so you can scroll through it, okay? 24 You might want to look a page or two before and a

page or two after. He said it's on page -- what

Page 171 1 did you say? MR. ANWAR: Starts at 71 to -- that's 2. 3 his response. You can look -- is that -- yeah. THE WITNESS: Can you scroll that one 4 5 down to a page number so I can see the 6 corresponding page number on my... 7 MR. DEAN: Go by the Bates-stamp number. He's at 9579. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. Hold on. 9579. 10 Oh, okay. I'm not even close to there. Okay. BY MR. ANWAR: 11 12 The deposition transcript page number 0. 13 on the right-hand corner is 71. Yeah, I'm there. I'm at 65. Hold on. 14 Α. 15 Okay. Here we go. Okay. Here we go. 16 And starting at line seven. Ο. 17 Α. Yeah. Okay. I'm reading. Okay. 18 Yes, I would -- I would still stand by 19 my deposition. 20 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 6, please. Thank you. 21 MR. DEAN: Okay. 2.2 BY MR. ANWAR: 23 24 At the top of the page -- could we Ο.

scroll up a little bit? Okay. So on Exhibit 6

it's says "the water distribution system at Hadnot Point began operation in 1942"; is that right?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And then it says "the areas served were Mainside barracks, Hospital Point family housing, and then family housing at Midway, Paradise Point, and Berkeley Manor until 1972"; is that right?
- A. It also served the Navy -- the old Navy hospital that was located at Hospital Point, okay?
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It was both family housing and the hospital.
- Q. Understood. Is that reflected in your -- your reports?
 - A. It's on the maps that -- that we produced as part of the reports, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So Mainside barracks, Hospital Point family housing and the hospital, and then the family housing at Midway, Paradise Point, and Berkeley Manor until 1972; is that right?
 - A. I suppose I'm a little confused here because Hadnot Point is still operating.
 - Q. Are you --
 - A. It seems to indicate that family

- housing at Midway until June 1972. They're either
 missing some text there or -- because I know Midway
 Park and -- okay, okay, okay, let me correct that.
 Yeah, 1972, that's when Holcomb Boulevard came
 online, so that's correct.
 - O. That is correct?
 - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay.
- A. Sorry for the confusion.
- Q. It's okay. And you said TCE was the main contaminant or the main VOC of concern at Hadnot Point, correct?
 - A. At Hadnot Point, yes.
 - Q. And then I think you also said you considered PCE and benzene, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Do you recall the sources of contamination at Hadnot Point?
 - A. There are multiple sources. For the TCE it would have been the landfill at Hadnot Point. For the PCE it would have also have been the landfill. They had an on-base dry cleaner, so there was some assumptions we had to make, but, in other words, PCE cannot be a degradation product of TCE, so it had to be a source, okay?

1 Q. Understood.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. And then you would have the fuel farm, which -- where you would have the benzene contamination.
- Q. So my understanding of the sources were underground leaking storage tanks and waste disposal sites; is that right?
- A. That would have been -- the underground storage tanks would have primarily been for the fuel farm.
 - O. Okay.
- A. And then the landfill is, you know, where things -- industrial items and things like that would have been dumped into, so that would have been the source for the TCE and the PCE as well.
- Q. In the three specific areas I have down and you mention in your prior deposition are the Hadnot Point industrial area, Hadnot Point landfill, and then HP-645 area, Building 645?
- A. That's part of the -- well, what we were -- we did the analysis referring to the HP fuel farm and the industrial area.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. So it was just a specific building in

1 | that area.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. So the -- okay. Understood. And so for -- I think I missed this, but it said further down for Tarawa Terrace -- I'm sorry that I'm jumping back to Tarawa Terrace.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. It says "most contaminated wells were shut down in February 1985."
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware of any contaminated wells that weren't shut down?
- A. They were all shut down by -- during 1987, but they'd shut down the -- I think three primary contaminated wells, TT-26, TT-23 and, I think, TT-25 in '85 and that's actually one of the graphs in our Chapter A report for Tarawa Terrace, will tell you when the wells shut down.
- Q. Based on your understanding, is there evidence or a factual basis for there being VOC contamination in the Tarawa Terrace water distribution system between February 1985 and December 1987? So like the --
- A. It would be a small amount, yes, because the -- besides those three big contaminated wells that were shut down, the other wells, which

were pulling contaminated groundwater up were not shut down.

- Q. So is it your understanding that there was sort of remnant contaminated water from the three wells that were shut down from 87 -- '85 to '87?
- A. No, I would describe it as the aquifer underlying Tarawa Terrace was contaminated, okay? And you shut down the three big supply wells going into the distribution system in '85, but the remaining wells were still putting water into the distribution system along with uncontaminated wells, but their concentrations were substantially lower than the three big ones that were shut down in '85, so it would have been diluted down.
- Q. After those three wells -- the most contaminated wells were shut down from '85 to '87 is -- is there sampling data related to -- do you recall the -- showing that the aquifer and other wells were still contaminated?
- A. I would have to look back -- look through our reports.
- Q. Okay. Would you defer to what your reports say about observed data?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. Okay. And so jumping back to Hadnot Point, for Hadnot Point the most contaminated wells were shut down by February 1985 as well, correct?
- A. I'm not seeing where you're reading that or...
 - Q. It's pages --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. Oh, okay. Most contaminated wells were shut down. This is for Hadnot Point, yes.
- Q. And for that period between

 February 1985 and December 1987, is your -- do you have any evidence or sort of factual basis for believing that there were other wells at Hadnot Point that were still contaminated?
- A. There was contamination. We carried out the historical reconstruction simulations through 2008. So if you go to -- again, I'm going to refer to our reports because they have graphs in there showing the concentrations in the wells and the finished water past '85.
- Q. Okay. Got it. And then Holcomb

 Boulevard it states "began operation in June 1972";
 is that right?
 - A. Yes, that -- that is our estimate.
- Q. And it says "family housing at" -- or "areas served family housing at" --

- A. Let me just scroll, scroll up. Kevin, if you can scroll down to Holcomb Boulevard for me. There you go. Okay.
- Q. Under Holcomb Boulevard it says "areas served family housing at Midway Park, Paradise Point, Berkeley Manor, and Watkins Village and then served Tarawa Terrace family housing after March 1987"; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. It says "Holcomb Boulevard wells were generally not contaminated"; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. But the last two bullet points

 "contaminated water from Hadnot Point water

 treatment plant supplied the drinking water system
 when the Holcomb Boulevard plant was shut down
 during January 27 to February 7, 1985?"
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And then the last bullet point,

 "contaminated water from Hadnot Point water

 treatment plant was used intermittently to

 supplement the Holcomb Boulevard drinking water

 supply during dry spring and summer months when

 demand was high in 1972 and 1985?"
 - A. Yes, that is correct.

- Okay. We can go ahead and take that 1 0. exhibit down. 2. MR. DEAN: Haroon, can we take a 3 bathroom break? 4 5 MS. BAUGHMAN: We need to take 6 another --7 THE WITNESS: I've got a cold and --MR. ANWAR: Oh, no worries. 8 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 2:17 p.m. 10 11 (A recess transpired.) 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 13 record. The time is 2:20 p.m. BY MR. ANWAR: 14 15 0. We are back on the record from a short 16 break. Mr. Maslia, are you okay to continue? 17 Yes, I am. Α. 18 Okay. I'm going to quickly revisit Q.
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to quickly revisit
 Exhibit 6, which we just had finished discussing
 before the break and I wanted to clarify, I think
 you agreed with a question that I asked but I
 misspoke in my question. That last question, that
 last bullet point under Holcomb Boulevard says
 "contaminated water from Hadnot Point water
 treatment plant was used intermittently to

19

20

21

22

23

24

supplement the Holcomb Boulevard drinking water supply during dry spring and summer months when demand was high 1972 through 1970 -- or 1985?"

A. That is correct.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And I think I accidently said '72 and 1985 before and what I meant to say was '72 through '85.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And we had briefly had a discussion, I had asked you sort of the basis for why wells in Tarawa Terrace were still considered contaminated after the main wells were shut down in '85. And I think you mentioned sort of the aquifer and the other supply wells pulling from -- from that aquifer; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you -- can you identify any specific wells, like other wells that were still contaminated?
- A. I would have to look at our reports to tell you the well numbers.
- Q. Okay. And we'll take a look at the reports. Is -- do you recall if -- do you recall if -- one second. Let me look at my outline. Sorry. Just one second.

Do you recall if those -- if there was, in fact, observable data from '85 to '87 with respect to other wells in Tarawa Terrace or if that was based on model simulation?

- A. I would really have to look at the report.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. That was the tail -- tail end of our simulation. It's in the reports, though. They're graphs of the wells.
- Q. Why don't we go ahead and mark exhibit

 report as

 Exhibit 9.
- MR. DEAN: The summary?
- MR. ANWAR: Correct.
- 16 (DFT. EXHIBIT 10, document entitled
- 17 "Analyses of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and
- 18 | Transport, and Distribution of Drinking Water at
- 19 Tarawa Terrace and Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps Base
- 20 | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina: Historical
- 21 Reconstruction and Present-Day Conditions
- 22 | Chapter A: Summary of Findings", was marked for
- 23 identification.)
- MR. ANWAR: And --
- MS. BAUGHMAN: Are you putting it up

1 or...

- MR. ANWAR: Yeah.
- MR. ANTONUCCI: Sorry about that.
- 4 MS. BAUGHMAN: Is it here as Exhibit 9?
- 5 MR. ANTONUCCI: It is Exhibit 10.
- 6 MR. ANWAR: Oh, I'm sorry. We're
- 7 | putting up the Tarawa Terrace, Chapter A, summary
- 8 of findings as Exhibit 10. And for the record,
- 9 Mr. Maslia is looking through Chapter A, summary of
- 10 findings.
- 11 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 12 Q. Is there a particular page that you're
- 13 | looking at?
- 14 A. Yes, I'm looking at page A-39, Figure
- 15 A-18.
- 16 O. We're getting there.
- 17 A. That's -- yes, that's the graph I'm
- 18 | looking at.
- 19 Q. Okay. So from '85 -- February '85 to
- 20 December '87, with respect to Tarawa Terrace, is
- 21 | there any observable data -- observed data of water
- 22 contamination with respect to other wells at Tarawa
- 23 Terrace?
- A. Not -- not that I see on the graph and
- 25 | not that we published.

- Q. So would that have been, then, based on that statement that said -- suggested that other wells may have had some contamination remaining, is that based on the computer simulation?
 - A. Yes, it is.

2.

2.2

Q. Okay. Let's take that down for a moment. We'll put it back up shortly.

So I want to switch gears and now ask you specific questions about the modeling work that you performed.

- A. Sure. Okay.
- Q. So we may jump around a bit, and I apologize. And if you need to look at any of your reports, just let me know.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And we can mark them as an exhibit and walk through them together.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So we've been referring to water modeling and the water modeling efforts that you and your team at ATSDR performed related to Camp Lejeune. But when we say "water modeling" are we really referring to groundwater modeling, fate and transport modeling, and water distribution modeling?

A. It's a catchall phrase or a generalized characterization that we thought would enable the public to more generally understand or nontechnical people to understand what we were undertaking, but, yeah, that.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. What is groundwater modeling?
- A. Groundwater modeling uses numerical methods or analytical methods to solve mathematical equations that describe the flow of groundwater from point A to point B.
 - Q. What is fate and transport modeling?
- A. Fate and transport modeling is determining the fate and the movement of a contaminant or contaminants through a groundwater system.
- Q. And what is water distribution modeling?
- A. Water distribution system modeling is the movement of water through pressurized pipelines in the distribution of the water through the pipeline network.
- Q. We've talked about this a little already, but are you familiar with the term of a hindcast model?
 - A. I'm familiar with the term.

Q. What is a hindcast model?

2.2

- A. I disagree with the term.
- Q. Okay. What is -- what is your understanding of the term?
- A. My understanding is that you start, let's say, in 2024, go back to 2023, '22, '21 and that. Some people have equated that with historical reconstruction, but we have published in a peer review journal a discussion as to why that's not the same.
- Q. Are hindcast models used to recreate past conditions based on limited or nonexistent data?
- A. I really couldn't speak about hindcasting. I can speak about historical reconstruction.
- Q. In your mind, how does a hindcast model differ from a historical reconstruction?
- A. A historical reconstruction you might use present day information or historical information and then march forward in the time. So for example, at Tarawa Terrace we may know what the groundwater conditions were prior to wells being installed 1950 to '53. Then as the wells pump, we go forward in time until the wells were shut down.

So that's historical reconstruction.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. And for Camp -- for Tarawa Terrace, did you have -- you did not have sampling data back to 1953, right?
- A. Not contaminant data, but there are some water level data and based on geohydrologic investigations where -- when they were drilling the wells back then, they would take water samples and indicate where the groundwater level was, so you could have that -- those limited data. And because there was no pumping going on, you knew, for example, that New River was at zero elevation or at sea level, so you could, with reliability, simulate and estimate the predevelopment conditions, pre-pumping conditions, at Tarawa Terrace in the aquifer.
- Q. And I think we discussed this earlier, but just to be -- to be clear, the first Tarawa Terrace model, the purpose was to sort of reconstruct estimated concentration -- monthly concentrations of primarily PCE, but also it's degradation products from roughly '53 to '87; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. And the second model, the Hadnot

- Point/Holcomb Boulevard model, was it historical reconstruction to estimate monthly contaminant concentrations for Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard for roughly 1953 to 1987; is that correct?
- A. We actually carried out the Hadnot Point historical reconstruction through 2008 because there was remediation data onsite at Camp Lejeune that helped us calibrate the models out to that, so that one was carried out to 2008.
- Q. Okay. And that was -- Hadnot

 Point/Tarawa Terrace was primarily looking at TCE,

 PCE --
- MS. BAUGHMAN: You said Tarawa Terrace.
- MR. ANWAR: I'm sorry. Thank you for
- 15 | that correction.
- 16 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard, that model was primarily looking at TCE, PCE, benzene --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. -- and vinyl chloride; is that right?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And the purpose of both of those models
 was to estimate monthly contaminant concentrations
 for use in epi studies?
 - A. Estimate mean monthly concentrations

for use by the health studies or the epidemiological studies.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. Why did you land on mean monthly concentrations?
- Based on an analysis of the available data, groundwater data, geohydrologic data, contaminant data, we felt that -- and supply data -- that we could reliability obtain results on a monthly basis. And the assumption was that at the end of each month you would get a water level in the groundwater aquifers and that level we consider to be an average that would -- equally likely to occur on the last day of the month, the first day of the month, the middle of the month. So that's how we -- we -- and that was as refined as we could get, okay? So we could not -- because of the data of limitations, we did not feel justified scientifically to go any finer than a month period at a time.
- Q. Did Dr. Bove or Perri Ruckart, did they request estimated mean monthly contaminant concentrations or that was -- was that the best that the model could provide?
- A. My recollection is that they initially requested trimester data, but we told them that we

could provide mean monthly and they said then they would prefer to go with that because that would account for uncertainty for them.

2.

2.2

2.4

- Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding of what they meant when they said it would account for uncertainty for them?
- A. That health studies in general have a large uncertainty associated with them because of a lot of unknowns. Specifically, for example, exactly how much water an individual digests, stuff like that. And so if you need trimester data, if you could get monthly data, then that can show you how it may vary through the trimester. And so we gave them -- provided more refinement than they initially requested.
- Q. Okay. And I just wanted to make clear that the -- neither the Tarawa Terrace nor the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard models show or were intended to show actual exposure in individuals, correct?
- A. The models were intended to show the mean monthly concentrations in the finished drinking water.
- Q. Okay. And they don't show how much any individual person was exposed to, correct?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: We did not look at populations or people in the water modeling phase of the project.

BY MR. ANWAR:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Because it -- as far as I can tell, it doesn't take into account things like where people lived on base necessarily or how many showers they took or deployments, how much water they drank?
- A. That's an exposure assessment and we were not tasked with conducting an exposure assessment.
- Q. Okay. And that was kind of the point I was getting at. The water modeling was not an exposure assessment, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Were the estimated monthly contaminant concentrations for both of the models, were they intend to be used as quantitative or qualitative?

 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the
- 22 question.
- 23 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. And again, I'm not interested in -this can, you know, this carries on through the

entire deposition, I'm not interested in any discussions that you've had with counsel since you've been retained as a consultant.

- A. I understand. Could you repeat the question again?
- Q. Sure. Were the estimated monthly contaminant concentrations for both of the models intended to be used as quantitative or qualitative results?

MR. DEAN: Same objection.

THE WITNESS: We felt, from a water modeling standpoint, that they were of substantial accuracy, that they could be used quantitatively.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. And do you believe that to be true for the entire period from 1953 to 1987?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. What -- were the two models, the one for Tarawa Terrace and the one for Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard, were they peer reviewed?
 - A. Yes, they were.
 - Q. Who peer reviewed them?
- A. We had another -- excuse me. We had a formal and informal peer review process. For, let's say, for Tarawa Terrace to start with, we

brought together a panel of national and international experts in March 2005 to evaluate the work that we had done to that point and provide us guidance going forward.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Then when using their suggestions or their recommendations modifying our approach, we then finished the Tarawa Terrace analyses in 2006, let's say, and so then the Office of Science at ATSDR would send them out to external peer review.

Okay. And the same thing for Hadnot Point, we had an expert panel in 2009, I think, and, again, based on feedback, I mean, they are, in essence, peer reviewers, but they were not blinded to the panel members, but then when the Office of Science sends it out, we are blinded to the name of the peer reviewers just like a scientific journal.

- Q. Understood. So the -- you would consider the internal review to be the panels you discussed the modeling with?
- A. In combination there was also an internal ATS -- or technical staff review.
- Q. Do you know who on the technical staff reviewed the two models?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. And you were blinded from the peer

review of any external review?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Α. Other than responding to the reviews.
- What do you mean by responding to the 0. reviews?
- Well, once the Office of Science selected a set of peer reviewers, and there were a number of them because of the number of chapters, and people have different expertise, so there was -- they would review and then they would send back review comments to the Office of Science. would forward us the review comments not knowing -without names on them, and then we would respond that we would accept or not accept their recommendations and have to explain why we either accepted or didn't accept the peer reviewers' recommendations. Similar process that if someone submits a manuscript to a peer review journal.
- So you don't -- if I'm understanding Ο. you correctly, because you were blinded, you don't know the identities or the names of the external peer reviewers?
- Α. I know some of the members as a pool because as with everything, the Office of Science may not have known specifically about groundwater modeling or fate and transport, so we provided them

1 a list, but who on that list they selected, I don't

2 know.

- Q. Oh, I see. Do you recall who was on -in the pool or on the list?
- 5 A. I recall some of them.
 - Q. Who do you recall?
- 7 A. Dr. Leonard Konikow of the U.S.
- 8 | Geological Survey. I believe -- I'm trying to
- 9 think of some others. There's a list on my ATSDR
- 10 | files somewhere, the list of all the reviewers.
- 11 For example, for Tarawa Terrace, I think Dr. Barry
- 12 Johnson. He had retired from ATSDR.
- 13 Q. Okay.
- 14 A. So he was a reviewer on -- may have
- 15 been reviewing, for example, public health or
- 16 | public health policy, not necessarily groundwater
- 17 | modeling. There were some other former U.S.
- 18 Geological groundwater modelers that reviewed
- 19 different aspects of the groundwater modeling for
- 20 us.
- 21 MR. DEAN: Could we ask you to spell
- 22 the first one he mentioned.
- MR. ANWAR: Sure.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Dr. Leonard Konikow,
- $25 \mid K-O-N-I-K-O-W$.

1 BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you know if that list of peer reviewers, the pool, would -- would have likely been included in your EDRP files?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. For Hadnot Point, I definitely remember that. I don't know -- for Tarawa Terrace, I don't remember if I -- if it was as formalized as it was for Hadnot Point.
- Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask you the same question about both models, but I'm going to start with Tarawa Terrace.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. How much observed or real-world data was available upon which to base the Tarawa Terrace model?
- A. It was -- could you be more specific as to the type of data?
- Q. Say sampling data for measured PCE concentrations.
- A. Okay. There were data from, I would say, the early 1980s through '85 or '87 for that.

 And, again, in a groundwater flow fate and transport model it's not just the observed data,

but you also need to include the pumping scheduling and the pumping operations as well as the hydrogeologic properties.

- Q. With respect to the sampling data, my understanding is there was limited data from 1982 and 1985. Does that sound right to you?
 - A. That is correct.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And when I say limited, in your mind, how much data did you have, do you recall?
- A. Well, there may have been several dozen data points. I would have to go to a specific table and look and tell you a number on that. I believe, for example, in the -- for the water -- for the fate and transport modeling at Tarawa Terrace we may have had, like, 36 data points.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if all of those data points were used for calibration?
- A. Yes, they were all used for calibration.
- Q. Okay. And we can look at a table. Of the 36 data points, do you know how many of them came from pre-1985 -- or pre-1982?
 - A. I would have to look at the table.
- Q. Okay. Is there a table in Chapter A that you could look at?

- A. Let me see here. For example, in Table A-10, which is on page A-28.
 - Q. Let's pull that up.
 - A. I'm sorry. Let's go to the previous page, Table A-9 on page A-27.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. Okay. Are we there? Yes. Okay. This is at supply wells and that's the list of data that we had going from '85 to '91.
- Q. Did you -- so for some of these supply wells there's an ND listed there. What is ND?
 - A. ND stands for non-detect.
- Q. And did you consider the -- the non-detect when calibrating the model?
- A. We used it as a comparison, okay? In other words, the observed data are not put into the model to calibrate the model. Rather you put in your source concentration. You put in the operational schedule of the wells, and then the model comes out with -- it's simulated concentration since you compare those with what you have observed.
- So we -- we considered the non-detects from the standpoint, for example, if it had a non-detect on April 9th, 1985 for supply well

- 1 TT-23, the detection limit is ten at that time.
- 2 That was the best the technology could do. And
- 3 | we're simulating -- I'm sorry. Oh, these are --
- 4 this is just the PCE concentrations. Yeah, this is
- 5 just the observed data, okay? Okay. Okay. So
- 6 yes, the answer is we did consider non-detects,
- 7 | okay --

- Q. Okay.
 - A. -- because we knew the detection limit.
- 10 Q. Okay. And then now focusing on Hadnot
- 11 | Point/Holcomb Boulevard, do you recall how much
- 12 | observed real-world data was available upon which
- 13 to base the Holcomb -- the Hadnot Point/Holcomb
- 14 | Boulevard model?
- A. It would be a little bit more than at
- 16 Tarawa Terrace because we took it out in 2008.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. Okay. So we -- we did that because we
- 19 had the 2008 data or remediation data from a
- 20 consultant working on base.
- 21 O. The -- I think we discussed earlier
- 22 that the most contaminated wells were shut down in
- 23 1985, correct?
- A. That is correct.
- 25 | Q. Do you recall how much data -- and when

1 I say data, sampling data or observed or real-world
2 sampling data was available for Hadnot

- Point/Holcomb Boulevard model prior to 1985?
 - A. Not right off the top of my head. I would have to go through the report and -- and see.
 - Q. My -- and we can look through the report, too, and you're welcome to look through it and we can mark it, is that there was -- like Tarawa Terrace, there was only limited sampling data for measured TCE, PCE, DCE, vinyl chloride, and benzene concentrations at Hadnot Point between 1982 and 1985?
 - A. I would agree with that.
 - O. Okay. You would agree with that?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

Q. And I think maybe -- we can mark
Chapter A for Hadnot Point as the next exhibit,
which I think will be 11.

(DFT. EXHIBIT 11, ATSDR document entitled "Analyses and Historical Reconstruction of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and Transport, and Distribution of Drinking Water Within the Service Areas of the Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard Water Treatment Plants and Vicinities, U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina

1 | Chapter A: Summary and Findings", Bates-stamped

- 2 CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS0000221326 through 221535, was
- 3 | marked for identification.)
- 4 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. And I think for Chapter A it might be
- 6 A-62, Table A-18.
- 7 A. Which table number?
- 8 O. A-18.
- 9 A. Okay. I'm there. Okay. Let's see.
- 10 | This is for the -- this is at the water treatment
- 11 plant.
- MS. BAUGHMAN: Did you upload this one
- 13 | yet?
- MR. ANTONUCCI: It will be uploaded in
- 15 about five seconds.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- MR. ANWAR: And we can wait for the
- 18 exhibit to load.
- MR. DEAN: If it's the one he's got in
- 20 | his hand, I'm fine to proceed.
- MR. ANWAR: Okay.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I've got A-18
- 23 | pulled up. I just wanted to make -- understand
- 24 | that was for the water treatment plant at Hadnot
- 25 | Point -- water treatment plant, not supply wells.

BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Okay. Is there a table in here for the Ο. observed data for, I guess -- pulled for the -like the sampling data pulled from the source?
 - In the supply wells? Α.
 - Ο. Yeah.
- I don't believe there is one specific Α. here. Let me just -- they're -- they're graphs and I want to say Table A-13, contaminant, that's the sources, and then they are -- the following page, A-46, Figures A-18, there's some graphs there showing the observed and the contaminated. And I believe that in the chapter of supplement -- and Hadnot Point I went to supplements. I have to look up the supplement name, the letter designation.
 - Okay. We can --Ο.
- But in the various supplements that Α. dealt strictly with the groundwater modeling and the fate and transport modeling at Hadnot Point, they would have tables of the observed data as The focus of the summary chapters that I put together to gather the information from the other technical chapters and then present it in terms of the -- what were the final mean monthly concentrations being delivered by the water

1 | treatment plants and finished water.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- O. Got it. Thank you.
- Just give me one second. I'm trying to find myself. I think on page -- I'll come back to that. So one of the labs where this -- I guess this sampling data came from was Grainger Labs; is that right?
 - A. That is correct, for Tarawa Terrace.
 - O. For Tarawa Terrace.
 - A. In particular that's -- yes, that's...
 - Q. Okay. Did any sampling data come from Grainger Labs for Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard?
 - A. I'll have to look at their letter again, okay? I definitely recall Tarawa Terrace.
 - Q. Was Grainger Labs accredited or certified to perform VOC testing, do you know?
 - A. I don't know the answer to that.
 - Q. If Grainger Labs lacked the certification necessary to perform VOC testing, would that impact the reliability of the sampling data from them?
- MR. DEAN: Object to form.
- THE WITNESS: I could not answer one
- 24 way or the other.
- 25 BY MR. ANWAR:

Q. If -- would it be fair to say if the sampling data turned out to be different, the model would turned out to have different results, potentially?

MR. DEAN: Same objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily because you don't put the sampling data into the model.

Again, it's used for comparison purposes. And water quality data typically are characterized by some substantial variations.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.2

- Q. So the -- my understanding with respect to the reports is that the wells were assumed to operate continuously?
 - A. No.
 - Q. That's not right?
- A. That's not -- not correct. We had operating schedules, most based on my calibrating the model and based on some other methods to determine which wells operated when. So on a monthly basis they may have operated -- we assumed they operated for the entire month, in other words. But whether they operated for two months straight and then stopped for a month or a month straight,

it would depend on whether you're looking at Tarawa
Terrace or Hadnot Point and Holcomb Boulevard.

- Q. Did you have operating schedules for the entirety of the '53 to -- 1953 to 1987 time period?
 - A. No, we did not.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And how did you determine what the, you know, whether a well was operating or not when you did not have data available to --
- A. Well, we did have some water utility logbooks that mentioned when certain wells may have been turned off or turned on. And then we also had the well construction information, so we knew when the wells went in, what their capacities were, and we knew the volume of water that was required. And so we -- we then were able to synthesize the operational schedule of the wells.
 - Q. Okay. Let's take a look at page A-18.
 - A. For Hadnot Point or --
 - Q. For Tarawa Terrace.
 - A. A-18.
- Q. Chapter A, page A-18 for Tarawa Terrace, which should be Exhibit 10.
- 24 A. A-18. Okay.
 - MR. DEAN: Oh, Exhibit 10?

THE WITNESS: Page A-18. Okay. I'm

2 there.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. At the bottom of the -- sorry. I thought I was there myself. So in the left-hand side, last paragraph --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- there's a sentence that says "once a well was put in service."
 - A. Right.
- Q. "Once a well was put in service it was assumed to operated continuously for modeling purposes until it was permanently taken offline, the exception being temporary shutdowns for long-term maintenance."
 - A. Right. Okay.
 - Q. What does that mean? We were --
- A. That means in the groundwater model you would initiate the well pumping whenever the data indicated that it went online, and you would keep pumping it on a monthly basis unless the records indicate that it was shut down for maintenance or until it stopped operating completely.
- Q. Would it impact the ultimate mean monthly concentration and finished water if you --

1 | if you hadn't made this assumption?

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

21

- A. It would have affected the volume of water. In other words, we knew how much water we needed on a monthly basis based on records provided to us by Camp Lejeune as well as the well characteristics. So if, in fact, for example, they said a certain well was not operating, we would try that in the model, and the if model corroborated that, that's great. If the model did not, we would have to operate the well. So that's the calibration process.
 - Q. Okay. We will get to that. Do you know what method Grainger Labs used to test for TTHM?
- 15 A. No, I do not.
- Q. And when I say "TTHM" do you know what I'm referring to?
 - A. Yeah, total trihalomethanes.
- Q. Okay. Could you take a look at page -- still on Exhibit 10, Tarawa Terrace.
 - A. Okay. Okay.
 - Q. Chapter A, page A-25.
- A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. It's -- so in the middle of the page it says "a second reason for computing a selected

geometric bias" --

2.

2.2

- A. Yeah, I'm trying to see where -- is this the right-hand column or left-hand column?
- Q. Sorry. Right-hand column, top paragraph. It is -- there is a section highlighted right there in the --
- A. Hold on. Okay. Okay. I see "such greatly enhanced biodegradation would result in much lower PCE concentration" -- oh, "a second reason", yes, I'm there.
- Q. Okay. It says "a second reason for computing a selected geometric bias and the omitting data from water supply well TT-23 is bias introduced into analytical results caused by incomplete or inadequate sampling methodology."
 - A. Right.
 - Q. What does that mean?
- A. Well, there are different ways that they sampled both water quality and water level data. For example, with water level data you can use an air line, which is far less accurate, or you can use a tape measure and do that. And so the ability of the model to match observed data would be dependent on what sampling methodology was used and the accuracy and whatever error is associated

with that sampling methodology.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- Q. Did the Tarawa Terrace model generate two geometric model biases?
- A. I believe if we go over one more to page A-26, sample line -- row or calibration level three and four of calibration level three, you would see that there -- there was two geometric biases, 5.8 and 3.9, and I believe the footnote explains with and without TT-23.
- Q. How does geometric model biases relate to the model's accuracy?
- A. Okay. If you go -- let's go back to the previous page, okay, left-hand column, top part. A model bias is a numerical indication whether the model underpredicts, predicts exactly, or overpredicts, okay? So we take the simulated concentration and divide it by the observed concentration. If it's less than one, that means the model is underpredicting. If it's equal to one, there's an exact match. And if it's greater than one, that means the model is overpredicting based on the observed.

And because the distribution of that bias is -- is skewed -- it's skewed normally. In other words, it cannot be less than zero, okay, but

it can be much greater than one depending how poor of -- how much overprediction the model -- that's basically, like, a little normal distribution, so you want to use a geometric bias.

- Q. And I think you --
- A. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. For well TT-23 I think the -- there was a geometric model bias of 5.9 and 3.9. Does that mean both -- both are overpredictive?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. One, I've referred to the following

 Table A-8. The geometric bias of 5.8 was including

 TT-23 and 3.9 was excluding TT-23.
- Q. Would you agree that there was -- there were data limitations with respect to ATSDR's modeling of the mean monthly concentrations at Camp Lejeune because there was a small number of drinking water contaminant results from actual samples taken at the water treatment plant or the point of exposure?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.
- 24 THE WITNESS: There are always data
 25 limitations with any modeling analyses, especially

going back historically in time. That is one of the reasons why we went to the historical reconstruction process. If we could calibrate the models to the data that we had, then we would have confidence where we didn't have the data going backwards in time, which is the same thing as using a model in a predictive sense. For example, if you wanted to design a remediation operation, you don't have that data because you haven't started remediating. You collect what data you have and then you use the model to go forward in time.

- Q. What are -- you mentioned there are always limitations. Are there limitations with respect to the Tarawa Terrace and the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard models?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- Q. What are those limitations?
- A. It's the limited number of -- of data.

 It's specific water supply well operations. When I say specific, on a daily or hourly value.
- Q. What does that say about the limitations as it relates to the results produced by the model?
- A. Basically it tells you once you believe you have a calibrated model, there -- you need to

establish how reliable that is through some type of 1 2. probabilistic uncertainty analysis. Because it 3 would give you the range compared to where your data are of where your, say, reconstructed 4 5 concentrations would be. And so you have limited data as we did and others do for this type of 6 7 analysis. And by conducting a probabilistic uncertainty analysis it not only gives us, but when 8 we present the results to the epidemiologist, it 10 tells them what the range and the concentrations should be or could be. 11

- Ο. We'll talk a bit more about calibration, but do you believe you had calibrated models for both the Tarawa Terrace and Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard models?
 - Yes, I do. Α.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- What is your, like -- I guess, what is Q. a basis for believing that each of the models was calibrated?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: We -- we used accepted model calibration procedures as described in ASTM quidelines, described in American Waterworks Association handbook on model calibration, and

procedures established by the U.S. Geological Survey and we followed those. And for example, if you go to the Chapter A report, page A-24, I'll just hold it up here.

BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- O. Okay. That's fine.
- A. You can see these scatter diagrams of graphs. That's one of the methods described in one of the ASTM documents that we referenced that they say you need to be able to produce and conduct to do a proper groundwater flow model calibration. So we followed the accepted modeling procedures, okay, and expressed our results both in terms of the mean monthly values as well as the uncertainty analysis, which, again, is part of a generally-accepted modeled calibration and fate and transport model simulation approach.
- Q. Okay. We'll talk more about calibration here in a few minutes. I wanted to ask you a few other questions. In your prior deposition you referred to the model sort of -- and this would have been at the time that the Tarawa Terrace model had been completed.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Novel -- you described it as novel

Page 213 application, edge of the envelope in terms of what 1 2. has been done. What did you mean by that? MR. DEAN: What -- what -- hold on a 3 second. Hold on. Can you tell me what page you're 4 5 referring to? 6 MR. ANWAR: Yeah, page 45. 7 MR. DEAN: What's -- what's the exhibit number? 8 9 MR. ANWAR: It's 3. 10 MS. BAUGHMAN: This is the deposition? 11 MR. ANWAR: Yeah. 12 MS. BAUGHMAN: Can you show him -- let 13 him see the testimony. MR. DEAN: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. 14 What page are we on? 15 16 MR. ANWAR: I said 45. 17 MR. DEAN: 45. Sorry. Okay. It 18 should be on the screen, page 45. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 MR. DEAN: What line and question? 21 MR. ANWAR: It's 45, nine through 46, 2.2 14. 23 THE WITNESS: Line 14. Okay. 24 MR. DEAN: Hold on one second. 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. Those were not --

Page 214 1 those were not my words. 2. MR. DEAN: That's what I was going to 3 say. I don't know what your question was, but your question --4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, those were not --6 that was --7 Hold on. Your question did MR. DEAN: not accurately depict what's in the transcript, 8 which is why we wanted to see the transcript. MR. ANWAR: Page 46. I believe his 10 11 testimony is --12 MR. DEAN: You told me 45. 13 MR. ANWAR: I said 45 to 46. 14 MR. DEAN: Okay. 15 MR. ANWAR: And it says "so from that 16 standpoint that's probably, you know, edge of the 17 envelope of what has been done."

MR. DEAN: You're mischaracterizing his testimony, though, but go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Can I read the -- okay.

MR. DEAN: Here, take this so you can scroll look at 45 and 46.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.

MR. DEAN: So when you're finished

reading 45 --25

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2. MR. DEAN: -- just let him ask his 3 questions again. BY MR. ANWAR: 4 5 Yeah. So you certainly referred, I think, to it as edge of the envelope. 6 7 MR. DEAN: So object to the form of the question. You say "it" --8 BY MR. ANWAR: 10 Ο. In terms of what has been done --MR. DEAN: Again, object to the form of 11 12 the question because you have to clarify what it is 13 and what was being done being referred to, so --14 MR. ANWAR: Look, I'm not going to 15 arque with you, but the testimony reads "so from 16 that standpoint that's probably, you know --17 MR. DEAN: I agree with what the 18 transcript says, but that's not what your initial 19 question was when you first asked this and we asked 20 for the transcript. So I'm just pointing out an 21 objection to the form of the question because you 22 keep saying "it" and neither one of us know what 23 you're referring.

but I'm going to ask you to stop speaking -- make

MR. ANWAR: And you can object to form,

24

1 | speaking objections and waste my time.

2 MR. DEAN: I'm trying to give you --

3 help you with your questions. That's all I'm

4 doing.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- 5 BY MR. ANWAR:
 - Q. What did you mean when you were referring to edge of envelope in the context of that discussion?
 - A. I think at the time I was referring to being able to go backwards in time, reconstruct based on either available data in the 1980s or current day information. Many modeling remediation-type studies collect field data present day and then, of course, project forward in time, but this was a unique application of -- of going backwards in time.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

What was your role in selecting source locations and strength for the two models? And let's start with the Tarawa Terrace model.

- A. My role?
- O. Yeah.
- A. I deferred to the person conducting the modeling itself. In the case of Tarawa Terrace it would have been Mr. Robert Faye. I provided him

with documents that indicated where the sources were for Tarawa Terrace. That would have been ABC One-Hour Cleaners, which are -- which is based on the reports by Shiver 1985 out of North Carolina also west -- some Weston reports.

Q. Okay.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. And -- and so -- but the actual quantitative determination of the strength of the source, the timing of it, that would be up to the person conducting the modeling.
 - Q. In this instance it was Robert Faye?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Was that also true for the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard?
- A. No, we had -- we had Mr. Rene
 Suarez-Soto and also a hydrologist from the U.S.
 Geological Survey, Elliott Jones. But again, that
 would have been with information I -- I provided
 them.
- Q. Okay. And for the Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard model, do you recall the type of information you provided to determine the source and the strength?
- A. Basically the location, the type of contamination, and then the model calibration

process would help quantify, you know, how long the source, how deep the source, and things of that nature. They had information on the construction of the landfill or the depth of the landfill, so...

- Q. How did you determine the source strength in both models?
- A. Well, in Tarawa Terrace we used a technique that's in the literature because we could actually plot the PCE plume aerially and then we compute a weighed volume and then determine a minimum annual amount of PCE going into the groundwater system. And so we did it that -- that way, okay? And their computations are provided in the Chapter F report of Tarawa Terrace.
 - Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

- A. If -- for Hadnot Point we assumed a constant source and turned it on and turned it off depending -- and at depth there were multiple aquifer layers.
- Q. What was the basis for the assumption of the constant source?
- A. It was -- everything was dumped into a landfill, and we really did not have as specific information as we did at ABC One-Hour Cleaners.

 And so that's a standard modeling approach, is to

assume that the source -- the source is the same from one time step to the other unless you, for example, start remediating, then you would reduce the source strength.

- Q. Were model results for either of the models used to locate some of the sources?
- A. We had -- and this is, I think, in the Chapter A or Chapter C report. I'll have to find exactly where, but we had identified some sources that we called apparent sources, okay, and that's because of the model results indicated that there may be a source -- a source there, okay, a high concentration value. And let me see if I can see -- oh, and for Hadnot Point -- oh, no -- yeah, Hadnot Point, Chapter A-45 -- chapter -- page A-45, Table A-13, those are the documented sources right there.
 - Q. Okay.
- 19 A. And let me see if I could -- okay.
- Okay. If you go to Table A-7, let's start with that.
- 22 Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. This is Tarawa Terrace?
- A. No, this was Hadnot Point.

1 Q. Okay.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. Tarawa Terrace was only one source and that was ABC One-Hour Cleaners.
 - Q. Understood. You're on page A-7?
 - A. Page A-26, Table A-7.
 - Q. Okay. I'm there with you.
- A. Okay. You're with me. Do you see that last column, potential source locations? Because you had multiple buildings and multiple locations, we refer to them as potential because, you know, it would not necessarily be that every single building listed would have been a source, okay? As compared to, say, the landfill where we knew that was a source, okay, because it was, you know, a landfill, so stuff went into the landfill.
- Q. And I'm sorry if I missed it. So the original question was were model results used to locate some of the sources. Is that a yes or --
 - A. Not model --
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question. You're asking him for an opinion.
- MR. ANWAR: I'm asking for his opinion in his role developing the model.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm looking now 25 and I think it was just on the initial

characterization that we referred to as potential 1 2. source locations, in other words, okay? Then we would use the model or, as we were calibrating the 3 model, we would determine from that list, 4 5 exhaustive list, of potential sources which ones were actual sources. We did not identify any new 6 area, in other words, that -- that we said, oh, this is contaminated and there's -- you don't have 8 9 any information on this area. 10 MR. ANWAR: Okay. Why don't we take a 11 quick break? 12 THE WITNESS: Okay. 13 MR. ANWAR: Thank you. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 15 record. The time is 3:24 p.m. 16 (A recess transpired.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 18 record. The time is 3:39 p.m. 19 BY MR. ANWAR: 20 Q. We --21 Could I qualify some things that were 2.2 said in the previous --23 Sure. Let me just -- we're back on the 0.

record from a short break. Are you ready to

continue, Mr. Maslia?

24

1 A. Yes.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And did you speak with your counsel about your testimony during the -- during the break?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Okay. And it sounds like there's something you want to clarify. Go ahead.
- A. Yes, clarify. When we're talking about the questions being asked about sources at Tarawa Terrace and then Hadnot Point, they're entirely two different approaches because at Tarawa Terrace there's only one identified source, ABC One-Hour Cleaners, okay? That was easy to identify and there was substantial more investigation done at -- by EPA contractors at ABC, and so we did -- that's why we used one method for characterizing the source for the model at Tarawa Terrace.

At Hadnot Point, and I'll refer you to Table A-7 on page A-26.

- Q. This is Hadnot Point?
- A. Hadnot Point.
 - Q. What was the page again, I'm sorry?
- 23 A. A-26.
- Q. Okay. I've got you.
- 25 A. Do you see that's -- that's the table

-- there are many, many buildings that a supply well could have been contaminated from. And then the following page on Table A-8 sort of boils that down to which -- which buildings were contaminated based on historical events. And so there are many, many more sources at Hadnot Point.

And then if you flip to page A-20.

Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

- A. That's Figure A-10. That's basically the landfill area. Yeah, that's it. You see there are many more source -- sources, source locations in there, so there was not a single source like there was at ABC One-Hour Cleaners. So we have to use a different modeling approach to characterize the sources in the model.
- Q. Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I wanted to ask you, generally speaking, since the water modeling for both Tarawa Terrace and Hadnot Point/Holcomb Boulevard were used to support epi studies, when it came to assumptions that were used or, I guess, to some degree the uncertainty, did you -- your team err on the side of being conservative? And when I say conservative, I mean sort of health protective.
 - A. I would say we did not consider

health -- health criteria or health standards. 1 What we considered were what were the maximum 2. contaminant levels of certain contaminants, in 3 other words. That's what our guidelines were. 4 Ιf 5 it came to concentration data, just because we may have had an exceedingly high concentration data, we 6 did not force the model to reproduce that high concentration data. We took an objective 8 9 scientific approach that could be defended by the 10 public -- by the reviewers, by the scientific 11 community, as to the approach that we did for 12 modeling.

- Q. Okay. Would you agree that calibration is -- the intent of calibration is to measure model accuracy?
- A. I would define -- or the intent of calibration is to test out and compare your model assumptions from geohydrologic to well operations to source to the available field data that you have and give you a sense of reliability.
- Q. Would calibration include comparing observed data with simulated data to the extent those data points exist?
 - A. Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Q. Okay.

A. And then performing some statistics on that.

- Q. So I wanted to have you turn to -actually let's mark it as an exhibit. It is
- 5 Chapter F for the Tarawa Terrace.
- A. For the Tarawa Terrace, Chapter F.

 Okay. It's over here.
 - MR. DEAN: Oh, yeah, that's right.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 10 (DFT. EXHIBIT 12, document entitled
- 11 Analyses of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and
- 12 Transport, and Distribution of Drinking Water at
- 13 | Tarawa Terrace and Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps Base
- 14 | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina: Historical
- 15 Reconstruction and Present-Day Conditions. Chapter
- 16 F:Simulation of the Fate and Transport of
- 17 Tetrachloroethylene (PCE), was marked for
- 18 | identification.)
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:

8

- Q. Do you have Chapter F in front of you?
- 21 | Is it loaded? Let's go ahead and display that.
- 22 | Give me one second to get back to it.
- Okay. So let's turn to page F-34.
- A. Okay. I'm there.
- Q. And we can actually start on page F-33.

1 A. Okay.

2.2

Figure F-12.

Q. And so on F-33 there's a Figure 12 there that is a graph that I believe is intended to compare observed data versus simulated data. And there's only a couple of data points -- data points where the observed data and the simulated data actually line up with each other. And then let's go ahead and look at the next page.

A. Okay.

Q. There's Figures F-13, F-14, F-15, F-16.

And you can see the simulated data, what the model came up with, and then you can see what the observed data is, and almost in every instance it's much lower than what the simulated data is. And I wanted to ask you, like, how do you -- how do you explain the -- like, I think you've said you believe the model was appropriately calibrated.

Why do you believe it was appropriately calibrated when the observed data doesn't match the simulated data and the simulated data appears to overpredict by quite a bit?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: First, if you go back to

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

- O. Sure.
- A. And I have not come across any studies where the -- they line up on the 45-degree line there, okay? They will either be above or below, okay? So the fact that a data -- a simulated versus observed does not line up on the line is not -- not an issue. And it does show that -- and we acknowledge that, in fact, the simulated data tends to be higher than the observed data, okay?
 - Q. So you would agree that the model --
- A. And we said that, if you looked at our model bias calibrations that the bias was greater than one, so the model would overpredict slightly, okay?
 - Q. Okay.
- A. But again, the other thing you need to remember is, you know, let's take Figure F-16, okay. Look at the data. You've got the data ranging from 1600 all the way down to maybe 100 there where it says observed. And so, you know, the data are extremely variable as well. That's the observed -- that's the observed data. And so the model simulation sort of splits the difference.
 - Q. Well, with respect -- I think another

question that I have, with respect to the accuracy of the calibration or -- and so it sounds like you acknowledge that the model tends to overpredict; is that right?

- A. It overpredicts, but not -- not in an unacceptable manner or unacceptable -- we actually conducted -- that would be a reason for conducting, say, an uncertainty analysis. So you could look at your confidence bands in -- in the model and see whether you're plus or minus an order of magnitude, half an order of magnitude, three orders of magnitude, whatever it would be. So in other words, we accepted the calibration, but then we also went to a further analysis to test our confidence in that calibration.
- Q. For instance, if you look at Figure F-15, one of the things that I don't think I understand, you see the simulated value --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- and you see the observed on the zero axis for 1187?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. And then you see the observed going up?
- A. Right.

2.2

Q. If I remember correctly, for Tarawa

Terrace, the wells were taken out of service in 1985, and so the model should reflect the -- the estimated concentrations going down, but uniformly in all of these figures, for the most part, the -- the concentrations continue to go up --

A. Right.

- Q. -- even after the wells are taken out of service.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Because -- and actually, let's see if it's in this report or the -- he may not have put -- I did it in -- yeah, in this report. If you -- if you go forward to Figures F-18 through F-21 -- actually, I'm sorry, yeah, through F-23, okay, flip up a couple of pages. I'm sorry. Keep going forward. Go to page -- this will be pages F-36 through F-38. There you go. Okay. That's the aerial distribution of the plume, of the PCE plume, okay. That first one is from 1960.

And let's keep flipping forward. Keep going, keep going. Okay. The wells are pumping.

Now the -- keep going. And then the wells are taken out, okay? Even though the wells -- we can stop right there. Even though the wells are taken

out, the aquifer is still contaminated, okay? So while you may not have a supply well that's pumping there, the aquifer is still contaminated and the contaminant is still moving through the aquifer.

And so the results are reflecting that.

O. Shouldn't --

2.

- A. Okay. Reflecting at the location where, for example, TT-25 used to be, they took it out. So in fact, there could be a higher or increasing as -- as the plume migrated from northeast to southwest because it would be migrating under natural groundwater flow once the wells were removed.
- Q. Wouldn't it be fair -- so, you know, I understand your point that there may still be contaminants in the aquifer, but when the source is removed, shouldn't the simulation be showing -- even if there's still contaminants in the aquifer, that the monthly concentration is sloping down?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: It would -- it would really depend on the location. In other words, the -- the contaminant migration migrates, especially once you remove all the wells, at a slower velocity than when the wells were pumping.

So you take the source out of the model and then the immediate vicinity of where the source was, that it should go down decrease.

But as the contaminant source migrates under the natural groundwater flow conditions now that you have no pumping, you will still get high hits of -- of PCE until it moves, you know, completely out into wherever it's going to move past Tarawa Terrace.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.2

2.5

Q. You would agree that at least on Figures F-13, F-14, F-15, F-16 that the simulation doesn't match the observed data in most of the, you know, most of the observed points in relation to the simulated data? It's not even close.

MR. DEAN: Object to the form.

THE WITNESS: I would say the model overpredicts; however, again, what our objective was, was to present finished water concentrations, okay, not necessarily water supply well concentrations. So what you have to do is -- and that is why we went to a multiple-phase calibration is if we go back to the summary of findings in Chapter A for Tarawa Terrace, what will you note is that the -- let me just get this one. Hang on.

That's not it. I can't find it here. Maybe he put it in Chapter F. Hold on just a second.

Ah, there you go. I'm sorry. Chapter F, go to page F-43. Okay. That graph. I mean, if you want to blow that up you can. But that -- that is the finished water concentrations, and for the available data it is -- it is spot on.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

- Q. The way I'm reading Chapter F is if you look at January 1985, the commuted data appears to still be significantly higher than most of the observed data.
- A. January '85? No, I see three or four data points at the top -- top there and that's where the simulated line is. And if you move over to January -- or to 19, say, '86 or '7, the very last line, you see the data are lining up with the simulated value.
- Q. Okay. So I wanted to ask you, in terms that you mentioned that the model overpredicts, does it --
- A. Fate and transport. Again, I think we need to distinguish because from the fate and transport model we used a simple mixing model to mix all the wells at the treatment plant, and then

without adjusting anything, we just compared it to the measured data at the water treatment plant and it fell right on as far as we were concerned.

2.2

- Q. You use a mixing model for the water treatment plant, so if it overpredicts to the -- in the fate and transport model to the wastewater treatment plant, doesn't that necessarily result in higher concentrations at the water treatment plant?
- A. No, because you've got multiple wells mixing in. Some are not contaminated, some are contaminated, and some are highly contaminated.
- Q. But if you have multiple wells mixing in regardless and if it underpredicted, wouldn't that result in the numbers being lower?
- A. All I can answer is we had this independent set of data, which were the finished water concentrations, okay, and as we went to our calibration process from steady state groundwater flow to transient to fate and transport and then did the mixing model, the simple mixing model, it ended up that we obtained what we felt were acceptable results because what we were to provide to the epidemiologist were finished water concentrations.

So if, in fact, we were way, you know,

way off, either overpredicting, underpredicting at the water treatment plant, then that would have been a concern, but, again, the fate and transport, while they don't match and they overpredict somewhat, we felt that through the use of a mixing model where you assumed instantaneous mixing --

Q. Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. So basically our -- our criteria for accepting or not was what was happening at the water treatment plant.
- Q. Okay. I just want to ask you a couple more questions.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Specific questions about the model, and due to time and some other things I would like to cover --
 - A. Right.
- Q. -- I'll try to get through this quickly.
 - A. Sure.
- Q. First, I believe the Tarawa Terrace model assumes that the dry cleaner was contaminating the wells from 1953 -- that the contamination existed as of 1953. What's the basis for that assumption?

- Based on the deposition of Victor Melts 1 who was the owner of ABC One-Hour Cleaners and 2. based on the operational records that -- or it's in 3 the deposition that he gave when he began 4 5 operations. And knowing dry cleaners of that generation back then, he, in fact, said that he 6 would take the waste, the sludge, PCE, and use it to -- put it outside, you know, where it was 8 covering some ground or putting it in a drain field or whatever, so yes. 10
 - Another -- so if -- if it turned out Ο. that the dry cleaner started leaking -- or contaminants at a later period in time, would that impact the Tarawa Terrace model?
 - Α. It would impact the -- any -- any model, but, again, the information we received from the reports done at ABC One-Hour Cleaners told us when the dry cleaners started operating and so -which we believe to be in 1953.
 - Would you agree that -- so I believe Ο. you indicated you have reports that state that the dry cleaners started operating in 1953?
 - Α. Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

Do those reports state that the dry Ο. cleaner starting leaking PCE in 1953?

- A. Well, nobody knew it was leaking PCE because at the time there were -- the environmental laws weren't in place to say you had to do that, but based on the deposition of Victor Melts that is available to anyone, you know, his practices were to dump or place the waste PCE just outside the -- on the grounds of the dry cleaner. That's described actually, I believe, in the Chapter E report of Tarawa Terrace in a lot more detail. So that's where we, you know, obtain the assumption that he started in 1953.
 - Q. If no one knew for sure when the PCE started leaking -- or when ABC Cleaners starting leaking PCE, wouldn't you agree it's a conservative assumption to assume that PCE started leaking as soon as the dry cleaner opened?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: You have to understand the geohydrology of the area. You've got sandy soils there, so whatever you spill on the ground is going to instantaneously leak. So --

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

Q. I would like to ask you quickly about another assumption. The -- I believe in your

- models it's assumed that the -- the concentration levels at the wastewater treatment plant are the same as in finished water, correct?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the guestion. Which models?

THE WITNESS: We define what finished water is early on, and maybe I should just read it for the record.

BY MR. ANWAR:

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- 10 Q. No.
- 11 A. Okay.
- Q. Sorry. I'm not asking you to look
 through. Just to the best of your recollection.

 If you don't recall, it's fine.
 - A. Well, we defined finished water as the concentrations from the -- at the water treatment plant that would have been delivered to residents or people living.
 - Q. I think I was a bit imprecise. For the Tarawa Terrace model I believed it was assumed --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- that the concentrations, after the mixing model was performed --
- A. Right.
 - Q. -- coming out of the wastewater

treatment plant were the same as in sort of the finished water coming out of the faucet?

> Α. That's correct.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Okay. What was the basis for that? Ο.
- That was based on advice from our expert panel in 2005, March of 2005, specifically Doctors Tom Walski and Dr. Walter Grayman who noticed that throughout the history of operation of Tarawa Terrace all the wells mixed at the water treatment plant. So if all the wells, every single one of them, went into -- the contaminated and non-contaminated went into the water treatment plant, then you can use a simple mixing model also known as a CSTR, continuous stirred tank reactor model, and the concentration resulting from the mixing model would also be the concentration at any location within the distribution system.

Now, we tested that out, we tested that assumption out, and it is in Chapter I of the Tarawa Terrace reports, and we do a comparison of a very rigorous water distribution system analysis through looking at locations and looking at the mixing model. And after about a week or ten days, they're identical. They're identical. And because we were looking at monthly mean concentrations,

that meant within a month they -- we had no issue.

- Q. Okay.
- MR. DEAN: Just one second. I
- 4 understood your question and so did the witness
- 5 because he obviously just answered it. Just for
- 6 | the record you used the word wastewater, so I just
- 7 | want to --

1

- 8 MR. ANWAR: Oh, I apologize.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Water treatment. Water
- 10 treatment.
- 11 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 12 Q. Water treatment plant. So the question
- 13 | for the record for the prior -- my question was, I
- 14 | believe the Tarawa Terrace model assumes that water
- 15 that goes for the mixing model that you run in the
- 16 | wastewater treatment plant --
- MS. BAUGHMAN: You did it again.
- 18 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 19 O. Oh, waste treatment plant.
- 20 A. No, water treatment plant.
- Q. Water treatment plant. Long day.
- 22 A. Okay. You can start over.
- MR. DEAN: I'm not going to fuss.
- 24 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. So I believe the Tarawa Terrace model

assumes that the concentrations in the water treatment plant are the same as in finished water, correct?

- A. No, it assumes that the drinking water distributed throughout the water distribution system is the same as the concentration of the water in the water treatment plant.
 - O. Okay. That is what --

2.

2.2

2.5

- A. That's the same assumption that was used for Hadnot Point also.
- Q. Do you -- for -- okay. That's helpful as well. Do you know for Tarawa Terrace there's a Chapter J and K, and I did not see them online.
- A. No, no, there was not. Those were supposed to be -- because of budget and timing, the last chapter in the Tarawa Terrace series is the Chapter I, which is about sensitivity uncertainty and that's where we do the verification testing of the water distribution system model versus the simple mixing model, if that's in that chapter.

Yes, there were plans, but it was decided -- I think Chapter J was going to talk about our field testing of the water distribution system, that was put over into supplement eight of the Hadnot Point, okay? So there's only -- Chapter

1 I is the final chapter in the Tarawa Terrace report 2 series.

- Q. Okay. Understood. I wanted to quickly ask you about the uncertainty analysis that you ran. And my understanding is that as part of the uncertainty analysis, you chose a range with which you -- you -- and my term of art may not be correct, so you can -- you can correct me if I'm saying this wrong, but you chose plus or minus half on order of magnitude range with which you wanted -- you were aiming for the simulated results to fall within?
 - A. Let me clarify --
 - O. Sure.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. -- something. That was the calibration target range and that's not an uncertainty analysis.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Okay. That's two different things. So I guess my question is, do you want to talk about calibration targets or do you want to talk about uncertainty analysis?
- Q. What did you do for your uncertainty analysis?
 - A. For our uncertainty analysis we used

what we refer to as a two-stage Monte Carlo simulation where we use Monte Carlo simulation to assign and to simulate probability density functions for different model parameters. And then each time the groundwater or the fate and transport model ran, when it would call for a certain parameter, for example, hydraulic conductivity or dispersivity or whatever, it would go out and randomly select from the PDF, probability density function, that -- that value. And so you have a -- a series, what we refer to as realizations of a whole bunch of different runs, like 800 different runs.

O. Sure.

2.

2.2

- A. Okay. And so using Monte Carlo simulation, therefore, we can look at the range of them by looking at -- taking the 2.5 percentile, looking at the 97.5 percentile, and the difference gives you 95 percent confidence of all simulations. So it's a more rigorous approach than just doing a simplified confidence limit.
- Q. So my understanding is the Navy had an opportunity to -- to review the model as well; is that right?
 - A. They critiqued the Chapter A report or

the final report. They did not review it. No one actually, except for the peer reviewers, reviewed a report before it was publicly released.

- Q. And I think they ended up sending a letter sharing some feedback, and some of the concerns they raised related to calibration in terms of observed versus simulated data, which we've discussed.
 - A. Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And they also discussed the Monte Carlo simulation. And I think they described that only 510 of the 840 runs resulted in viable realizations.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. And I understand that you disagree with the Navy's critique; is that right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Okay. Why do you disagree with that?
- A. Okay. The Monte Carlo simulation did exactly what we wanted it to do. If you -- if a parameter changes, let's say pumping, okay, and you, you know, triple the pumping rate -- and I'm just using hypothetical examples -- well, then it may dry out the aquifer, okay? That's not a viable solution because we know the aquifer doesn't dry

out. It's still there.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

So we put filters on stopping criteria on our Monte Carlo simulations that if it -- the aguifer dewatered or it went dry, that it would stop the realization or the Monte Carlo simulation right there because that's not a realistic solution. So the fact that five hundred were viable solutions and we did -- actually conducted 800 realizations, all that meant is that those three hundred or so did not produce realistic results and that's what you would want. You know, I wouldn't say throw them out, but to have the Monte Carlo simulation or the model stop running once it's dried out, that just means that probability density -- the functions that you assign to the different model parameters, the combination of those did not result in a physically realistic result.

- Q. Okay. I understand that Congress mandated the National Research Counsel to also review the epidemiological study and the Tarawa Terrace modeling; is that right?
- A. I'm not sure who mandated it, okay? I know the Navy contracted with the National Research Council to review our work at ATSDR.

- Q. And, you know, I can represent to you that in your -- I think in your prior deposition --
 - A. Right.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- you -- you indicated that Congress had mandated the Navy to fund it.
- A. Okay. Okay. It's been a few years since...
 - Q. No, I hear you.
 - A. Okay. So...
- Q. What is your recollection about the -- so let me back up for a second. What is the NRC?
 - A. NRC is the National Research Council, part of the National Academies of Science.
 - Q. Okay. And is the National Research
 Council an arm of the National Academy of Science?
 - A. That's my understanding by going to the NAS website.
 - Q. The NAS is a nonprofit institution that advises on science issues in the country?
 - A. I don't know about the nonprofit part, okay? It's -- I do not believe it's a government agency.
 - Q. Okay. It is an institution that advises on scientific issues --
 - A. Okay.

- Q. -- in the country; is that -- would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Would you agree that the NAS is generally highly respected?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question. Are you talking about prior to this case?

THE WITNESS: I have -- I have really not dealt with the NAS. I've read some of their publications and reference materials, but I cannot make a recommendation as to whether they, you know, pro, con, or otherwise.

BY MR. ANWAR:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. What is your understanding of the NRC's evaluation of the Tarawa Terrace model?
- A. In terms of what they were charged with or the results?
 - O. The results.
- A. Well, they were critical of the ATSDR modeling approach and felt that models or the models could not be used to reconstruct historical concentrations. We, of course, disagreed with that and we did write an internal document. I don't know if it's ever been made public or not, but

- 1 pointing out what we felt were the
- 2 | misclassifications, erroneous assumptions, not
- 3 considering the Chapter I report, for example.
- 4 They critiqued us of not doing uncertainty
- 5 analysis, but there's the report right there.
- 6 | And --
- 7 Q. Were you -- my understanding is that
- 8 you had an opportunity to attend a meeting in D.C.
- 9 | for the first NRC meeting?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. And did you present about the Camp
- 12 | Lejeune -- I guess at that time it was the Tarawa
- 13 | Terrace model -- at that meeting?
- 14 | A. We -- that was in 2007, so -- I believe
- 15 | it was in 2007. We may have been in the final
- 16 stages of -- so I probably presented our approach.
- 17 I'm not sure if we presented any results or not. I
- 18 | would have to look at the presentation to see what
- 19 we presented.
- Q. And, you know, we don't need -- I'll
- 21 represent to you that the meeting and the documents
- 22 | indicate the meeting took place on September 24th,
- 23 | 2007. Does that sound right?
- A. Yes, yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you have an opportunity to

communicate with anyone from NRC about your -- the Tarawa Terrace model?

- A. Yes, a number of people. Specifically the person who was, I guess, in charge of their -- what they refer to as Chapter 2, which is exposure assessment. We provided information as he needed, whether it was data or analyses. Wanted to know how we were classifying the source at ABC One-Hour Cleaners, so there's e-mails back and forth.
 - Q. Who was that person?
 - A. That was Dr. Prabhakar Clement.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. And then I also communicated with the executive secretary. I forget her name right off the bat, but -- Martel. Susan, Susan. I believe she's a doctor, Susan Martel. And I communicated with her both in terms of attending that meeting and issues that I saw that the committee should consider.
- Q. Okay. I'm marking an exhibit. It will be marked Exhibit 13.
- (DFT. EXHIBIT 13, e-mail correspondence Bates-stamped CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE_0000108607 and 108608, was marked for identification.)
- 25 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. It is -- I'll represent to you it's an e-mail communication from you -- well, it's an e-mail exchange. The top e-mail is from you to what appears to be your ATSDR team.
 - A. That's correct.

2.

2.2

2.5

Q. And the body of the e-mail says "look at the second paragraph from Dr. Clement, a member of the National Research Council Committee on contamination of drinking water at Camp Lejeune.

It's nice to get words of praise from unbiased and technically competent colleagues about our abilities and work."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you -- do you believe Dr. Clement to be an unbiased and technically competent colleague?

 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: In his correspondence with me, in that I felt he was objective and competent, but that's what sort of -- that is, in fact, what caught us by surprise when the report came out and it was basically 100 percent opposite of what he and I had been communicating about.

When you say -- you mean the NRC 0. report?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- Yes, yes, yes, the one that was Α. published in June -- or released in June 2009.
- And in 2010, Dr. Clement, I believe, issued an article himself and it was entitled "Complexities in Hindcasting Models When Should We Say Enough is Enough." Do you recall that article?
 - What do you -- what is your Ο.

Yes, I do.

Α.

- understanding about it? What do you recall?
 - I recall that we responded to it. agency allowed us to respond to it because, again, like the NRC report, we found a number of issues that were either mischaracterized or were presented not in the way that we thought they should have been presented. And so the journal Groundwater where he published his article, the editor -- which they usually do not let you do a ten-page response, they allowed us -- they recognized of the complexity and -- and the, I guess, political sensitivities of the whole Camp Lejeune issue, and so they allowed us to respond, which -- which we did, and I forget the exact date that we sent a response in, but we can find that if you need it.

Q. Wasn't the thrust, to the best of your recollection, of Dr. Clement's article calling into question the value of historical reconstruction due to the limited data and uncertainty of historical reconstruction?

2.2

2.5

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: My understanding is, or at least started out, I think, to -- to make a philosophical discussion as to how much funding and how long of a time should projects that go on, in other words, and should we be using simpler models or more complex models, in other words. And when -- when there's -- you're not obtaining any return for your investment.

- Q. Okay. And I understand that you responded and then Dr. Clement had a response to your response, correct?
- A. Yes, yes, yes. And that's the article or our response where we challenge the use of -- or disagreed professionally with -- with -- with the term hindcasting.
- Q. Do you still consider Dr. Clement to be a technically competent and unbiased colleague?
 - A. Competent, yes, and -- I mean, I

haven't dealt with him on issues like that to say biased or unbiased, but one would make the assumption he's in academia that, in fact, you would like your work to be considered unbiased.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Q. And the -- the issue the NRC had with the Tarawa Terrace modeling was what it described as uncertainty as well, correct?
- That was one of them. They -- they had Α. an issue about the characterization of the source at Tarawa Terrace, that they insist -- the NCR report described it as a dense non-aqueous phase liquid or a DNAPL and the data just did not support that. And we felt that was especially egregious if they're complaining about not having sufficient field data. We had a lot of field data at ABC that demonstrated it was a dissolved phase. And on top of that, as we pointed out in our -- I think it was 37-page response NRC report, the remediation system approved by the State of North Carolina and USEPA was only valid for dissolved -- pump and treat can only deal with dissolved phase liquids. That's not treat. It's -- cannot be used for DNAPL.

And so we felt there was a complete mischaracterization of the source at ABC One-Hour Cleaners and then, of course, the uncertainty,

- 1 okay? And I believe the Chapter I report was
- 2 | available in March of 2009. I'll have to look it
- 3 | up and see what the date is, but it was before the
- 4 NRC report was released. And I am sure if the
- 5 NRC -- in fact, there's an e-mail where I told --
- 6 communicated to Dr. Clement that we had an
- 7 uncertainty analysis report, completed report, that
- 8 | I thought the NRC committee should see. But if the
- 9 NRC committee had wanted to see it, even if it's
- 10 unpublished form, I'm sure our agency leadership
- 11 | would have allowed them to do that.
- 12 Q. Okay. I'm showing you what we're --
- 13 | we're pulling up what is being marked as
- 14 Exhibit 14. It should be uploaded to the exhibit
- 15 | platform.
- 16 (DFT. EXHIBIT 14, e-mail correspondence
- 17 | Bates-stamped CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-0000080493, was
- 18 | marked for identification.)
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 20 Q. So this is an e-mail from you to Susan
- 21 | Martel dated May 15, 2008?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- O. That's correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Who is Susan Martel?

- A. She was the -- I knew of her as the executive secretary of the NRC committee and was looking at contaminated drinking water at Camp Lejeune. And I believe she is the one that sent me the invitation to make a presentation at -- in Washington D.C.
- Q. So here in this e-mail you write, "Dear Susan, since ATSDR presented information to the committee on September 24th pertaining to our agency's current health study including water modeling activities at Camp Lejeune, I and my colleagues at ATSDR have provided additional information and responses to inquiries from committee members and we continue to be very supportive of the NRC's charge and mission with respect to the Camp Lejeune issues."

Did I read that first paragraph --

A. Yes.

2.2

2.5

- Q. I read that first paragraph correctly?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Second paragraph says "I have become aware, however, in responding to inquiries and information requests that all of the NRC committee members may not have -- may not be fully aware or appreciate the technical issues,

logistical, and budgetary constraints faced by ATSDR, especially within the last six months."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. What did you mean in that paragraph?
- A. This is 2008. So it appeared to me that they were focusing solely on Tarawa Terrace, but we were still going through the data for Hadnot Point, and they were going to make, you know, their their goal or mission, from the title of the report, "is contaminated water at Camp Lejeune." It didn't say "contaminated water at Tarawa Terrace", but it said "at Camp Lejeune." So they should have considered or at least asked what data we had for Hadnot Point in that that area.

And then also I think around that time is when we had some substantial budgetary issues with the -- the Navy either delaying funding or whatever, and so my concern was that would be reflected in, you know, negatively on the progress of the modeling and I thought that was important for committee members to also understand.

- Q. Okay. The third paragraph reads -- "therefore --
 - A. Kevin, can you put up the third

paragraph? Okay.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

"Therefore, I am requesting that you Ο. and the NRC committee consider convening a closed-door meeting with ATSDR health study and water modeling staff so that we are able to address any and all questions committee members may have. We feel this would be a useful time for the NRC committee members in preparing its draft report and recommendations."

Why did you request a closed-door meeting?

I just -- because we had attended the public meeting, okay, where we made the presentation, and I -- I -- perhaps that was a bad choice of words, but I wanted it to be a scientific, highly technical meeting and thought that the closed-door meeting -- my definition of closed-door meeting meant for scientists and technical people working on Camp Lejeune to get together and discuss technical issues. I was thinking it in terms of, like, our expert panels that we had at ATSDR, whereas, if we held an open public meeting, you know, you would have other issues being brought -- brought -- brought in that would detract from the technical and scientific

issues we wanted to cover.

2.

2.2

- Q. The fourth paragraph reads "this meeting could take place at ATSDR's Chamblee campus at NRC headquarters at a location -- or at a location of mutual convenience to the NRC committee members."
 - A. Right.
 - Q. I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then the last paragraph there says, "I cannot stress strongly enough that the ATSDR health study staff including water modeling staff want the NRC committee members to have all information it needs and requires to fulfill its mission and we believe that additional time spent with ATSDR staff will greatly help accomplish this mission."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you mean there?
- A. Just what it says, is that, again, we did not feel the -- based on e-mail communication, primarily from me and Dr. Clement, I assume they may have been similar to the health scientist, the request for information and -- and all of that,

- that they were not getting the complete picture, okay? And we wanted to make sure they had all information and all data available as to the most current time, which would have been the date of that letter, May 2008.
- Q. At this time did you -- did you discover or get a sense when you -- at the time that you were writing this e-mail that the NRC's report may come out negative towards the water modeling?
- 11 A. Not at all. Not at this time. Again,
 12 that is what took us by -- by surprise, to be quite
 13 honest.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I need to go off record within five minutes.
- MR. ANWAR: What's that?
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I just need to go
 off record within five minutes.
- MR. ANWAR: Okay. Let's go off now.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the
- 21 record. The time is 4:32 p.m.
- 22 (Off the record.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the
- 24 record. The time is 4:35 p.m.
- 25 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. We are back on the record from a short break. We're marking -- you're about to be shown what is being marked as Exhibit 15.
- 4 (DFT. EXHIBIT 15, e-mail correspondence 5 Bates-stamped CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE_0000160913 and 6 160912, was marked for identification.)
- BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And let me know when you can see it. Can you see it?
 - A. Yes, I can see that. I'm sorry. Yeah.
- Q. Okay. So this -- appears to be an e-mail communication from you dated January 12th, 2007 to the -- what looks to be the water -- the water modeling and epidemiology team at ATSDR; is that right?
- A. That is -- well, let me -- can you see who it's sent to and I'll tell you. Yes, yes, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. And so I'll just work through this e-mail. Subject is "finalizing modeling activities for Tarawa Terrace," correct?
 - A. Right, that's correct.
- Q. And then the importance is high. And the opening line in blue "an open e-mail, slash, letter to those conducting groundwater flow, fate

and transport modeling at Tarawa Terrace and vicinity. This e-mail comes as a result of what I perceive is differing opinions, each valid, I am convinced, from perceived data limitations and modeling assumptions, as to what, quote, calibrated parameter values should be used, depending on the model being used and its level of sophistication.

In this particular case there is apparently a discrepancy on the value of the biodegradation rate for PCE between 0.0006 per day to 0.0004 per day. There are two different levels of sophistication of models used. MT3DMS versus TechFlowMP and a lack of definitive data to compare modeling results against, non-detects ranging from two milligrams per -- micrograms per liter to ten micrograms per liter, in my opinion, do not constitute a definitive standard by which to compare modeling results."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes, yes.

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q. Can you -- can you tell me what biodegradation rate is?
- A. When a constituent -- or contaminant such as PCE goes in groundwater, it has degradation products. So for example, PCE degrades to TCE and

then degrades to DCE, one of the forms, trans or cis DCE, and then degrades down to VC, vinyl chloride, okay? So that's degradation.

2.2

2.5

The approach ATSDR took initially using the MT3DMS model was not to degrade to PCE, okay? What we wanted to check out was that a gross error was that, you know, giving a higher concentration — a substantially higher concentration to PCE than had we degraded it so I asked our corporative agreement partners, who I knew had a model, and did multi — multiphase flow so they could degrade PCE to run it as — as well and look at the degradation products and look to see, then I compare if there was a substantial difference or not.

Q. Does it -- is it fair to characterize -- strike that.

Would you agree that a higher

degradation rate means more of the PCE is degrading

away as water is moving towards wherever it's

heading, the water treatment plant or the finished

-- the water distribution system?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It would degrade at a faster rate.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

Q. And here it says "non-detects ranging from two micrograms per liter to ten micrograms per liter, in my opinion, do not constitute a definitive standard by which to compare modeling results."

Why, in your opinion, do non-detects not constitute a definitive standard by which to compare modeling results?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question. You're asking for an opinion, which he's not yet completed his work in this case.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. And let me reframe the question. Why at this time when you wrote this e-mail did you think that in your -- did you hold the opinion that non-detects do not constitute a definitive standard by which to compare modeling results?
- A. At the time that I wrote that there was a -- as I pointed out, a difference of opinion between, I believe, the modeling team at Georgia Tech and the ATSDR modeling team as to what the degradation rate should be for PCE not having any measured values. And so I didn't want to just look at the samples that said non-detect, okay, because

that really wouldn't tell you the impact of the degradation of the PCE, okay? If you had samples that, you know, 10, 20, 30 or whatever and then one model is predicting higher or lower, then that could help you assess which value to use.

Q. Aren't non-detects data also that should be considered?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Oh, we considered it in our Α. calibration, in our analysis, but for this particular issue I -- I did not want it considered because I did not believe as a science technical project officer for this project that that would give us a definitive resolution of the parameter This is -- this type of discussion goes on value. and on in all model calibration efforts or model simulation and calibration efforts. Whether it's complex is you don't have -- especially, like, degradation rates. Unless you've gone into the laboratory and measured them, out in the field you don't have them, so you use the model to determine what value should be -- should be used, and we were coming up with two different -- two different rates, okay?
- Q. The second paragraph reads "as the agency is under tremendous pressure, if not,

- 1 outright criticism to immediately, all caps,
- 2 | provide a report on Tarawa Terrace we no longer
- 3 | have the time to debate this matter any further.
- 4 I'm calling a tie in the battle of models.
- 5 | Therefore, as project officer for this -- for this
- 6 | project, I have made the following decision and I
- 7 am requesting everyone involved abide by my
- 8 decision."
- I wanted to ask you, what was the
- 10 tremendous appreciate, if not, outright criticism?
- 11 A. Could you scroll to the date of that
- 12 letter?
- MR. DEAN: Yeah, that's what I was
- 14 | looking at.
- 15 THE WITNESS: That was January 2007.
- 16 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. So just for the purpose of the record,
- 18 | my question is what was the tremendous pressure, if
- 19 not, outright criticism that the water modeling
- 20 | team was facing and the agency was facing, meaning
- 21 ATSDR?
- 22 A. Yeah, ATSDR. We were facing from the
- 23 | public and -- and the CAP why there was a delay in
- 24 producing modeling results to be released to the
- 25 | public. And there were, you know, the agency

leadership would come to us and say what's taking so long and why haven't you completed the report and put it out? And again, because we -- we wanted to cover all aspects of the contaminant fate and transport, that's why we asked our university partner to do a degradation analysis, not just the single source that we used, okay? I felt that was critical to understand if, in fact, we were way overestimating PCE concentrations or not.

And so there was pressure to complete the Tarawa Terrace, you know, and quarterly reviews and things like that, pressure to -- to complete the Tarawa Terrace modeling.

- Do you -- you say here "we no longer Ο. have time to debate this matter any further." Did the pressure that you were facing impact the scientific process that you were undertaking in performing water modeling related to Camp Lejeune?
- Α. I don't believe it did because, again, they were two different values from two different models. And this is a typical discussion that has gone -- that anyone or any team that goes through fate and transport modeling conducts. It's not -this is not an unusual occurrence, this type of discussion, and we had similar discussions with

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Hadnot Point. And I felt that there was really no -- no way in a rapid sense to say whether the 0.006 was more acceptable or the 0.004. So I said we needed to make a decision, okay?

Q. On -- thank you.

2.

2.2

2.5

On 0.3 it states "no quantitative comparisons will be made using non-detect ND samples. As the detection limits for these samples range from two micrograms per liter to ten micrograms per liter, using these values is a double edge sword that will come back to attack us because those who review our modeling results will pick an ND value to justify their point of view and contradict our results."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. I wanted to start with the first sentence, no quantitative comparisons will be made using non-detect ND samples." What did you mean by that?
- A. A number of the samples, as you can read in the report, had non-detects in them, which means they were below the detection limit. My concern was that depending on your point of view, non-detect -- and we had numerous discussions, say,

for -- with our point of contacts at Camp Lejeune, 1 2. they assume non-detect meant zero concentration, okay. On the other hand, because you have a 3 detection limit of say one to ten micrograms per 5 liter, you could have others that say, well, non-detect just meant it fell within or outside the 6 detection limit, so you've got just differing opinions. So initially I -- I said let's just use 8 what I call, you know, the real data, the data 10 that's above the detection limits.

> Why is non-detect not real data? Ο.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

- I didn't say it wasn't real data. said -- and actually we reversed that because -- in our report we do go back to that. And it's not that I'm a believer that non-detect -- that should be used, but I think I was referring to this specific -- this specific issue of the biodegradation rate.
- And what did you mean when you said "using these values as a double edge sword that will come back to attack us because those who review our modeling results will pick an ND value to justify their point of view and contradict or results?"
 - That's exactly what I said before and Α.

perhaps I can explain it better. If -- if you -- say a model simulation is at five micrograms per liter, okay, if your detection limit is ten, it's below the detection limit, okay? Five is below the detection limit. It's just a real, real number. So those who, say, want to except your modeling results, they will say, oh, yeah this is great, it's below the detection limit, but it's, you know, five is greater than zero.

2.

2.2

On the other hand, as I pointed out, you'll have those that will say, well, if the sample says it's below the detection limit, that means it's zero, okay? So you can't win either -- either -- either way.

- Q. Is those differing view points that you're describing, would -- would that be considered sort of reasonable scientific debate and was -- go on.
- A. Yeah, I would say there's difference of scientific opinion. Again, this is dated January of 2007. By the time we moved on later in the year and solved the issue of degradation rate, we did, in fact, did use the non-detects to compare modeling results with, so we did not discard non-detects, okay? We looked at the detection

- limit. So I'm -- I'm probably convinced at this point that this e-mail was written at the height -- height of the differing of opinions which, you know, technical teams go through in...
 - Q. Based on the timing of this e-mail, it's January 2007, correct? And I think you stated earlier it was June of 2007 where you were called to a senate hearing --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- on Camp Lejeune, right?
 - A. Right.

2.

2.2

- Q. Were you feeling political pressure when you're referring to the pressure in the e-mail?
- A. I did not have -- I was not in any direct communication with politicians, but our agency leadership probably were or at least got feedback from them, and so they were pressuring us to finish up.
- Q. At the end I wanted to ask you about this last paragraph, "the bottom line, it is time to stop modeling and, quote, fine tuning models as we do not have the data to justify further modeling analysis."
 - A. Right.

- "The agency does not have the time to Ο. devote to additional modeling analyses --
- Oh, I'm sorry. I'm not seeing that. Α. There we go. Okay.
- And then the last sentence, "we have a CAP meeting scheduled in the beginning of March and I must have a completed draft report."

So I wanted to first ask you about the first sentence in that last paragraph, "the bottom line, it is time to stop modeling and fine tuning modelings as we do not have the data to justify further analyses."

What did you mean by "we do not have the model to justify further modeling analysis?"

Well, the sample on the top of the page, in other words, the degradation rate, we can go back and forth and do additional, additional, additional simulations trying to see which parameter value would -- would be more acceptable or more realistic, and you can do that with all model parameters. And typically, you know, you want to, again, try to get your calibration values as close as possible to your observed values.

So at a certain point you have to accept that we're all only going to be within plus

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

or minus five feet of water level instead of plus or minus three feet of water level. If not, you can keep modeling adding an item and that's what I did not want to see, and I felt because of having reviewed the Tarawa Terrace data, knowing the limited data that we had, that we probably would not be able to refine modeling to make, you know, additional decisions as to parameter values and things of that nature.

- And then that last sentence reads, "we Ο. have a CAP meeting scheduled in the beginning of March and I must have a completed draft report."
 - Α. Right.

1

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- Were you feeling pressure from the CAP Ο. to complete --
- It was communicated to me that the CAP Α. would be expecting a report.
 - Who communicated it to you? Q.
- I don't have a specific individual necessarily. It may have come up in our branch meeting or division meeting, okay, in other words, just to make us aware that we're having a CAP meeting and the CAP has, I'll say, requested or said they are expecting to have a final report. And the reason it's a final report is because the

CAP wanted to see modeling results and it was agency policy not to release modeling results publicly until a report was publicly released.

2.

2.2

2.5

- Q. Wouldn't you have preferred to have built consensus among your team and made sure all of the modelers on your team were in agreement on the parameters to make sure what you were -- you were giving to the CAP, you felt confident as opposed to rushing to get it done?
- A. This was the only real parameter that there was a question about, and the reason why is because, again, we went to a more sophisticated model that -- the degradation, the degradation byproducts. So I don't -- I don't think I was rushing them. We had people doing model simulations and looking at the various values and seeing what impact they had at different locations in the model.

And you know, we were not coming up with a definitive result as to which specific value, and to me that seemed to be a small range, 0.006 to 0.004, and so I just made a, you know, project officer decision that, well, let's just take the average or go with the -- the mid -- midpoint value.

- Q. The 0.0005 biodegradation rate is the rate that ended up in the Tarawa Terrace model, correct?
 - A. That is my understanding. I would have to look in -- in Chapter F, okay?
 - Q. I can tell you I've looked and that's what I saw.
 - A. Okay. Well, then that's -- that's -- you know, and all the team members were -- I think in part they were looking for a decision to be made, okay, in other words.
- Q. Okay. Can we pull up the next exhibit.

 13 Is this 15?
- MR. ANTONUCCI: 16.
- 15 MR. ANWAR: 16.
- 16 (DFT. EXHIBIT 16, e-mail correspondence
 17 Bates-stamped CLJA_WATERMODELING_010000075306 and
 18 75307, was marked for identification.)
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. We're showing you what is being marked as Exhibit 16. Can you see it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. This is an e-mail dated January 13,
 24 2007 from Robert Faye to you, Morris Maslia. Do
- 25 | you agree with that?

1

Yes. Α.

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Ο. Okay. The subject is "MT3DMS results" and the Morris -- or excuse me, the e-mail starts, "hi, Morris, I've rerun the fate and transport model with a biodegradation rate of 0.0005 as required. The results are only marginally acceptable and certainly do not represent our best calibration. Nevertheless, I intend to finish the report with the current simulation results and explain to them -- explain them to the best of my ability. Because of the marginal results several issues have come to mind that I need to share with you and which I hope to discuss with you in the future. I have listed these issues below."

Did I read that correctly?

16 Α. Yes.

> In number one he says "I find it will Q. be -- I find it very difficult to defend these results to my technical peers or in a court of law. Consequently, I would like to write a letter to the record to you and to ERG explaining what has happened, why the results are what they are, and addressing my concerns. I will send a draft of this letter to you first and ask for your comments."

1 Did I read that correctly?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

- A. Yes, yes, you did.
- Q. Did you receive a draft of this letter to the record from Robert Faye?
 - A. I do not recall.
- Q. Okay. I will represent to you that I did not find it in the water modeling project files.
 - A. Okay. Then it was not sent.
- Q. Okay. Number two, "I believe we have violated a fundamental rule of good modeling procedure. We let the tail wag the dog and assigned extraordinary credibility to simulated numbers rather than to well-established concepts."

When -- did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And when he says "we let the tail wag the dog", what he's really saying is we -- we pushed to get to a certain result, right?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I think he may have been referring to the push to finish, finish the modeling analyses, okay, by a deadline, by a deadline.

1 BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So you think he was referring to the deadline and not furthering the debate?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. What -- he says "we have violated a fundamental rule of good modeling procedure." Do you know what fundamental rule of good modeling procedure he's referring to here?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 12 BY MR. ANWAR:
 - Q. The e-mail goes on, "when a choice must be made between accepting less than a -- than desirable model results or violating or compromising valid conceptual models, I believe we should accept the undesirable results and explain the limitations of the simulations in that context."
- 20 Did I read that correctly?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And based on those two sentences, he's clearing talking about the results and not the timing, right?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the

question. Ask the person who had drafted the e-mail.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't say whether
he's talking about the timing or -- again, I don't
-- when I say I don't recall, it's been so long, I
don't recall specifically this -- this e-mail other
than it exists. And reading it, I do recall having
a conversation with Mr. Faye and, you know, that
was his -- his, you know, opinion.

BY MR. ANWAR:

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. Do you recall the conversation that you had with Mr. Faye?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. Number three says, "I would like to insert a statement in the fate and transport report that ATSDR -- ATSDR required 100 percent agreement between the MT3DMS model and the Georgia Tech model regarding fate and transport parameters. As a result, the biodegradation rate assigned to both models was a compromise between the best rates determined by individual model calibration."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you -- do you know what he's referring to there?

No. I -- looking at this e-mail -- and 1 2 I've known Mr. Faye for 40-some-odd years since our 3 time at -- as with any person conducting modeling or whatever, you sometimes blow things out of 4 perspective, okay, and I believe he thought that his best modeling or calibration approach may have been questioned by our university partner, okay, and vice versa, okay? They may have felt that he was trying to tell them what the best parameter 10 values were, okay?

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So now that I see that and the length of it, and knowing Mr. Faye, it was letting off steam, okay, because there was no such statement, to my knowledge, put in the fate and transport report.

0.4 states, "from a technical point of view, I believe most or all of this unfortunate, quote, mess has evolved from flawed concepts and applications on the part of Georgia Tech. Specifically they applied the calibrated mass loading rate from the M3DMS [sic] model to the unsaturated and saturated zones represented in their model.

I assume initially they also applied the calibrated MT3DMS degradation rate to the

unsaturated and saturated zones. Degradation in the saturated zone is aerobically driven and occurs at rates that are possibly several orders of magnitude greater than anaerobic degradation. The degradation rate that I computed at well TT-26 was reasonably an anaerobic rate also applying the calibrated mass loading rate from the MT3DMS model to the unsaturated zone directly equates the actual, quote, real-world PCE loss rate at ABC One Cleaners to the MT3DMS mass loading rate.

Such an equation is absurd as it does not account for retention and degradation within the unsaturated zone. The MT3DMS code requires that mass loading be applied directly to the water table and thus can represent at best only at the minimum loss rate at ABC One-Hour Cleaners. believe if Georgia Tech had calibrated instead to simulate PCE concentrations at the water table at the loading elements and had applied a reasonable aerobic degradation rate to their unsaturated zone, then a mass loading rate significantly greater than the calibrated MT3DMS rate would result for the Georgia Tech model.

This rate would more directly equate to the PCE loss to -- due to operations at ABC

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

2.5

One-Hour Cleaners. In addition, these approaches would result in a correspondingly greater PCE mass in the saturated zone and quite possibly the calibrated biodegradation rates assigned to the MT3DMS and Georgia Tech model would be highly similar."

Did I read all of that correctly?

A. Yes.

2.

2.2

- Q. What is your recollection or your understanding of what he's saying here?
- A. Basically he's letting off steam as to, you know, the differences in the modeling approach, yeah, and you did have two different models. The MT3DMS is a saturated zone. Only from the water table Georgia Tech model went from land surface down. And I believe this whole discourse was basically eventually resolved, okay? And I don't know if it was a formal meeting or not, but we did -- I mean, between Mr. Faye and Georgia Tech and myself.

So, again, this was one of those things that I believe knowing Mr. Faye in the elongated e-mail, I think he was just frustrated that he had felt he had a calibrated model, Georgia Tech felt they had a calibrated model, and, you know, it's --

that happens I would say often in these types of analyses. Not necessarily just historical reconstruction, but just modeling analyses when you're trying to compare a simpler model or a model making certain assumptions versus a more complex model.

2.

2.2

2.5

- "the application of the anaerobic degradation rate to the unsaturated zone and the direct equation of the actual PCE loss due to operations at ABC One-Hour Cleaners to the mass loading rate calibrated for the MT3DMS model violates sound reasoning and hydraulic principles. I am not at all surprised that Georgia Tech found less PCE mass than required for a reasonable simulation. The fault, however, was not in the assigned degradation rate, but rather in their flawed concepts and reasoning. I suspect a through technical review" --
- A. Yeah, can you -- hold on. Can you scroll up? Okay.
- Q. Okay. I apologize. "I suspect a thorough technical review by my competent peers will point out these issues."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.5

Q. Okay. And then the last paragraph, "let me emphasize, I do not intend to change the current model results and I'm not asking for any dispensation to do so, however, I would like to follow through on my letter to the record and my other requests as soon as possible. Please let me know your thoughts at your earliest convenience."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you -- do you recall ever responding to this e-mail?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - O. And I think you --
- A. I do not recall receiving a letter either. And again, this was, I think, you know, Mr. Faye was not physically located at our headquarters. He was at his office, which was in North Georgia, so we did everything by phone or by e-mail. And I think it's just an expression of frustration. I think we eventually sort of got --got together.
- Q. Okay. I wanted to shift gears a little bit. I know I'm running up probably on the hour, so I would like to --

A. It's fine.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

O. -- get through this.

Do you have any family or friends that have filed legal claims related to Camp Lejeune?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Okay. Aside from serving as an expert now for the plaintiffs, have you ever received any compensation from someone other than ATSDR related to your Camp Lejeune water modeling work?
 - A. No, I have not.
- Q. Let's pull up the next exhibit. This will be the January 17, 2009 -- actually this might be the wrong one. I apologize. Give me one second. Let's do -- actually I think it's the right one.

(DFT. EXHIBIT 17, e-mail correspondence Bates-stamped CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-09_0000034863 through 34866, was marked for identification.)

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Okay. I wanted to ask -- so what you should be seeing now is an exhibit that we're marking as Exhibit 17.
 - A. Right. Okay.
- Q. It's an e-mail exchange with the last e-mail dated June 17, 2009. Do you see that?

1 A. Yes.

2.2

Q. Okay. And among the recipients in this e-mail, you are -- your e-mail is copied there in the middle of the recipients.

If we scroll down the chain, the first e-mail on the chain starts June 17, 2009 and it is an e-mail from Richard Clapp, who I believe was a CAP member, right?

- A. He was -- I don't know if he was a CAP member at that time or not, but he was a CAP member and also worked at -- was a professor at -- I believe it was Boston University School of Public Health.
- Q. And he's forwarding to three individuals, one of whom appears to be Jerry Ensminger, Mr. Ensminger, a statement in response to the National Research Council on Camp Lejeune.
 - A. Right.
 - O. And then --

MR. DEAN: Actually you're miss -- just honestly, I see what you're doing, but you're misinterpreting how this occurred. This is a post -- it's clear that this is a copy and post by J -- Joe Anderson that went to Jerry Ensminger on July the 17th, 2009. And he's pasting in the e-mail

- 1 that's below. Because if you go to the end of the 2. e-mail, you will see J. Panglia -- I mean Joseph 3 Anderson's signature at the end of the e-mail. So he did not -- this e-mail was not sent by Richard 4
- 6 MR. ANWAR: That's not where I'm going 7 with this.
- MR. DEAN: Okay. 8
- 9 MR. ANWAR: And I would appreciate if 10 you don't --
- 11 MR. DEAN: No, I just want to make sure 12 -- you're misrepresenting who sent what e-mail. This -- but anyway, go ahead.
- BY MR. ANWAR: 14

5

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Clapp.

- What it appears to me -- and 0. Mr. Maslia, if you understand it differently, I would appreciate hearing from the witness and letting the witness testify. There -- the chain above is certainly an e-mail dated June 17, 2009. It's from a Janderson@andersonpangia.com to a Jensminger@hotmail.com.
 - Α. Right.
 - And somewhere in the middle there, and we can find it if you need to, but it's on the right-hand side in the middle. There's

- 1 | MMmaslia@CDC.gov.
- 2 | A. Okay. I'll --
- MR. DEAN: I see it.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 5 BY MR. ANWAR:

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. My question for you was do you -- Joseph Anderson was the lawyer that took your deposition in June 2010.
- A. That is my recollection.
 - Q. Okay. And this is a year before your deposition and you're being copied on an e-mail by a lawyer -- a plaintiff's lawyer that took your deposition a year later. Do you know why you were copied on this e-mail?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Prior to your deposition in June 2010, had you ever spoken with Joseph Anderson?
 - A. No, I have not.
 - MR. DEAN: I also object on the record that this e-mail has not been produced by the DOJ in the manner which it originally existed. If you look at Bates stamp 34 -- let me finish.
- MR. ANWAR: You can make your
- 24 objection.
- MR. DEAN: No, sir, you're

- 1 | mischaracterizing that this is an e-mail and this
- 2 | is not an e-mail in the sense it's sent. If you
- 3 look at 3486 --
- 4 MR. ANWAR: You're not entitled to
- 5 testify.
- 6 MR. DEAN: Yes -- I'm not. I'm making
- 7 an objection.
- MR. ANWAR: If we need to call the
- 9 | magistrate and I get another hour for this
- 10 deposition --
- MR. DEAN: You are misrepresenting this
- 12 e-mail. 34863, if you look at the last e-mail,
- 13 EPA.gov at the top, then it is a conversation that
- ends in the second -- at the top of the second
- 15 | page, it says "outstanding, J." This is not the
- 16 | full chain of this e-mail and I object to your
- 17 using this e-mail in the sense that you have.
- 18 MR. ANWAR: Great. You can make that
- 19 objection in court.
- MR. DEAN: Okay.
- 21 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. Let's move on to the next exhibit dated
- 23 October 26, 2009.
- 24 | (DFT. EXHIBIT 18, e-mail correspondence
- 25 Bates-stamped CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-09_0000035889

Page 288 and 35890, was marked for identification.) 1 Exhibit 18? 2. MR. DEAN: 3 MR. ANWAR: Correct. BY MR. ANWAR: 4 5 And let me know when you see it. Ο. 6 MR. DEAN: Okay. 7 BY MR. ANWAR: Ο. Exhibit 18, if you -- at the top of the 8 9 last e-mail on this chain is an e-mail dated 10 October 26, 2009, so this is while the water 11 modeling is still ongoing. It's an e-mail from 12 Jerry Ensminger to you and it copies what appears 13 to be a paralegal from the Bell Legal Group. if you scroll down --14 15 Α. I'm sorry, Bell... 16 If you scroll down to the bottom of the Ο. 17 chain, there's an e-mail dated October 26, 2009. 18 Α. Right. 19 Do you see that? Ο. 20 From Elle Brigman. Α. Correct, to Mr. Ensminger. 21 0. Right. 2.2 Α. 23 And you're copied there? Q. 24 Uh-huh. Α. And it says "subject banner request." 25 Q.

And the e-mail states, from Elle, "hello, this is Elle. I just spoke with you on the phone. This e-mail is also carbon copied to Mr. Jerry."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes, yes.
- Q. So you can let me know if you disagree, but the way I interpret that first two lines or three lines right there is that Elle Brigman is referring to speaking to you on the phone and he's -- he or her has copied this e-mail to Mr. Ensminger. Would you agree with that?

 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the

THE WITNESS: I don't recall at all who this is or, obviously, the e-mail you can -- because it's got my e-mail address on there, but I just don't recall the topic or the subject matter or the person that sent it.

19 BY MR. ANWAR:

question.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. So the rest of the e-mail reads, "Jerry first let me say, those boiled peanuts rocked. I had them on the way home", you know it's a personal --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- story about peanuts. The second

paragraph reads "anyway, the banner, slash, poster 1 2. you have and showed us, we would like to have a 3 copy for the city council meeting in December. was not sure of the title of the items, so I wanted 4 5 to ask you if it is a combination of various documents, which ones? Anyway, guidance would be 6 great and thank you again for your knowledge and the boiled peanuts. I am sure I will be talking to 8 9 you soon."

And again, since the request is for a banner, it appears to be directed at you. Would you agree with that?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I have -- I have no idea what banner the e-mail is referring to.

BY MR. ANWAR:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you know why you -- so do you know who the Bell Legal Group is?
 - A. At that point?
- Q. At that point or now, do you know who they are now?
 - A. Now I know who the Bell Legal Group --
- O. Who are they?
 - A. I've been retained for them as an

1 | expert witness or expert consultant, okay?

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Is the Bell Legal Group the -- the lead counsel in this litigation? Are we sitting at the Bell Legal Group right now?
- A. We're sitting at the Bell Legal Group offices. As to their responsibility or assignment, I've really not gotten into that, okay?
- Q. So can you -- can you explain to me why you're being copied on e-mails as the water modeling is being performed --
 - A. Okay. Hold on.
- Q. -- in 2009 with a paralegal from the Bell Legal Group?
- A. Okay. Well, describing people and their positions that I have no knowledge of so, again, I just don't recall this e-mail. It, obviously, was received by -- by me.
 - Q. Do you like boiled peanuts?
 - A. I've had them.
- Q. Is that something you would give as a qift?
- A. Not if I want to still stay married to my wife.
- Q. And then at the top of the chain

 Mr. Ensminger responds to you, "Morris, don't worry

about the poster. I'll let them use mine. They do not need all of the chapters for the Tarawa Terrace model. I gave them Chapter A, but they need the entire report."

Did I read that correctly?

- A. Can you scroll down? I mean -- or up probably for you. You read that correctly. Again, I do not know what banner or poster they are referring to.
- Q. Okay. Let's move to exhibit -- what we'll call 19.
- (DFT. EXHIBIT 19, e-mail correspondence
 Bates-stamped CJLA_WATERMODELING_01-09_000003613,
 were marked for identification.)
- 15 BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. It is an e-mail communication dated January 21, 2010. Let me know when you see it.

 MR. DEAN: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:
 - Q. This is an e-mail communication dated December 16, 2009. The subject is "CAP meeting, January 21, 2010", and it's an e-mail from an individual named Vanessa Bertka to you, Mr. Maslia. Would you agree with that?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. In the body of the e-mail -well, let me start -- the -- at the bottom of the
 e-mail Vanessa Bertka is identified as a paralegal
 for the Bell Legal Group, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And the e-mail states, "Mr. Maslia, I write in regard to the CAP meeting currently set for January 21, 2010. I would like to know how we go about getting an invite into this meeting.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you recall this e-mail exchange with Ms. Bertka?
- 15 A. No, I do not.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with Ms. Bertka?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Not that you recall. Did you extend an invitation to the Bell Legal Group to the CAP meeting set for January 21, 2010?
 - A. That would not have been in my job assignment. It could have been agency leadership. It could have been other people, but I really did not deal at all with extending or inviting people

1 to CAP meetings.

- Q. That e-mail goes on to state, "as I understand, you are on vacation at this time. I
- 4 hope you and your family have a Merry Christmas and
- 5 Happy New Year." Does -- do you have any
- 6 understanding of how she knew you were on vacation
- 7 | at that time?
- 8 A. No, I do not. Nor do I celebrate 9 Christmas.
- 10 Q. Fair enough. Let's pull up -- let's
- 11 pull up the next e-mail April 13, 2020. You should
- 12 be seeing --
- MR. DEAN: We're good.
- 14 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 15 Q. -- what we're marking as Exhibit 20.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 (DFT. EXHIBIT 20, e-mail correspondence
- 18 | Bates-stamped CLJA_WATERMODELING_010000074373
- 19 through 74375, was marked for identification.)
- 20 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 21 Q. This is an e-mail exchange. The very
- 22 | bottom of it is dated April 13th, 2010.
- 23 A. Right.
- 0. It doesn't look like you're copied on
- 25 | the bottom of the e-mail circulating --

- 1 A. I'm copied on the top of the e-mail.
 - O. Correct.
- 3 A. Okay.
- Q. But I was just referring back for context.
- 6 A. Okay.

7

8

9

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And then you end up being copied at the top of the e-mail?
- A. Right.
- Q. And the e-mail is from Frank Bove and

 11 -- to a group of individuals at ATSDR --
 - A. Right.
 - Q. -- and you and Barbara Rogers are copied, correct?
- 15 A. Right.
 - Q. Okay. And so the -- the top of the e-mail is dated April 13, 2010, right?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
 - Q. And so the e-mail states "I can guarantee that the CAP meeting will be a complete chaos if Jerry's presentation is left off the agenda. All the CAP community members have endorsed the previous draft agenda, which Jerry had on -- which had Jerry on for one hour. I have negotiated with Jerry to reduce his presentation to

30 minutes. Morris and I will work with him to make sure his presentation is tight and he does not exceed his time unless he gets questions.

2.2

2.5

The previous agenda was developed by

Perri and myself. We know what works and the

agenda reflects our best judgment on the issues the

CAP meeting needs to cover and the appropriate

orders -- order of the issues, i.e. Jerry's

presentation following Morris's update after the

morning break period.

Given my over 40 years as a political activist just like Jerry, as well as my seven years as a full-time community organizer, I think I have the experience necessary to know what will work and what won't work when it comes to community meetings like the CAP. This CAP has been a model for other CAPs to follow. It has been extremely successful publicizing the issues. It has provided valuable comments to our water modeling work and our epi studies. It has been instrumental in getting funding and in general has been a model for successful community participation. ATSDR has gained public trust, media trust, congressional support through the efforts of the CAP."

Let me stop right there. Did I read

1 | that correctly?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

- A. Yes, you read that correctly.
- Q. Do you recall this particular, I guess, incident or incidents situation that he's describing?
 - A. Not this specific one.
- Q. What is your understanding of what's being said in the e-mail?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.
 - THE WITNESS: My understanding is that Mr. Ensminger was allotted a certain amount of time to make a presentation at the CAP meeting.

 Someone, and I don't know who, but someone who reviewed the agenda took him off of there, okay?

 And I'm sure that met with displeasure. And so it's an e-mail to explain why he should be put back on the agenda.
 - Q. And the e-mail starts out, "I can guarantee that the CAP meeting will be complete chaos if Jerry's presentation is left off the agenda." Do you recall what Frank Bove was referring to here?
 - A. No, I do not. Again, I was never directly involved with the administration or the

logistics of CAP meetings. I was simply invited there as an ATSDR's technical expert in water modeling.

- Q. The middle of the e-mail says -- and this is Dr. Bove speaking, "given my over 40 years as a political activist just like Jerry, as well as my seven years as a full-time community organizer." Would you -- do you -- do you know Dr. Bove to be a political activist?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And how so?
- A. Oh, he will tell anyone who asks him that, that he is a community organizer and a political activist. I mean, he does not hide it, in other words. I have not seen him in action, okay, but I know he'll, you know, work with community organizations based on whatever political, you know, opinions they may need or may want. And he -- I mean, he has stated, you know, directly to me and others that he is a community organizer.
- Q. Do you know Dr. Bove to be a political activist as it relates to Camp Lejeune?

 MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the

25 question.

2.

2.2

THE WITNESS: I never observed any political activist activity on his part with respect to Camp Lejeune. He was passionate about -- from the scientific standpoint in getting funding, getting and providing community members with transparent information.

BY MR. ANWAR:

question.

2.2

2.5

Q. And then he says "I'm a political activist just like Jerry." I think earlier you agreed, do you understand or do you know

Mr. Ensminger to be a political activist?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the

or been told about Mr. Ensminger being, you know, a political activist. Like, Dr. Bove had told me directly, so it's not been told to me directly by Mr. Ensminger that's what he is, but obviously the Janey Ensminger Act got signed, okay, and so that would take some amount of political activism to get that done.

BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. Do you consider yourself an activist?
- A. No, I do not.
 - O. The -- the first sentence of the second

paragraph says "I've heard that a congressional staffer from Miller's office is considering personally attending the CAP meeting."

Do you know who Dr. Bove is referring to when he says Miller's office?

A. No, I do not.

2.

2.2

- Q. During your time at ATSDR and sort of involvement with the CAP and attendance to CAP meetings, has a congressional staffer ever attended a CAP meeting that you've attended?
- A. I don't -- I don't recall a congressional staffer at a CAP meeting, but then again, I did not attend all sessions of each CAP meeting, okay? In other words, when they got into the health studies or some agency budgetary issues maybe towards the end of a CAP meeting, you know, I was not needed there, so I can't say if there were congressional people there or not, but during the time that I made presentations at the CAP, there were no congressional representatives there, or staffers.
- Q. Okay. Should be appearing shortly what we're marking as Exhibit 21.
- (DFT. EXHIBIT 21, e-mail correspondence Bates-stamped CL_MASLIA_0000000173 and 174, was

- 1 | marked for identification.)
- 2 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. Do you see that e-mail in front of you?
- 4 MR. DEAN: Yes.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 6 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. Okay. So the top of the chain is from
- 8 you to Mr. Ensminger. It's dated July 13, 2022.
- 9 The start of the chain is an e-mail from you to
- 10 Mr. Ensminger. It's dated July 12th, 2022. So
- 11 let's -- let's start at the bottom of the chain.
- 12 It says there -- and is that your e-mail address,
- H2Oboy54@gmail.com?
- 14 A. That's, yes, my e-mail address.
- Q. Okay. And the e-mail is dated
- 16 July 2012 -- or July 12, 2022, correct, to Jerry
- 17 Ensminger?
- 18 A. Right.
- Q. And it is a -- it appears to be an
- 20 e-mail of you passing along a published article to
- 21 Mr. Ensminger about Camp Lejeune; is that right?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. And then at the bottom of the
- 24 e-mail you say "also I have been contacted by
- 25 another law firm about Camp Lejeune. No

discussions yet, but just wanted to give you a heads-up." Did I read that correctly?

A. That is correct.

2.2

2.5

- Q. Why did you want to give Mr. Ensminger a heads-up?
- A. Some -- somewhere and I don't recall where, but, I mean, it was during this time frame he had asked me would I be interested in doing consulting work as an expert. And he said, I know of a law firm that may be interested in your services. I said, fine, give them my name, I can send them my CV or resume.

And then I was also contacted by another law firm. I don't recall the name at this time. I don't know where they got my name from, but maybe from the reports or wherever. And so just thought I would let him know that, you know, business was hopping.

Q. The chain goes on to a response from Mr. Ensminger dated July 13th, 2022. It states, "Morris, please don't take any meeting with other law firm until you meet with Ed Bell. The bill hadn't passed Congress yet, let alone being signed into law by the POTUS. I will see if I can get Ed to give you a call today, Jerry."

1 Did I read that correctly?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then the top of the chain, the last
- 4 chain, is a response to Mr. Ensminger's e-mail,
- 5 | "spoke with Kevin who works with Ed Bell this
- 6 morning. They will be sending me a retainer form
- 7 to sign."

- 8 Did I read that correctly?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when you're referring to
- 11 | Kevin there, are you referring to Mr. Dean, here
- 12 today?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. DEAN: Not another one.
- 15 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 16 Q. And I understand that you were retained
- 17 as an expert around July -- or June/July 2022,
- 18 | correct?
- 19 A. July. Mid July, 2022, yes, that's
- 20 correct.
- 21 Q. My -- how -- how long have you known Ed
- 22 Bell or professionals at the Bell Legal Group?
- A. Professionally since July -- well,
- 24 | yeah, July of 2022.
- Q. Okay. What about personally?

- A. I was introduced to him -- I think it was earlier in 2022 maybe. There was a CAP meeting in Atlanta and there was a restaurant down in the Atlanta area, and I was introduced to him. Not his capacity or anything, but just as Ed Bell.
 - Q. How long have you known Mr. Ensminger?
- A. I became aware of him sometimes during the final stages of perhaps the Tarawa Terrace modeling activities.
 - Q. So roughly 2008/2009?
- A. Yes, somewhere around there. Maybe a little before because he was a member of the CAP and we would make presentations to the CAP and they would have his nametag, you know, there.
 - Q. Do you consider Mr. Ensminger a friend?
 - A. No.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. When is the last time you've communicated with him?
- A. I think you brought up an e-mail earlier where I forwarded an e-mail from Mr. Ensminger to Mr. Dean.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. This -- I don't recall the date, but that's the last time.
 - Q. You should be seeing what is being

- 1 | marked as Exhibit 23, I believe. Sorry. Sorry.
- 2 22. Clarification for the record.
- 3 | (DFT. EXHIBIT 22, e-mail correspondence
- 4 Bates-stamped CL_MASLIA_0000000487, was marked for
- 5 | identification.)
- 6 BY MR. ANWAR:

- Q. It is an October 4th, 2023 e-mail from
- 8 you to Mr. Ensminger.
 - A. Right.
- 10 Q. And it appears that you're attaching
- 11 | photos from an award that you won in 2015?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. I was just curious or wondering, why
- 14 were you sending photos of your award to
- 15 Mr. Ensminger?
- 16 A. He had e-mailed me or called me and
- wanted to know if I had available the photos of the
- 18 presentations from the award that we -- my team
- 19 received from the American Association of
- 20 | Environmental Engineers and Scientists in 2015.
- 21 | And that's public information, so...
- Q. Okay. We can take that exhibit down.
- 23 | My understanding is the most recent --
- 24 | are you familiar with -- let me back up for a
- 25 second. Are you familiar with the recent cancer

incidence study that was published by ATSDR?

- A. I have a copy of it, yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the mortality study related to Camp Lejeune?
 - A. I'm familiar with the journal articles.
 - O. Okay. Well --

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. Not the nuts and bolts of it, not being a epidemiologist.
- Q. Let's just focus on the cancer incidence study. My understanding is that study does not -- and a couple -- at least one other of the more recent studies does not rely on the Camp Lejeune water modeling for any sort of exposure response analysis. Do you know why that is?
- A. You would have to speak to Dr. Bove who authored that study. He was -- once I retired from ATSDR, we were not in communication other than maybe having a lunch occasionally. But in terms of conducting any studies he was working on at ATSDR, I was not solicited for information nor privy to decisions that he made as to why he was making them and...
 - Q. Are you represented by counsel today?
- A. I'm here being deposed as a fact witness.

Are the lawyers, Mr. Dean and Ms. Baughman, on the other side of the table, are they representing you here at this deposition today?

MR. DEAN: Object to --

MS. BAUGHMAN: Object to the form.

I don't believe they're THE WITNESS: representing me. I'm an expert consultant for them or to -- for the firm. I have no attorney representing me at this deposition.

BY MR. ANWAR:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- What led you to decide to serve as a consultant in this litigation?
- I felt all along -- and this goes back to when I was in ATSDR and the whole NRC report issue came up, being critical of our work, and I felt, and I was proved right, that the Department of Navy, which you said is the pinnacle of science, okay, which we disagreed with. And so as time -time went on, I felt that perhaps an -- attorneys representing plaintiffs could use someone with my technical and scientific abilities to interpret the highly technical reports that we produced and if there were questions as to why there were differences between the NRC report and the ATSDR

- 1 reports, I could be valuable to them.
- 2 O. Earlier we discussed the Navy critique.
- 3 Do you recall that discussion?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Of the -- the Camp Lejeune or the
- 6 | Tarawa Terrace?
- 7 A. Okay. Weather service, tornado
- 8 warning.
- 9 MS. BAUGHMAN: This is an interior
- 10 room. We're probably fine.
- MR. DEAN: Keep going. You've got five
- 12 | more minutes anyway.
- MR. ANWAR: Yeah, no, I hear you.
- 14 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 15 Q. So earlier we discussed the -- the Navy
- 16 critique of the Camp Lejeune water modeling,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 19 O. And my recollection of the critique was
- 20 -- and we discussed it earlier, was they had an
- 21 | issue with the calibration of the model and whether
- 22 | the -- whether the --
- 23 MS. BAUGHMAN: If we all turn our
- 24 phones off.
- MR. DEAN: Yeah, if you turn off your

- 1 | phones, you know, it's not going to do that.
- 2 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. My recollection of their critique -Goddamn it.
- 5 MR. DEAN: You've got notifications on 6 the -- on the -- if you turn off all alerts it
- 7 | will...
- 8 BY MR. ANWAR:
- 9 Q. -- took issue with the calibration and
 10 the sensitivity analysis relied upon in the model;
 11 is that fair?
- MS. BAUGHMAN: Object to the form.
- Q. Okay. We don't need to quibble about
 what they took issue with, but they took issue with
 the -- the reliability of the modeling, fair?
- MR. DEAN: Object to the form.
- THE WITNESS: Again, I would not consider that's what they took issue with.
- 19 BY MR. ANWAR:
- Q. Are you familiar with -- do you know who Dan Waddell is?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What is your relationship with
- 24 Mr. Waddell?
- A. He's a -- employed at least at the time

that I remember him, NFEC, which is the Naval Facilities Engineering Command, and he also made a statement or presented a statement at one of the expert panel meetings that we had at ATSDR.

O. Okay. Was --

2.

2.2

- A. And we -- and there -- let me just add -- we don't need to go look through them. I think there's a couple of e-mails between him and me.
- Q. Okay. Let's leave it at the Navy critiqued the Camp Lejeune water modeling, the Tarawa Terrace model, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the NRC, the National Research
 Council, an arm of the National Academy of Science,
 also critiqued the Tawara Terrace water modeling,
 correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And then Dr. Clement, who I think at one time you referred to as unbiased, published an article sort of raising the question about whether hind -- or reconstruction efforts are -- have value. My question to you is, of those -- just those three people or organizations that have critiqued the model, is there any aspect of their

critique, their scientific critique, with which you believe is valid?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: First I would like to respond by first saying we responded to the Navy's critique and it's officially on the ATSDR website available for anyone to read and, I believe, we responded point by point. That's typically what's done in scientific discourse is -- whether you publish a paper or --

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.2

- Q. And my question is whether -- not whether you responded. My question is --
- A. Well, we didn't have -- my point is we did not have an official opportunity to respond to the NRC report, okay? And I think you need to take the responses and -- and evaluate our responses, okay?
- Q. And I'm asking you, as you sit here today --
 - A. Right.
- Q. Well, let's say, I'm asking you not in your capacity as working for the plaintiffs, but I'm asking you in your capacity as a fact witness

that has worked on the Camp Lejeune water modeling for, you know, more than a decade, who did work, is there any aspect of the criticism or the -- that the model received that you believe is valid?

MR. DEAN: Object to the form. You're asking him for his personal opinion. His work is not yet completed on this case nor has he issued a report and reserves the right -- or we reserve the right, and the witness does, to address any issue needed in the report.

MS. BAUGHMAN: It's also a compound question.

BY MR. ANWAR:

2.

2.2

- O. You can answer the question.
- A. Okay. NRC suggested using simpler modeling approaches. We actually accepted that and did that for Hadnot Point. On the other hand, they critiqued us for not using more complex biodegradation. You can't have it both ways, okay, so, again -- but we did with NRC recommendation that we try some simpler modeling approaches. We accepted that, okay, for Hadnot Point.
- MR. ANWAR: I believe I have one minute left. I will -- I think that's -- that's all I have for today. As I understand that you -- you

Page 313 will be testifying in this case, I'm sure we'll 1 2. meet again, so nice to meet you and thank you for 3 your time. Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS: 5 MR. DEAN: Okay. I need a little bit of a break to use the restroom, confer with my --6 and then we've got a few questions. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the 8 9 record. The time is 5:49 p.m. 10 (A recess transpired.) 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going back on the 12 The time is 5:59 p.m. record. 13 MR. DEAN: Okay. Giovanni, I don't 14 need it right at the moment, but I sent you one 15 exhibit. If you don't mind dropping it in the 16 folder. 17 MR. ANTONUCCI: Oh, sure. 18 MR. DEAN: And if you want to -- what 19 was the last exhibit number? 20 MS. BAUGHMAN: 22. 21 MR. DEAN: So you want to call it 23? 2.2 MR. ANWAR: However you want to --23 MR. DEAN: Yeah, whatever is next. I'm fine just using consecutive numbers. 24 25 MR. ANWAR: Okay. Do we want to close

Page 314 1 that? MR. DEAN: Okay. 3 MR. ANWAR: You said you sent the exhibit? 4 5 MR. ANTONUCCI: I have it. MR. DEAN: Yeah, I sent it to you. 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MR. DEAN: 8 9 So let's go, Mr. Maslia, to Exhibit 10 No. 6. Let's see here. All right. Do you remember Exhibit No. 6? 11 12 Α. Yes. Sorry I'm... 13 Ο. That's okay. And it indicates that 14 this is a printoff of a webpage created -- last 15 updated, it says, September the 18th of 2024 --16 Α. Right. 17 Q. -- at 3:02 p.m. at the top. Do you see that? 18 19 A. Yes, I do. 20 Were you working with the ATSDR in Q. 21 September of '24? 2.2 Α. No, I was not. Did you have any involvement in 23 creating the information that is on Exhibit 6? 24 25 Α. None whatsoever.

- Q. Did anybody call since your requirement in 2017 and ask you to assist or consult with them about what ATSDR puts on its website in 2024?
 - A. No.

2.2

- Q. Now, on Exhibit 6 there's a statement in the second full paragraph where it begins "treatment water distribution plants." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And you were asked this by counsel earlier. At the end of that paragraph it says, quote, other on-base treatment plants were not contaminated." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It is true that the work done by ATSD [sic] water modeling professionals including yourself were operating under contracts that were being funded by the Navy for the work to be done?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did ATSDR, between 2003 and the time you left in 2017, ever receive any funding -- funding and conduct any activities to evaluate contamination at any water treatment plants other

than the three reported in all of the reports we
have here today?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. You yourself never personally evaluated whether or not any of the other treatment plants were not contaminated, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, you answered a question earlier on in the deposition. I just want to clarify something and if Mr. Anwar has any follow-up questions. He asked you whether or not you met with anyone yesterday or what you did to prepare for your deposition, something along those lines. Do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. At my request, did you fly in Tuesday night to work on a proposed expert report and we met in this office yesterday?
 - A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. From time to time, whether it be a break or at lunch or from time to time about your attire, did you and I have some informal discussion about the timing and participation in today's deposition?
 - A. Yes, we had a discussion about the

- 1 logistics of today's deposition.
- Q. All right. Now, I want to show you
- 3 Exhibit No. 7. This Exhibit 7 is Bates-stamped
- 4 COJ, underscore, water modeling, underscore, 13764.
- 5 Do you see that?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And it has a CDC sticker at the bottom right-hand corner. Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, the banner at the bottom, yes, I do.
 - Q. And I believe you testified you've never seen this PowerPoint before, right?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Do you know whether or not this PowerPoint was created by anybody at ATSDR?
 - A. It wasn't created by anybody from the technical water modeling staff. I can tell by the language used or the verbiage used in there, but I don't know who created it, whether it was ATSDR or CDC or...
 - Q. Okay. And that's because some of the information, which you went over with counsel, is inaccurate?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. So I'm going to show you Exhibit

- 1 No. 22.
- 2 MR. DEAN: And Giovanni, do you mind
- 3 dropping that exhibit in the folder, please.
- 4 MR. ANWAR: So it's been dropped into
- 5 the folder and it's labeled Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.
- MR. DEAN: Oh, let's see if I can find
- 7 it. And the other -- it's just a suggestion, it's
- 8 up to you, you might want to delete the ones that
- 9 | were not marked as exhibits so the court reporter
- 10 | can pull them up. Now, is it the one that says EX
- 11 to EX7 metadata file, or did you call it
- 12 | Plaintiff's Exhibit?
- MR. ANTONUCCI: I introduced it as
- 14 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 001 documents.
- 15 | MR. DEAN: Okay. I see it. Got it.
- 16 | Thank you.
- 17 (PLF. EXHIBIT 1, screenshot of
- 18 PowerPoint slide entitled CDC 24/7 Camp Lejeune
- 19 Summary 2014, was marked for identification.)
- 20 BY MR. DEAN:
- Q. All right. I want to show you
- 22 | Plaintiff's Exhibit to your deposition, Number 1.
- 23 Do you see the --
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. -- screenshot?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. I'll represent to you during the deposition I went and located the native version of this document that council showed you, which is Exhibit No. 7, and I have a screenshot on the screen of that exhibit. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize that as the same Bates stamp and the same page that was on Exhibit 7?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now if you look in the right-hand corner, do you see that the author of the document -- well, first of all, do you see it was created December the 9th of 2014?
 - A. Yes, I see that now.
- Q. Do you see that the author of the document is a lady named Barbara Reynolds?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that she was working for a company, CDC? Do you see it? Beside "company" it says CDC.
- A. I'm looking for "company", which I don't -- oh, company, CDC.
- Q. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Do you know who Mrs. Barbara Reynolds is?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. Have you ever heard of her?
 - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. I'm not going to mark this as an exhibit unless you want me to.
 - MR. ANWAR: What is it?
- 9 MR. DEAN: Something we used to
- 10 | identify who Ms. Barbara Reynolds is.
- MR. ANWAR: Okay.
- MR. DEAN: I mean, I'll mark the page
- 13 | as a separate --
- 14 BY MR. DEAN:
 - Q. Are you aware that Barbara Reynolds, the lady that is listed in that document creating that PowerPoint December of 2014, formerly worked with the CDC and she was the senior communications and crisis advisor to the Center for Disease Control? Did you know that?
 - A. No, I do not.
 - Q. So the PowerPoint you were shown earlier and any questions that may or may not have suggested who -- the creator of that document, would you agree with me that document was not an

	rage 321			
1	ATSDR-created document, it was created by the CDC			
2	and Ms. Reynolds, a media senior crisis advisor?			
3	MR. ANWAR: Object to form.			
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was not created			
5	by people that I knew well, during 2014 I was at			
6	ATSDR and that never came through for either review			
7	or occurrence or any comments.			
8	MR. DEAN: All right. Mr. Maslia,			
9	thank you for your time today.			
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.			
11	MR. ANWAR: Thank you for your time.			
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: That ends this			
13	deposition. The time is 6:07 p.m.			
14	(The witness, after having been advised			
15	of his right to read and sign this transcript, does			
16	not waive that right.)			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

3 I, Lauren A. Balogh, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public for the 4 5 State of South Carolina at Large, do hereby certify 6 that the foregoing transcript is a true, accurate,

7 and complete record.

> I further certify that I am neither related to nor counsel for any party to the cause pending or interested in the events thereof.

Witness my hand, I have hereunto affixed my official seal this 29th day of September, 2024 at Murrells Inlet, Horry County, South Carolina.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

2.5



Lauren A. Balogh My Commission expires March 19, 2030

			Page 323
1	I N D E X		
2			
3		Page	Line
4	MORRIS MASLIA	4	13
5	EXAMINATION	4	15
6	BY MR. ANWAR:		
7	EXAMINATION	314	7
8	BY MR. DEAN:		
9	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	3 2 2	1
10			
11	EXHIBIT	S	
12			
13			
14		Page	Line
15	PLF. EXHIBIT 1, screenshot of	318	17
16	PowerPoint slide entitled CDC		
17	24/7 Camp Lejeune Summary 2014		
18	DFT. EXHIBIT 1, subpoena to	9	5
19	testify at a deposition in a		
20	civil action		
21	DFT. EXHIBIT 2, subpoena to	12	9
22	produce documents, information		
23	or objects or to permit		
24	inspection of premises in a		
25	civil action		

		Pag	e 324
1	DFT. EXHIBIT 3, deposition of	18	6
2	Morris Maslia dated June 30,		
3	2010 Bates-stamped		
4	CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS-0000049487		
5	through 49712		
6	DFT. EXHIBIT 4, resume for	22	1
7	Morris L. Maslia		
8	Bates-stamped		
9	CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE_0000073110 and		
10	73111		
11	DFT. EXHIBIT 5, LinkedIn	2 4	8
12	profile page for Morris L.		
13	Maslia		
14	DFT. EXHIBIT 7, CDC 24/7, Camp	8 2	5
15	Lejeune, Summary 2014		
16	PowerPoint Bates-stamped		
17	CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-		
18	0000003764 through 3792		
19	DFT. EXHIBIT 8, letter from	137	11
20	Department of Health and Human		
21	Services dated January 16,		
22	2013		
23	DFT. EXHIBIT 6, ATSDR document	149	11
2 4	entitled "Camp Lejeune,		
25	Summary of the Water		

	Page	325
Contamination Situation at		
Camp Lejeune"		
DFT. EXHIBIT 9, e-mail	158	7
correspondence Bates-stamped		
CL_MASLIA_000000817 and 818		
DFT. EXHIBIT 10, document	181	16
entitled "Analyses of		
Groundwater Flow, Contaminant		
Fate and Transport, and		
Distribution of Drinking Water		
at Tarawa Terrace and		
Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps		
Base Camp Lejeune, North		
Carolina: Historical		
Reconstruction and Present-Day		
Conditions		
Chapter A: Summary of		
Findings"		
DFT. EXHIBIT 11, ATSDR	199	19
document entitled "Analyses		
and Historical Reconstruction		
of Groundwater Flow,		
Contaminant Fate and		
Transport, and Distribution of		
Drinking Water Within the		
	Camp Lejeune" DFT. EXHIBIT 9, e-mail correspondence Bates-stamped CL_MASLIA_0000000817 and 818 DFT. EXHIBIT 10, document entitled "Analyses of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and Transport, and Distribution of Drinking Water at Tarawa Terrace and Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina: Historical Reconstruction and Present-Day Conditions Chapter A: Summary of Findings" DFT. EXHIBIT 11, ATSDR document entitled "Analyses and Historical Reconstruction of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and Transport, and Distribution of	Contamination Situation at Camp Lejeune" DFT. EXHIBIT 9, e-mail 158 correspondence Bates-stamped CL_MASLIA_0000000817 and 818 DFT. EXHIBIT 10, document 181 entitled "Analyses of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and Transport, and Distribution of Drinking Water at Tarawa Terrace and Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, North Carolina: Historical Reconstruction and Present-Day Conditions Chapter A: Summary of Findings" DFT. EXHIBIT 11, ATSDR 199 document entitled "Analyses and Historical Reconstruction of Groundwater Flow, Contaminant Fate and Transport, and Distribution of

		Page	326
1	Service Areas of the Hadnot		
2	Point and Holcomb Boulevard		
3	Water Treatment Plants and		
4	Vicinities, U.S. Marine Corps		
5	Base Camp Lejeune, North		
6	Carolina Chapter A: Summary		
7	and Findings", Bates-stamped		
8	CLJA_HEALTHEFFECTS0000221326		
9	through 221535		
10	DFT. EXHIBIT 12, document	2 2 5	10
11	entitled Analyses of		
12	Groundwater Flow, Contaminant		
13	Fate and Transport, and		
14	Distribution of Drinking Water		
15	at Tarawa Terrace and		
16	Vicinity, U.S. Marine Corps		
17	Base Camp Lejeune, North		
18	Carolina: Historical		
19	Reconstruction and Present-Day		
20	Conditions. Chapter		
21	F:Simulation of the Fate and		
22	Transport of		
23	Tetrachloroethylene (PCE)		
24	DFT. EXHIBIT 13, e-mail	248	2 2
25	correspondence Bates-stamped		

		Page	327
1	CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE_0000108607 and		
2	108608		
3	DFT. EXHIBIT 14, e-mail	253	16
4	correspondence Bates-stamped		
5	CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-		
6	0000080493		
7	DFT. EXHIBIT 15, e-mail	259	4
8	correspondence Bates-stamped		
9	CLJA_ATSDR_BOVE_0000160913 and		
10	160912		
11	DFT. EXHIBIT 16, e-mail	273	16
12	correspondence Bates-stamped		
13	CLJA_WATERMODELING_		
14	010000075306 and 75307		
15	DFT. EXHIBIT 17, e-mail	283	16
16	correspondence Bates-stamped		
17	CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-09_		
18	0000034863 through 34866		
19	DFT. EXHIBIT 18, e-mail	287	2 4
20	correspondence Bates-stamped		
21	CLJA_WATERMODELING_01-09_		
22	0000035889 and 35890		
23	DFT. EXHIBIT 19, e-mail	292	12
24	correspondence Bates-stamped		
25	CJLA_WATERMODELING_01-09_		

		Pag	e 328
1	000003613		
2	DFT. EXHIBIT 20, e-mail	294	17
3	correspondence Bates-stamped		
4	CLJA_WATERMODELING_		
5	010000074373 through 74375		
6	DFT. EXHIBIT 21, e-mail	300	2 4
7	correspondence Bates-stamped		
8	$\mathtt{CL_MASLIA_000000173}$ and $\mathtt{174}$		
9	DFT. EXHIBIT 22, e-mail	3 0 5	3
10	correspondence Bates-stamped		
11	CL_MASLIA_000000487		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

[& - 18] Page 1

&	0000160913	10003 2:9	327:3
	259:5 327:9	108608 248:24	149 324:23
& 2:7,11	001 318:14	327:2	15 79:15
0	00897 1:3 4:5	10:38 66:4	135:17 226:10
0.0004 260:11	01 324:17	10:50 66:7	228:17 231:12
0.0005 273:1	327:5	11 199:18,19	253:21 259:3,4
274:5	01-0000037	324:19,23	273:13 323:5
0.0006 260:10	82:7	325:19	327:7
0.004 272:22	01-0000076	1100 3:3	150 61:5
0.004. 266:3	149:2	1187 228:21	158 325:3
0.006 266:3	01-00000804	11:12 82:3	158-59 149:3
272:22	253:17	11:15 82:10	15th 31:13
0.3 266:6	01-09 283:17	12 225:10	16 137:12
0.4 278:16	287:25 292:13	226:2,25	138:4 226:10
0000000173	327:17,21,25	301:16 323:21	227:18 231:12
300:25 328:8	010000074373	326:10 327:23	273:14,15,16
0000000487	294:18 328:5	1200 71:9	273:21 292:21
305:4 328:11	010000075306	12:05 120:2	324:21 325:6
0000000817	273:17 327:14	12:51 120:5	327:3,11,11,15
158:8 325:5	1	12th 143:23	1600 227:20
0000003764	1 9:5 10:8 13:6	259:12 301:10	160912 259:6
324:18	162:14 318:5	13 201:9	327:10
0000034863	318:17,22	219:16 226:10	17 283:12,16,22
283:17 327:18	323:9,15,18	231:12 248:21	283:25 284:6
0000035889	324:6	248:22 273:23	285:19 323:15
287:25 327:22	1.5 105:2,23	294:11 295:17	327:15 328:2
000003613	1.8 105:2,24	301:8 323:4	174 300:25
292:13 328:1	10 23:2 181:16	326:24	328:8
0000049487	182:5,8 197:2	137 324:19	1742 133:18
18:8 324:4	204:23,25	13764 317:4	175 2:23 3:7,11
0000073110	206:20 223:9	13th 294:22	17th 284:25
22:2 324:9	263:3 325:6	302:20	18 182:15
0000080493	326:10	14 213:22,23	200:6,8,22
327:6	100 227:20	226:10 231:12	201:11 204:18
0000108607	249:23 277:16	253:14,16	204:21,22,24
248:23 327:1	2.7.25 277.10		

[18 - 2010] Page 2

	10-4	1000	
205:1 229:14	1972 172:7,21	1990s 64:10	2005 123:12,23
287:24 288:2,8	173:1,4 177:21	1992 44:15,17	192:2 238:6,6
324:1 327:19	178:24 180:3	46:16	2006 123:1
1800 40:1,6	1976 25:11	1993 54:1,9	192:7
1800s 39:19	35:17,25 38:3	1995 53:13,13	2007 101:2
40:17	1980 26:11	55:22	141:9 142:8
181 325:6	29:7 35:12,21	1997 87:20,23	143:16 247:14
187 19:3,5,11	35:25 38:5,8	88:15,22 89:1	247:15,23
19:16	38:22 39:21	89:24 90:8,17	259:13 264:15
18th 314:15	87:12	1998 60:14	268:21 269:6,7
19 38:22	1980s 40:24	63:10,12 74:2	273:24
232:16 292:11	116:24 195:23	74:16	2007-2009
292:12 322:24	216:11	1:29 148:12	100:22
325:19 327:23	1982 140:15	1:35 148:15	2008 177:16
1941 85:5	196:5,22	2	187:6,9 198:16
1942 85:4,8	199:12		198:19 253:21
172:2	1985 175:8,21	2 12:8,9,17 13:5 248:5	255:6 258:5
1950 185:24	177:3,10		2008/2009
1952 166:9	178:17,24	323:21	304:10
1953 156:13	180:3,6 196:6	2.5 96:6,21	2009 101:3
186:4 187:4	196:22 197:25	242:17	107:5,22 108:1
191:16 204:4	198:23 199:3	20 23:2 40:12	108:4,12
234:23,24	199:12 217:4	41:2 42:6	192:11 250:4
235:19,22,25	229:2 232:10	223:7 263:3	253:2 283:12
236:11	1987 140:12	294:15,17	283:25 284:6
1957 141:12	141:12 156:13	328:2	284:25 285:19
1960 63:9	166:17 175:13	2000 64:15	287:23 288:10
229:20	175:22 177:10	74:7,10	288:17 291:12
1960s 42:2	178:8 187:4	20005 2:23 3:4	292:21
60:13	191:16 204:4	3:8,12	2010 18:7,16
1962 63:11,12	1989 38:23	2002 99:21	20:1,11,15,20
74:1,17	44:6,15 86:6	2003 99:7,20,25	69:15 105:14
197 19:21	199 325:19	101:9 126:15	107:6 108:7,12
1970 166:17	1990 44:16	152:25 315:22	139:17 250:5
180:3		2004 156:21	286:8,16
100.0		157:4	200.0,10

[2010 - 36] Page 3

292:17,22	2023 185:6	327:19 328:6	3
293:8,21	305:7	24/7 81:17,22	3 18:5,6,11
294:22 295:17	2024 1:12 4:2	82:5,20 318:18	170:19 213:9
324:3	160:6 185:6	323:17 324:14	324:1 328:9
2011 107:7	314:15 315:3	248 326:24	3.9 208:8
2012 134:9	322:13	24th 247:22	209:14
135:10,14	2030 322:24	254:9	3.9. 209:8
146:16 301:16	21 185:6	25 175:15	30 18:7 23:2
2013 107:22	229:14 292:17	206:22 230:8	41:2 65:6
108:3,9,13,15	292:22 293:8	253 327:3	263:3 296:1
137:13 138:4	293:21 300:23	259 327:7	324:2
139:17 144:17	300:24 328:6	26 1:12 133:8,9	300 81:4 328:6
324:22	212 2:9	133:13 175:14	30092 5:3
2014 82:6,21	215 19:2,11	208:5 220:5	305 328:9
84:23 130:16	216-9000 2:5	222:19,23	30th 18:16
131:12 162:1	21885 322:22	279:5 287:23	162:1
318:19 319:14	219 1:14 2:17	288:10,17	31 84:16
320:17 321:5	22 92:11 129:4	26th 4:2	314 323:7
323:17 324:15	185:6 305:2,3	27 178:17	318 323:15
2015 305:11,20	313:20 318:1	197:5	31st 78:5 99:3
2017 54:20	324:6 326:24	273 327:11	322 323:9
78:4,5 84:20	328:9	27612 2:13	33 225:25
99:4,10 315:2	221535 200:2	28 2:4 197:2	226:2
315:23	326:9	283 327:15	3360 5:1
2018 22:7 23:6	225 19:21	287 327:19	34 225:23
202 2:24 3:4,8	326:10	29 160:5	286:22
3:12	226 19:2	292 327:23	3486 287:3
2020 294:11	23 129:4,7,11	294 328:2	34863 287:12
2022 31:14	129:12 175:14	29440 2:17	34866 283:18
32:5,7 301:8	198:1 207:13	29464 2:5	327:18
301:10,16	208:9 209:7,14	29th 322:12	35 131:23
302:20 303:17	209:14 229:15	2:17 179:10	35890 288:1
303:19,24	305:1 313:21	2:20 179:13	327:22
304:2	24 212:3		36 196:15,21
	314:21 324:11		229:17

[37 - 95] Page 4

37 129:14	4:35 258:24	63 163:8	800 242:12
252:18	4th 305:7	645 174:20,20	244:9
3700 2:12	5	65 171:14	818 158:8
3789 133:9,14	5 24:6,8,12	6:07 321:13	325:5
3792 82:7	323:18 324:11	7	82 324:14
324:18	324:14	7 82:5,15	84 39:21
38 133:20	5.8 208:8	122:21 137:8	840 243:12
229:18	209:13	178:17 219:20	843 2:5,18
39 182:14	5.9 209:8	220:4,5 222:19	85 39:22
3:02 314:17	50 27:2 41:2	232:16 317:3,3	175:15 176:5
3:24 221:15	510 243:12	319:5,9 323:7	176:10,15,17
3:39 221:18	53 156:13	324:14 325:3	177:19 180:7
4	185:24 186:22	70 170:22	180:13 181:2
4 21:19,23 22:1	204:4	700 170.22	182:19,19
22:6 162:14	546-2408 2:18	71 170:17,21	195:23 197:9
323:4,5 324:6	558-5500 2:9	171:2,13	232:13
327:7	5:49 313:9	72 180:6,6	86 129:15,16
40 27:2 60:25	5:59 313:12	73111 22:3	232:16
278:2 296:11	6	324:10	87 176:5,6,17
298:5		742 166:1	181:2 182:20
410 2:12	6 81:8,22 82:15	74375 294:19	186:22 195:23
4109 158:17	135:10 149:6,7	328:5	9
43 232:4	149:11 163:19	75307 273:18	9 158:6,7 159:2
45 213:6,16,17	163:22,25	327:14	159:2 181:13
213:18,21	171:21,25	76 36:13	182:4 197:5
214:12,13,22	179:19 314:10	7:23 1:3 4:5	323:18,21
214:25 219:15	314:11,24	8	325:3
219:15 227:4	315:5 324:1,23		9/20 24:17
46 201:11	616-4209 3:8	8 137:11,20	91 197:9
213:21 214:10	616-4231 3:12	149:1 158:12	919 2:13
214:13,22	616-4473 2:24	209:13 223:3	92 48:18 51:5
49712 18:8	616-8364 3:4	324:11,19	53:3
324:5	62 200:6	80 28:16 36:13	95 48:18 51:5
4:32 258:21	623 163:9	38:3	53:3 54:14,19
			242:19

[9579 - actually] Page 5

	I		T
9579 171:8,9	above 40:12,12	accomplish	action 1:3 9:6
97 90:7,8	136:25 137:3	105:6,7 257:16	12:11 67:22
97.5 96:7	141:14 169:1	accomplished	298:15 323:20
242:18	227:5 267:10	76:19	323:25
981-0191 2:13	285:19	account 189:3	active 80:20
9:22 1:13 4:3	absolutely	189:5 190:8	activism
9th 197:25	77:22 119:17	279:12	299:20
319:14	119:23	accountability	activist 131:19
a	absurd 279:11	71:8	131:25 146:18
a.m. 1:13 4:3	academia	accredited	296:12 298:6,9
66:4,7 82:3,10	252:3	202:15	298:14,23
abbreviated	academies	accuracy	299:2,9,11,16
23:25	245:13	191:13 207:25	299:23
abc 86:22	academy	208:11 224:15	activities 23:4
167:20 217:2	245:15 310:15	228:1	62:13 116:5
218:24 220:3	accept 193:13	accurate 23:7	130:3 147:22
222:12,15	193:13,15	24:18 150:11	254:11 259:21
223:13 235:2	270:25 276:17	150:21 207:21	304:9 315:24
235:17 236:13	acceptable	322:6	activity 299:2
248:8 252:15	128:9 233:22	accurately	actual 7:17
252:24 279:9	266:3 270:19	214:8	83:15 101:7
279:16,25	274:7	acknowledge	127:8 189:19
281:10	accepted	227:9 228:3	209:19 217:7
abide 264:7	193:15 211:22	acknowledged	221:6 279:9
abilities 249:12	212:12,15	58:6	281:10
307:22	228:13 312:16	acquisition	actually 16:6
ability 71:19	312:22	103:2 109:1	19:8 21:22
82:23 207:23	accepting	act 5:18 11:15	22:18,19 26:21
274:11	234:9 276:14	87:12 133:19	39:24 42:3
able 41:24	access 84:12	134:5,11,19,21	45:4 54:6
64:10,12 91:10	accidently	135:4,23 136:1	80:13 81:10,15
116:1 151:22	180:5	136:19 137:4	82:15 85:11
152:3 204:16	accommodate	146:6,15	99:6 104:20
212:10 216:10	8:22	299:19	111:18 112:10
256:5 271:7			128:13 134:21
230.3 2/1./			

175:15 187:5	administration	affects 124:8	136:15 159:10
218:9 225:4,25	127:22 297:25	affixed 322:12	164:19,23
226:7 228:6	administrative	age 131:23	199:13,14
229:11,15	38:10 53:18	146:22	209:15 215:17
236:8 243:2	104:19	agencies	224:13 227:11
244:8 267:13	administrator	127:24	231:11 235:20
283:12,14	54:11 71:15	agency 35:20	236:14 246:2,4
284:20 312:16	administrators	36:7,7 46:21	261:17 273:25
adam 3:6	54:7	47:1 52:18	289:11 290:12
adam.bain 3:9	advanced	54:2,3,10 57:5	292:24 320:25
add 12:15	121:1	67:24 71:11	agreed 33:6
119:19 130:10	advective 29:15	91:21 138:8	71:18 103:12
137:16 310:7	advice 51:7	140:6 245:22	104:7 109:12
adding 271:3	79:23 117:1,3	250:13 253:10	113:22 179:21
addition 280:1	130:12 238:5	263:25 264:20	299:10
additional 41:5	advised 321:14	264:25 269:17	agreement 33:4
62:12 107:3	advises 245:19	270:1 272:2	55:15,19 58:22
124:9 254:12	245:24	293:23 300:15	58:23 72:9,20
257:15 270:2	advisor 55:2	agency's 53:20	76:12,16 77:9
270:17,17,18	320:19 321:2	164:22 254:10	107:16 115:5
271:8	advisory 89:9	agenda 295:22	261:10 272:6
additionally	123:24 130:10	295:23 296:4,6	277:16
163:7	aerial 229:19	297:15,18,22	agricultural
address 4:23	aerially 218:9	ago 13:23	41:4
5:1 256:5	aerobic 279:20	24:17,18 27:2	ah 232:3
289:16 301:12	aerobically	28:24 30:21	ahead 8:25 9:3
301:14 312:9	279:2	48:15 63:7	24:5 83:1
addressed	affairs 133:21	146:5 147:16	150:15,16,17
127:16 138:4	139:22 144:8	148:25	158:24 179:1
addressing	144:12,20	agree 29:21	181:11 214:19
274:23	145:17,19	92:6 93:1	222:7 225:21
adjusted 42:8	affected 85:25	94:15 98:6	226:8 285:13
adjusting 233:1	123:15 155:16	101:21 102:4	ailments
administrating	156:12 164:2	102:16 105:21	135:17
111:18	206:2	106:21 136:2	

[aiming - anwar] Page 7

a !! 241.11	amal-sas 26.10	anal 51.16	4
aiming 241:11	analyses 36:10	analyze 51:16	antonucci 3:3
air 27:7 69:1	39:2 69:17	152:21	5:17 6:13
153:13 157:15	78:2,2 83:11	analyzing	129:15 137:16
207:21	96:8,10 104:21	42:20 49:23	137:19 182:3,5
aircraft 70:1	115:11 145:14	anderson 29:15	200:14 273:14
alerts 309:6	169:8 181:17	29:18 30:18	313:17 314:5
alex 3:19 6:24	192:7 199:20	110:1 113:8	318:13
6:25	209:25 225:11	119:19 284:24	anwar 2:22
alexander 31:5	248:7 270:2,12	286:7,17	4:16 5:4,8,12
32:11 35:6	275:24 281:2,3	anderson's	5:13,15,25 6:9
allison 3:10	325:7,20	285:3	6:19,24 7:1,4,6
138:4 139:12	326:11	andersonpan	7:7 9:3,8,15,17
allison.o'leary	analysis 26:16	285:20	9:21,25 10:5
3:13	27:8 36:21	annual 60:15	10:15,19,23
allotted 297:12	39:9 42:16,19	106:4 107:16	11:1 12:7,17
allow 31:8	51:11,12 52:20	218:11	12:22,25 13:3
allowed 79:9	52:20,25 53:9	annually	13:4,14 15:20
115:24 250:13	56:15 58:15	111:16	15:21 18:10
250:20,23	72:8 75:4,5,8	answer 8:24	19:1,7,10
253:11	112:19 113:20	14:21 31:17	21:20,22,25
altitude 46:1	114:24 115:4	32:22 33:3	22:4,20 23:23
amend 133:19	118:9 153:16	55:6 60:7	24:11 30:3
america 2:21	174:22 188:5	67:18 72:13	31:21 32:2
american 74:8	211:2,7,8	93:13,13,20	33:7,11 34:22
112:6 211:24	212:14 228:8	94:1 95:3	60:6 65:23
305:19	228:14 238:21	105:4 136:23	66:2,8 73:15
amount 147:24	241:4,6,17,22	145:16 147:14	73:19 81:15,21
175:23 218:11	241:24,25	151:22 162:25	81:25 82:11
297:12 299:20	247:5 253:7	198:6 202:17	84:25 85:1
amounts	263:9 265:6	202:23 233:15	92:13,16,18
103:25	269:24 270:14	312:14	93:7,11,16
amy 77:1	306:14 309:10	answered	94:5,12 95:5
anaerobic	analytical	239:5 316:8	95:14,20
279:4,6 281:8	184:8 207:14	anticipate	113:25 119:24
		93:21	120:6 122:22

[anwar - april] Page 8

122:24 129:3,6	221:10,13,19	apologies 47:25	279:19
129:19 132:5	225:19 227:1	apologize 73:10	applies 135:17
133:13,15	231:10 232:8	73:15 183:13	applies 133.17 apply 91:8
134:16 136:11	236:23 237:9	239:8 281:22	122:13
136:14,22	239:8,11,18,24	283:13	applying 279:6
137:10,23,25	246:14 248:25	apparent	appointed
138:23 139:6,7	249:25 253:19	219:10	132:21
145:21 147:15	258:16,19,25	apparently	appreciate
148:8,16	259:7 262:1,13	260:9	31:25 105:20
149:15 150:18	264:16 273:15	appear 143:8	254:25 264:10
152:18 156:2	273:19 276:1	appearances	285:9,17
156:16 158:14	276:12 277:10	2:1 3:1	approach
158:19,23,25	283:19 285:6,9	appeared 6:20	61:22 62:1
159:3,11,20,21	285:14 286:5	15:1 255:6	126:3 192:6
160:1,3,20	286:23 287:4,8	appearing 6:3	212:17 218:25
163:24 164:13	287:18,21	6:17 300:22	223:14 224:9
165:5 170:1,3	288:3,4,7	appears 24:20	224:11 242:20
170:14,17,19	289:19 290:17	226:20 232:10	246:21 247:16
170:21 171:2	292:15,19	249:4 259:11	261:4 278:6
171:11,23	294:14,20	284:15 285:15	280:12
179:8,14	299:7,22 301:2	288:12 290:11	approaches
181:15,24	301:6 303:15	301:19 305:10	16:24 55:5
182:2,6,11	305:6 307:11	applicable	72:10 222:11
187:14,16	308:13,14	97:17	280:1 312:16
190:6,23	309:2,8,19	application	312:21
191:14 194:23	311:12 312:13	52:22 58:17	appropriate
195:1 200:4,17	312:23 313:22	122:7 213:1	296:7
200:21 201:1	313:25 314:3	216:15 281:8	appropriately
202:25 203:12	316:10 318:4	applications	226:17,18
205:3 212:5	320:8,11 321:3	30:9 278:19	approved
213:6,9,11,16	321:11 323:6	applied 29:14	252:19
213:21 214:10	anybody 315:1	37:18,22 39:12	appurtenances
214:13,15	317:15,16	60:10 112:14	63:20
215:4,9,14,24	anyway 285:13	121:2 278:20	april 160:5
216:5 220:22	290:1,6 308:12	278:24 279:14	162:1 197:25

[april - assume] Page 9

294:11,22	argue 215:15	33:22 78:19,22	
	_	·	assessors 75:15
295:17	arizona 70:1	81:1 84:24	75:16
aqueous 252:11	arm 245:15	92:10,20 93:5	assign 115:10
aquifer 39:8,12	310:15	93:7,9 94:5,6	242:3 244:16
40:15 43:11,17	art 241:7	126:3 136:12	assigned 53:19
176:7,19	artesian 40:3	220:21,22	53:22 84:4
180:13,15	article 52:6	237:12 262:11	112:12 118:23
186:16 218:19	64:14 74:5	282:4 311:20	131:10 275:13
230:1,3,4,16,18	250:6,8,18	311:23,25	277:19 280:4
243:24,25	251:2,19	312:6	281:16
244:4	301:20 310:21	asks 298:12	assignment
aquifers 188:11	articles 24:1,22	aspect 58:14	291:6 293:23
aral 27:23 28:4	28:3 29:4,9,10	310:25 312:3	assist 41:19
28:4 59:15	306:5	aspects 52:19	56:18 77:11
77:13,17,20,21	aside 17:6 56:6	73:21 194:19	116:3 117:20
114:9,12	56:20 69:9	265:4	315:2
aral's 77:23	74:22,23 76:5	assess 40:22	assistance
arbitration	76:8 78:25	48:10 49:4	58:25 117:3
107:17	79:1 90:5	263:5	123:1,4,8
area 41:18	135:21,23	assessing 48:25	assistant 54:7
45:17,21 50:13	283:6	65:15 123:17	54:11 71:15
60:23,24 67:1	asides 18:1	assessment	associated
84:2 109:3,3	asked 51:7	47:16,20 49:5	91:23 133:22
128:14 174:19	56:12 60:11	49:10 52:8,10	189:8 207:25
174:20,23	68:21 72:13,21	87:20,24 88:2	associates
175:1 221:7,9	79:5 117:1,9	88:5,16,22	116:12
223:10 236:20	131:16 137:6	89:1,20 90:4,7	association
255:15 304:4	179:21 180:10	90:9,13,18	88:17 211:25
areas 40:25	215:19,19	139:23 190:11	305:19
151:24 152:1,4	222:9 255:14	190:13,16	assume 8:5
152:6,22,24	261:9 265:5	248:6	23:25 63:25
153:3 167:1,8	302:8 315:10	assessments	92:14,20 126:1
172:4 174:17	316:11	49:13,25 87:14	219:1 236:15
177:25 178:4	asking 31:14	140:8	257:23 267:2
199:23 326:1	32:22,24 33:5		278:24

assumed 62:18	58:22,23 59:3	199:19 244:25	attack 73:18
63:2 203:14,22	65:7 70:5,5	246:20 248:23	266:11 267:21
205:12 218:16	71:7,18,25	249:4 254:8,12	attempted
234:6 237:1,20	74:12,14,25	255:2 256:4,22	96:14
assumes 203:5	75:2,11 76:10	257:11,16	attend 124:2
234:22 239:14	78:3 81:9	259:5,14 261:4	247:8 300:13
240:1,4	83:11 84:2,4	262:22 264:21	attendance
assuming 42:22	87:5,13,14	264:22 277:16	300:8
158:20	88:3 89:19	277:16 283:8	attended
assumption	91:5 94:7,8,15	295:11 296:22	124:13 143:19
188:9 206:1	98:11,22,24	300:7 306:1,17	256:12 300:9
218:20 234:25	99:3,4,9 103:8	306:19 307:15	300:10
236:10,15,25	103:14 104:9	307:25 310:4	attending
238:19 240:9	106:8 110:4,8	311:7 314:20	248:17 300:3
252:3	110:20 112:4	315:3,22	attention 86:5
assumptions	112:12,14,15	317:15,19	139:19
79:19 80:1	113:10 114:2	321:1,6 324:9	attire 316:22
173:23 223:21	115:23 116:9	324:23 325:19	attorney 5:5
224:18 247:2	118:15 121:19	327:1,9	17:23 307:9
260:5 281:5	123:19 124:10	atsdr's 20:15	attorneys 2:2
astm 211:23	124:15,23	20:20 58:25	2:20 6:6
212:9	125:14,15,25	70:24 83:18	307:20
atlanta 35:20	126:2 127:9	86:9,25 87:4	august 135:10
304:3,4	128:22 129:23	97:23 99:17	auspices 74:7
ats 192:21	129:24 130:3	104:11 105:1	75:10
atsd 315:15	130:11 131:5	106:2 109:21	australia 121:8
atsdr 6:13	133:6 136:25	136:2,15 138:2	author 55:17
11:20 14:9,24	139:16 140:23	144:23 149:17	319:12,16
20:13 21:9	144:15 145:7	165:1 168:8	authored
22:2 46:16,20	145:19 146:1	209:16 257:3	306:16
46:24 47:5,14	147:1,23	298:2	authorities
48:25 49:2,12	149:11,24	attaching	34:12
49:24 52:8	152:20 158:12	305:10	authority 29:22
53:15 54:12,21	183:21 192:9	attachment	32:17 33:14
54:25 58:4,9	194:9,12	13:16	
		1	1

[authors - based] Page 11

30:17	107:4,7 109:16	221:23 225:22	barracks 172:5 172:18
automated	116:24 152:12	226:24 231:23	barrier 43:12
73:3 74:3	162:11 167:9	235:6 245:11	barry 54:8
121:3	175:10 254:22	248:9 258:23	71:16 194:11
automatically	254:25 271:22	259:1 266:11	base 85:3 86:13
9:12	283:5 304:7	267:14,21	86:16 133:24
available 13:25	316:3 320:15	270:17 295:4	140:1,9 147:4
14:15,16,22	axis 228:21	297:17 305:24	151:10 152:24
23:13 27:19,20	b	307:14 313:11	153:4 156:22
40:3,16 41:1,4	b 62:8 63:5	background	164:17,20
44:23 45:1	184:10 323:11	25:6 35:9 98:6	165:19 167:20
70:6 114:25	bachelor's 25:8	111:3 112:17	173:22 181:19
130:1 147:21	25:14	113:17	190:9 195:16
188:5 195:16	back 14:24	backwards	198:13,20
198:12 199:2	28:16 39:19,25	210:6 216:10	199:25 225:13
204:9 216:11	40:3,6,16	216:16	315:12 325:13
224:19 232:7	48:21 50:8,22	bad 256:14	326:5,17
236:5 253:2	51:24 61:16	bain 3:6	based 36:21
258:3 305:17	66:6,9 68:8	balogh 1:18	37:3 38:9,15
311:8	74:17 75:20,24	322:3,23	57:16 62:11
avenue 2:12	82:9,12 87:1	bands 228:9	89:23 98:5
129:24	97:2 105:11	banker's 13:24	121:5 134:18
average 188:12	107:13 120:4,7	14:8,14 18:2	140:10 150:8
272:24	122:20,22	bankers's 14:7	150:19 151:14
averaging	146:3 147:19	banner 288:25	151:19 153:16
105:23	148:14,17	290:1,11,16	154:4,11 156:4
award 305:11	153:15 158:3	292:8 317:9	157:8 163:10
305:14,18	163:19 170:1	barack 134:8	169:9 170:5
awarded 74:9	171:21 175:5	barbara 110:1	175:18 181:4
aware 65:2		113:8 119:19	183:1,4 185:12
67:23 69:11,21	176:21 177:1	295:13 319:17	186:6 188:5
70:5 77:6	179:12,15 183:7 185:6	320:1,10,15	192:12 203:19
78:19 89:8		barium 64:8,10	203:20 206:4
90:6,7 91:5	186:3,8 193:9 202:4 208:12	64:13	208:22 216:11

217:3 223:5	317:3 319:8	beginning	278:5,17
235:1,3 236:4	324:3,8,16	73:25 270:6	279:17 280:16
238:5 257:22	325:4 326:7,25	271:11	280:22 284:7
269:5 276:22	327:4,8,12,16	begins 315:6	284:12 305:1
298:17	327:20,24	behalf 5:24 6:1	307:7 311:2,8
basement 16:2	328:3,7,10	6:4,13 14:18	312:4,23
bases 156:24	bathroom	147:23	317:11
basic 25:20	148:6 179:4	believe 28:16	believed 135:17
basically 111:6	battle 264:4	29:17 32:5	161:4 237:20
209:3 210:24	baughman 2:8	38:22 52:5,7	believer 267:15
217:24 223:9	6:1,1 7:3 9:14	57:7 60:14	believing
234:8 249:23	10:3 12:23	61:14 74:16	177:12 211:18
280:11,17	81:13,20 137:9	87:10 89:1	bell 1:14 2:15
basics 7:12	179:5 181:25	108:25 124:18	2:15 288:13,15
basis 60:15	182:4 187:13	125:12 132:10	290:19,23
125:13 166:3	200:12 213:10	146:8,25 149:1	291:2,4,5,13
175:19 177:11	213:12 239:17	152:13 154:4	293:4,20
180:10 188:9	307:2,6 308:9	154:14 156:4,9	302:22 303:5
203:22 205:21	308:23 309:12	157:21 169:24	303:22,22
206:4 211:18	312:11 313:20	170:11 191:15	304:5
218:20 234:24	bay 151:20	194:8 196:13	belllegalgrou
238:4	152:7 153:12	201:7,13 208:4	2:18,19
bat 248:15	154:22	208:8 210:24	bells 118:3
bates 18:7 22:2	bays 153:12	211:13 214:10	benefit 121:19
82:6 129:13	beach 152:8	226:3,17,18	benefits 138:5
133:9,14	153:12 155:4	234:21 235:19	139:13
138:14,21	bear 31:5 32:11	235:20 236:8	benzene 89:2
139:4 149:4	32:13 34:6,7	236:25 239:14	102:7,11,17
158:8,13 171:7	34:23	239:25 245:21	165:22,23
200:1 248:23	becoming	247:14 248:15	168:9,12,17,18
253:17 259:5	80:22	249:15 250:5	168:21,22,24
273:17 283:17	began 99:24	253:1 254:4	169:4,15,20
286:22 287:25	166:8,12 172:2	257:15 262:21	173:15 174:3
292:13 294:18	177:21 235:4	263:11 265:19	187:18 199:11
300:25 305:4		275:10 276:16	

172:21 178:6 312:19 19:22,23 22:8 bertka 292:23 birth 88:18 86:6 159:22,25 293:3,14,17 90:20 92:3 205:4 269:21 best 16:9 50:25 97:4 144:16 270:9 288:16 74:10 80:3 bit 25:5 35:8 293:2 294:22 90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 bit 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 bit	124:22 145:24 188:20 248:23 259:5 295:10 297:22 298:5,8 298:22 299:16 300:4 306:15 324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 canch 271:20 ceak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23 119:24 120:8,8
bertka 292:23 birth 88:18 86:6 159:22,25 293:3,14,17 90:20 92:3 205:4 269:21 best 16:9 50:25 97:4 144:16 270:9 288:16 74:10 80:3 bit 25:5 35:8 293:2 294:22 90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 boulevard 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 bit 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 bit	259:5 295:10 297:22 298:5,8 298:22 299:16 300:4 306:15 324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
293:3,14,17 90:20 92:3 205:4 269:21 best 16:9 50:25 97:4 144:16 270:9 288:16 74:10 80:3 bit 25:5 35:8 293:2 294:22 90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22	297:22 298:5,8 298:22 299:16 300:4 306:15 324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
best 16:9 50:25 97:4 144:16 270:9 288:16 74:10 80:3 bit 25:5 35:8 293:2 294:22 90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 boulevard 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 bit 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 bit	298:22 299:16 300:4 306:15 324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
74:10 80:3 bit 25:5 35:8 293:2 294:22 90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 bolevard 2:4 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 294:25 301:11 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 bit 25:5 35:8 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 bit 30:24	300:4 306:15 324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
90:14 117:15 41:24 59:24 294:25 301:11 150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22	324:9 327:1,9 ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
150:11,21 61:24 81:6 301:23 317:7,9 box 160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 br 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 br	ox 13:23,24 14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
160:21 188:22 86:5 101:11 boulevard 2:4 198:2 237:13 122:4 137:24 20:22 96:12,19 br 251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 br	14:7,9,14 18:2 ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
198:2 237:13	ranch 271:20 reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
251:1 274:7,10 150:24 171:25 96:20 107:22 b ɪ	reak 8:21,25 15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
	15:12,15 65:21 66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
	66:10,13,15 82:13,14 113:22 117:23
277:20 278:6,9 183:12 198:15 107:25 108:2	82:13,14 113:22 117:23
279:15 296:6 211:12 226:21 108:16 109:2	113:22 117:23
better 126:3 237:19 282:24 115:2,3 121:16	
268:1 313:5 121:23 122:1,5	119:24 120:8.8
beyond 114:24 blinded 94:23 122:16 139:25	
bias 207:1,12 97:15,15 140:25 151:8	120:11,16
207:13 208:14	126:14 148:6
208:24 209:4,8	148:18,20
209:13 227:13 blow 232:5 165:10,17,24	158:20 179:4
227:13 278:4 166:3 173:4	179:16,20
biased 252:2 blue 259:24 177:21 178:2,4	221:11,24
biases 208:3,8 bob 115:19 178:10,16,22	222:4 259:2
208:10 117:19 179:23 180:1	296:10 313:6
bid 55:18 body 249:6 187:1,3,17	316:21
big 60:21,23,23 293:1 189:18 191:20 bi	reast 131:23
65:14 175:24 boiled 289:21 198:11,14 b 1	ridgeside 2:4
176:9,14 290:8 291:18 199:3,24 b i	rief 144:19
bill 135:9,16 boils 223:3 202:12 204:2 b i	riefly 15:22
136:5 302:22 bolts 306:7 210:15 211:15	27:2 180:9
billion 141:15 book 32:12 217:14,21 b i	rigman
biodegradation 34:21 223:19 326:2	288:20 289:8
207:8 260:10 books 32:13 boundary 80:2 bı	ring 17:25
260:22 267:18 boston 284:12 bove 22:2	119:4 128:5
273:1 274:5 91:15 98:11	

hringing	burned 15:5	274:8 277:21	00.11 19 100.6
bringing 158:11	business	278:6 308:21	99:11,18 100:6 101:9,15,19,20
	302:18	309:9	101.9,13,19,20
broadway 2:8			
brought 11:10	butcher 96:2	calibrations	105:1 106:10
47:15,25 89:10	byproducts	227:13	107:2 109:21
89:11,13,22	272:14	call 6:8 25:21	112:1,21
127:23 192:1	c	34:20 57:4	113:19 114:2
256:24,24,24	c 5:2 62:8 63:5	115:5,8 242:6	114:21 116:5
304:19	219:8	267:9 287:8	117:2,11 118:7
brunswick 43:9	calibrate 187:8	292:11 302:25	118:18 119:11
btex 101:23	197:17 210:3	313:21 315:1	123:9,15,21
102:5,6,7,10	calibrated	318:11	126:1,24,24
164:8 165:21	210:25 211:13	called 39:8 54:7	127:2 128:4,13
budget 101:13	211:19 226:17	121:4 133:18	128:19 131:22
105:3 147:22	226:18 260:5	137:15 146:18	133:24 134:1
147:24 240:15	278:20,25	219:10 269:7	134:13 136:4
budgetary	279:7,17,22	305:16	136:16 140:1,9
91:23 255:1,17	280:4,24,25	calling 251:2	141:21,24
300:15	281:12	264:4	144:14,23
budgets 99:23	calibrating	camp 1:4 4:4	146:23,23
100:1 105:10	197:14 203:19	5:18 11:14	147:9 149:12
126:15	221:3	13:19 14:10	149:13,19
build 36:19	calibration	16:4,21 21:1,9	151:3 152:8
building	103:24,25	56:5,20 61:3,4	153:13,17,24
174:20,25	196:17,19	69:21 74:23	154:1,6,9,14,21
220:11	206:11 208:5,6	80:24 81:1,7	154:25 155:4,7
buildings 220:9	211:13,23,25	81:22 82:5,20	155:8,14,15,20
223:1,4	212:11,16,19	83:13 85:2,3	155:25 156:4
built 272:5	217:25 224:13	85:25 86:7,8	156:11,19
bullet 86:18	224:14,17,21	87:6,19 88:17	157:3,9,13,18
178:13,19	228:2,13,15	89:9 90:19	157:21 158:4
179:23	231:22 233:18	91:3,8,19 92:8	160:24 161:7
bunch 242:12	241:15,21	93:3 94:17	161:19 162:13
burn 15:18	243:6 263:9,15	95:7,9,23	162:20,20
	263:16 270:22	98:13 99:6,8	163:2,4,6,11

181:20 183:21	123:9 125:3,4	carbon 289:3	caused 73:17
186:2 187:7	125:12,18	care 133:25	207:14
199:25 206:5	126:2 127:6,9	134:22 135:1	caveat 78:18
209:17 225:14	127:14,17,25	135:24	126:20 141:25
247:11 249:9	128:3,12,18,23	career 35:11	caveats 94:4
250:22 254:3	128:24 129:21	carlo 242:1,2	cd 15:17
254:11,16	130:7,14,21,24	242:15 243:10	cdc 53:14,15
255:11,13	130:24 131:8	243:19 244:3,5	55:17 81:17,22
256:19 265:18	131:11,13	244:13	82:5,20 317:7
267:1 269:10	132:10,20,21	carolina 1:1 4:6	317:20 318:18
283:4,9 284:17	132:22 133:2,4	11:16 85:3	319:20,21,23
298:23 299:3	133:6 146:12	133:24 181:20	320:18 321:1
301:21,25	264:23 270:6	199:25 217:4	323:16 324:14
306:4,12 308:5	271:11,14,16	225:14 252:19	cdc.gov. 286:1
308:16 310:11	271:22,23	322:5,14	celebrate 294:8
312:1 318:18	272:1,8 284:8	325:14 326:6	center 138:7
323:17 324:14	284:9,10	326:18	320:19
324:24 325:2	292:21 293:7	carried 177:14	centers 77:2
325:13 326:5	293:20 294:1	187:5,9	cercla 46:25
326:17	295:20,22	carries 190:25	48:25 87:11
campus 257:3	296:7,16,16,24	case 4:4 21:1,2	104:19
canal 41:18	297:13,20	21:4 32:23	certain 37:8
canals 42:3	298:1 300:3,8	37:6 67:9	45:23 53:10,11
cancer 57:7	300:8,10,12,13	88:21 93:10,22	58:14 63:2,19
59:2 98:13	300:16,19	138:19,22	63:20,20 67:10
131:23 144:17	304:2,12,13	143:25 216:24	67:11 75:7
305:25 306:9	capacities	246:8 260:8	107:9 137:3
cancers 88:18	161:20 204:14	262:12 312:7	144:19 154:4
90:21 92:3	capacity 11:20	313:1	155:25 204:11
97:4	98:1 304:5	cases 1:7 59:2	206:7 224:3
cantor 124:18	311:24,25	catchall 184:1	242:6 270:24
132:23	caps 264:1	caught 249:22	275:19 281:5
cantor's 124:25	296:17	cause 98:9	297:12
cap 89:10,16,25	capstone 26:3	146:21 168:19	certainly 215:5
89:25 90:5		322:9	274:7 285:19

		1	
certificate	196:24 199:17	charge 81:3	cinnaminson
322:1 323:9	200:1,5 201:13	248:4 254:15	45:17
certification	204:22 206:22	charged 246:17	circulating
202:19	212:3 218:14	chase 5:2	294:25
certified	219:8,8,15,15	check 71:20	cis 261:2
202:16	225:5,6,15,20	261:6	city 290:3
certify 322:5,8	231:24 232:2,3	chemical 41:21	civil 1:3 9:6
cetera 80:2	232:9 236:8	61:23 62:2	12:11 25:8,15
chain 160:4,5	238:19 240:13	63:25 64:2	26:8 36:3
162:1 284:5,6	240:16,17,20	65:4 98:8	47:15,18,19
285:18 287:16	240:22,25	chemicals 47:6	74:8 111:5
288:9,17	241:1 242:25	61:17 102:3,22	323:20,25
291:24 301:7,9	247:3 248:5	165:11 168:4	cjla 292:13
301:11 302:19	253:1 273:5	cheng 31:5	327:25
303:3,4	292:3 325:17	32:11 35:6	cl 86:7 123:23
chair 124:16,18	326:6,20	cherry 34:9	158:8 300:25
challenge 103:1	chapters 142:6	childhood 59:1	305:4 325:5
106:14 251:20	193:7 201:21	88:18 90:20	328:8,11
challenges	201:23 292:2	92:3 97:4	claims 144:13
102:25 108:20	characteristics	98:12 144:17	283:4
125:8 126:5	206:6	children 88:7	clapp 130:20
chamblee 257:3	characterizati	88:13	131:7,7,10
change 37:2	94:23 184:2	chloride 43:9	284:7 285:5
282:3	221:1 252:9	43:10 168:2	clarification
changed 63:22	characterize	187:20 199:10	35:25 48:3,7
changes 94:2	41:7,8 42:12	261:3	68:19 86:18
243:21	43:1,20 52:11	choice 256:15	105:21 223:17
channel 25:23	109:9 127:1	276:13	305:2
chaos 295:21	147:8 223:14	chose 241:6,9	clarify 20:25
297:21	261:15	christmas	58:23 85:17
chapter 100:22	characterized	294:4,9	86:13 102:14
100:24 122:16	203:10	christopher	111:11 179:20
142:6 162:15	characterizing	132:16 138:6	215:12 222:7,8
175:16 181:12	117:4 222:16	139:15	241:13 316:9
181:22 182:7,9			

classic 33:20	253:6 257:23	code 56:15	266:11 267:21
classification	310:19	121:13 133:20	271:20 274:12
48:4 111:1,14	clement's 251:2	279:13	comes 25:24
113:6	click 12:20	codes 44:23	197:20 260:2
classified 98:15	client 45:20	45:3,4,5,6,8	296:15
113:15	clj 149:1	149:25,25	coming 29:4
classifies 49:1	clja 18:8 22:2	coj 317:4	42:5 43:10
classifying	82:7 158:15	cold 179:7	76:22 89:7
248:8	200:2 248:23	colleague 5:16	90:17 237:25
cleaner 86:7,14	253:17 259:5	6:12 41:16	238:2 263:22
86:16,19,22	273:17 283:17	42:1 249:16	272:19
165:19 173:22	287:25 294:18	251:24	command
234:22 235:12	324:4,9,17	colleagues	310:2
235:25 236:7	326:8 327:1,5	90:10 249:11	comments
236:16	327:9,13,17,21	254:12	193:10,11
cleaners 86:22	328:4	collect 37:11,13	274:25 296:19
167:20 217:3	close 18:22	117:18,21	321:7
218:24 220:3	113:21 171:10	210:10 216:13	commission
222:13 223:13	231:15 270:23	collected 45:3,6	29:7 35:16,21
235:2,5,17,18	313:25	45:8 79:21	322:24
235:22 236:13	closed 256:4,10	collecting	committee
248:9 252:25	256:17,18	49:23 79:24	131:1 142:14
279:10,16	closer 44:16	college 119:3	248:18 249:8
280:1 281:11	clw 160:24	column 207:3,3	253:8,9 254:2
cleaning	clw1191 160:12	207:4 208:13	254:9,14,24
141:13 165:15	161:13 162:12	220:8	255:22 256:3,6
167:21	163:2	combination	256:8 257:5,13
clear 84:18	coast 43:12	192:20 244:17	communicate
104:6 158:11	coauthor 52:18	290:5	248:1
186:18 189:16	71:7	come 42:10	communicated
284:23	coauthored	45:23 55:4	248:13,16
clearing 276:23	52:5 54:2	91:2 96:9	253:6 271:16
clement 248:11	coauthors	118:6 157:11	271:18 304:18
249:7,15 250:5	115:14	202:4,11 227:3	communicating
251:17,23		258:9 265:1	249:24

communication	comparing	completing	computed
129:22 159:17	37:11 224:21	19:17	computed 279:5
249:2 257:22	comparison	complex 27:8	computer
259:12 269:16	197:15 203:9	109:3 121:17	95:15 183:4
292:16,20	238:20	122:8 251:13	computing
306:17	comparisons	263:17 281:5	206:25 207:12
communicati	266:7,18	312:18	con 246:13
105:11 320:18	compensation	complexities	concentration
community	87:12 283:8	250:7	63:3,4 95:22
123:1,4,8,15	competency	complexity	186:20 197:18
125:6 127:16	36:10	250:21	197:21 205:25
127:18 129:25			207:9 208:17
130:2,9 131:19	competent 249:11,16,21	component 52:14 102:12	208:18 219:13
130.2,9 131.19	251:24,25		224:5,6,8
295:22 296:13	281:23	compound 50:10 62:16,18	230:19 237:1
		62:20,22,23,24	238:15,16
296:15,22	complaining 252:14	62:25 63:23	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
298:7,13,17,20 299:5			240:6 261:7,8 267:2
	complete 26:2	139:23 312:11	
commuted 232:10	28:12,14,25	compounds	concentrations
	58:11,17 69:16	61:23 62:2	65:4 94:25
company 36:22	93:24 106:9	151:11 164:8,8	95:13,25 96:4
37:15 79:12	126:17,19	comprehensive	96:5,15 137:2
80:14,15	252:23 258:1	87:11	141:5 168:9
116:11 319:20	265:10,12	compromise	176:13 177:18
319:20,22,23	271:15 295:20	277:20	186:21 187:3
compare 64:12	297:20 322:7	compromised	187:23,25
197:21 224:17	completed	127:17	188:4,22
226:4 260:13	20:17 48:12,12	compromising	189:22 190:19
260:18 261:13	50:18 108:17	276:16	191:7 195:21
262:5,9,18	212:23 253:7	computational	198:4 199:11
268:23 281:4	262:12 265:2	71:19	201:25 209:17
compared	270:7 271:12	computations	211:5,10 229:3
211:3 220:12	312:7	218:13	229:5 231:19
233:1	completely	compute	231:21 232:6
	205:23 231:8	218:10	233:8,17,24

237:16,22	123:18 181:21	confusing 7:25	conservative
238:25 240:1	185:12,23	confusion	62:19,25 63:24
246:23 265:9	186:14,15	173:9	223:23,24
279:18	225:15 231:5	congress 90:15	236:14
concepts 16:24	325:16 326:20	123:11,25	consider 32:16
275:14 278:18	conduct 66:25	142:16 143:6	37:20 42:15
281:17	87:13 88:4,12	143:19 147:1,9	57:18,21 77:20
conceptual	115:10 147:1	147:13,14,17	188:11 192:18
100:13 117:14	212:10 315:24	148:2 152:20	197:13 198:6
276:16	conducted	244:19 245:4	223:25 248:19
conceptualizi	41:16 140:7	302:23	251:23 256:3
61:2	228:7 244:8	congressional	299:23 304:15
concern 168:19	conducting	49:2 71:10	309:18
173:11 234:3	67:8 79:3 90:1	145:15 147:22	considered
255:19 266:24	100:16 117:3	296:23 300:1,9	33:14,20 34:12
concerned 57:6	190:12 211:7	300:12,18,20	74:9 101:12
233:3	216:23 217:10	congressiona	137:4 173:15
concerns 36:18	228:7 259:25	39:7 123:13	180:11 197:23
129:23 243:6	278:3 306:19	connected	224:2 252:4
274:23	conductivities	153:18	255:14 263:7,8
concluded	42:9	connecticut	263:10 268:17
120:15 141:9	conductivity	56:24 57:2,4	considering
conclusion	242:7	57:19	247:3 300:2
156:18 163:15	conducts	connecting	consistent 80:2
conclusions	265:23	155:22 162:13	130:6,22
67:15 153:9	confer 313:6	connection	135:13 140:19
condition 37:2	conference	11:14 133:22	141:22
57:15,16,16	30:21	134:12	constant
conditions 37:2	confidence	consecutive	218:17,21
37:19,23 39:18	210:5 228:9,15	313:24	constituent
39:22,25 40:14	242:19,21	consensus	260:23
40:23 42:11	confident 272:8	272:5	constitute
46:8 51:16,19	confirm 151:19	consequently	260:17 262:4,8
51:19,21,23	confused	274:20	262:17
74:15 80:2	172:22		

_	v -		C
constraints	consumption	235:13	101:22,24
255:1	62:13	contaminated	102:15 128:7
construction	contact 153:1	41:21 42:23	135:18 140:11
85:5 204:13	162:5,6 293:10	47:3 88:6 92:7	140:14,15
218:3	contacted	93:2 94:17	146:22 149:13
consult 70:15	301:24 302:13	134:1 140:8	149:18 153:9
70:17 315:2	contacts 267:1	144:24 151:11	153:10,10
consultant	contain 34:19	151:14,21	157:22 165:25
11:24 32:4,8	contained 83:9	152:13 154:5	167:20 168:12
33:13 34:2,11	83:10 150:9,20	155:16 156:12	169:20 173:18
78:10,20 80:12	containing	158:2 161:5,5	174:4 175:20
80:22,23,25	107:6	161:6 164:3,7	177:14 182:22
110:7,12 191:3	contains 27:7	164:11,12,18	183:3 217:25
198:20 291:1	85:12	164:21 175:7	234:24 249:9
307:8,13	contaminant	175:11,14,24	315:25 325:1
consultant's	31:4 32:10	176:1,4,8,17,20	context 30:8
107:7	49:24 50:17	177:2,7,13	39:16 45:19
consultants	95:8 141:4,14	178:11,14,20	68:21 216:7
6:17 44:7,14	165:14 167:14	179:24 180:12	276:19 295:5
70:4 79:5	173:11 181:17	180:19 198:22	continue 31:8
114:6 118:4	184:14 186:5	201:12 221:8	66:10 94:4
consultations	187:2,23 188:7	223:2,4 230:1	120:9 148:18
47:17,20	188:21 190:18	230:3 233:10	179:16 221:25
consulted	191:7 199:21	233:11,11	229:5 254:14
70:16	201:9 209:19	238:11,12	continued 3:1
consulting	224:3 225:11	254:3 255:11	continues
31:13 78:7,8	230:4,23 231:4	255:12 315:13	93:24
78:12,15,17	260:23 265:4	316:6	continuing
79:2,12 81:3	325:8,23	contaminating	31:9
92:24 94:10	326:12	234:23	continuous
107:1 116:11	contaminants	contamination	238:14
116:22 117:17	95:22 133:23	43:10 50:10	continuously
118:5 159:16	165:11,18	53:10 57:6,8	115:25 203:15
162:6 302:9	184:14 224:3	85:13 86:1	205:12
	230:16,18	89:3 101:16,21	

contracted	convinced	325:12 326:4	114:13,18,20
244:24	260:4 269:1	326:16	115:16 116:13
contractor	coordinates	correct 10:23	117:11 135:14
112:12	107:11	11:25 12:1	135:15 143:4
contractors	copied 284:3	15:3 17:2,10	146:10,11,12
107:19 222:15	286:11,14	19:7 20:3,4,18	146:13 149:20
contracts	288:23 289:3	20:19,23 21:2	151:4 153:22
315:17	289:10 291:9	21:25 22:11,19	151.4 153.22
contradict	294:24 295:1,7	24:4 25:3,10	154.22,25
266:14 267:23	294.24 293.1,7	25:12 26:9	156:6,7 157:15
	copies 14:9	28:7,8 29:1,2	157:16,19
contributing 60:18	16:2 288:12	35:13,14 37:13	160:9,10,19
contribution	copy 13:23,24	37:25 38:1	162:17 166:18
67:18	15:5,8 18:14	44:8,13 46:17	
control 67:9	18:14 22:6	46:18 47:23	167:4,15,17,18 167:22 168:3,6
77:2 320:20			,
	23:7,17 24:6	58:1 59:20,21 59:22 64:3	168:7,11,20
controlling	24:19 149:3		173:3,5,6,12,15
157:2,5,8	169:24 284:23	66:18,19 68:7	173:16 175:9
163:8	290:3 306:2	68:10,16,23	177:3 178:9,12
controversy	corner 171:13	70:22 71:1	178:18,25
154:3	317:8 319:12	78:16 80:18	180:4,8,16
convened 123:1	corners 5:3	84:17,17,20,21	181:15 186:24
123:4	corporative	86:19,23 87:21	187:4,21
convenience	55:15,19 58:21	87:22 91:1	189:20,25
257:5 282:8	58:23 72:9,20	92:4 93:6	190:16,17
293:10	76:12,16 77:9	95:15,23 96:13	196:7 198:23
convening	115:5 261:9	97:5,7,8,23	198:24 202:8
123:6 256:3	corps 85:3	98:4,10 100:2	203:18 217:12
conversation	103:1,9,13,18	100:3 101:4,16	237:3 238:3
89:18 120:16	104:4,8,14	101:17 103:10	240:3 241:8,8
277:8,11	106:2 109:12	103:21 104:10	243:17 247:10
287:13 293:16	109:16 127:20	104:16 105:19	249:5,14
conversations	133:23 140:1	106:11 108:14	251:18 252:7
71:16 145:23	156:23 181:19	109:14,18,19	253:22,23,24
148:2	199:25 225:13	109:22,23	254:20 259:18

[correct - cstr] Page 22

250.21.22	202.11.207.1.2	201.2.206.22	210.12.201.1.1
259:21,22	293:11 297:1,2	291:3 306:23	319:13 321:1,1
266:16 269:6	302:2 303:1,8	315:10 317:22	321:4
273:3 277:23	corresponden	322:9	creating 314:24
282:10 288:3	158:7 248:22	country 39:10	320:16
288:21 293:4,5	249:19 253:16	245:19 246:1	creator 320:24
295:2,14,18	259:4 273:16	county 322:13	credibility
301:16,22	283:16 287:24	couple 8:21	275:13
302:3 303:18	292:12 294:17	14:13 29:9	crisis 320:19
303:20 308:17	300:24 305:3	31:22 113:23	321:2
308:18 310:12	325:4 326:25	117:22 124:15	criteria 38:15
310:13,17,18	327:4,8,12,16	226:5 229:16	75:7 137:3
315:20,21	327:20,24	234:11 306:11	224:1 234:8
316:6,7,19	328:3,7,10	310:8	244:2
317:13,24	corresponding	course 25:16	critical 118:16
correction	171:6	26:18 28:11,13	246:20 265:8
19:18 187:15	correspondin	56:17 104:11	307:16
correctly 49:18	280:2	112:13 119:12	criticism 88:22
77:3,12 96:3	corroborate	216:14 246:23	89:7,8 90:6,8
103:3,4 130:3	128:12	252:25	142:20 264:1
134:2 135:11	corroborated	courses 28:19	264:10,19
140:3,17 141:1	206:8	court 1:1 4:5	312:3
141:18,19	cost 104:25	4:11 7:17 8:8	criticize 90:15
145:1 149:19	105:23 109:17	8:13,16 274:19	critique 111:17
151:15 162:16	couch 61:15	287:19 318:9	243:16 308:2
162:22 163:13	council 244:25	courthouse	308:16,19
168:16 193:19	245:12,15	151:20 152:7	309:3 311:1,1
228:25 249:13	249:8 284:17	153:11,12	311:7
254:19 255:3	290:3 310:15	154:22	critiqued
257:8,18	319:4	courts 70:2	242:25 247:4
260:19 266:15	counsel 1:17	cover 234:16	310:11,16,25
274:15 275:1	2:1 13:20	257:1 265:4	312:18
275:15 276:20	16:12 17:8,14	296:7	crunching
277:22 280:7	148:22 150:6	covering 235:9	117:13
281:25 282:9	162:2,6 191:2	created 314:14	cstr 238:14
289:4 292:5,7	222:2 244:20	317:15,16,19	
·			

[cup - deal] Page 23

cup 65:22	52:20 58:15	216:13 224:5,6	285:19 287:22
curiosity 61:2	63:13,16,17	224:8,19,22,22	288:9,17
curious 305:13	64:1,17 74:4	224:23 226:4,4	292:16,20
current 24:19	89:2 100:10,15	226:5,5,6,6,11	294:22 295:17
32:24 33:5	100:16 103:2	226:13,14,19	301:8,10,15
39:22 42:7,11	104:1 109:8	226:20,20	302:20 324:2
46:8 51:19	113:19 117:16	227:6,9,10,19	324:21
60:13 74:15	117:19,21	227:19,22,23	daughter 135:6
80:8,9,10	127:2 152:3	231:13,15	146:9,21
141:14 216:12	157:24 168:13	232:7,10,12,14	day 32:25
254:10 258:4	168:13 169:8	232:17 233:2	37:19 39:21
274:9 282:4	176:18,24	233:16 243:7	51:16,19 57:15
currently	181:2 182:21	248:7 251:4	57:22,22,24
102:24 293:7	182:21 185:13	252:12,15,15	60:13 75:25
curve 96:6	186:3,5,6,10	255:8,14 258:3	80:8,9,10
curves 64:22	187:7 188:6,6	260:4,13 263:6	117:12,12
cv 1:3 4:5 21:24	188:6,7,7,16,25	267:9,9,11,12	156:20 161:21
22:7,13,22,25	189:11,12	269:23 270:11	181:21 185:20
23:2,10,13,15	195:15,19,20	271:5,6	188:13,13
23:18,25 25:2	195:22,25	databases	216:12,14
29:11 50:23	196:4,5,9,11,15	100:10,15	225:15 239:21
302:12	196:16,21	date 1:12 4:2	260:10,11
d	197:8,16 198:5	22:9 53:25	322:12 325:15
d 62:8 63:5	198:12,19,19	64:12 89:4	326:19
110:14 323:1	198:25 199:1,1	108:11 109:1	days 110:13
d.c. 35:16 247:8	199:2,10 201:3	139:5 143:21	118:13 121:20
254:6	201:4,20 202:6	250:24 253:3	238:23
daily 210:20	202:11,21	258:4 264:11	dc 2:23 3:4,8,12
dam 36:15,19	203:2,8,10	304:23	dce 199:10
36:22 37:9	204:9 205:19	dated 18:7	261:1,2
dams 36:8,9,11	207:13,20,20	84:23 137:12	deadline
dan 309:21	207:23 209:16	138:3 160:5	275:24,25
data 37:11,14	209:24 210:4,5	162:1 253:21	276:3
40:2,6,16,18,19	210:9,10,18	259:12 268:20	deal 82:13
48:11 49:23,24	211:4,6 216:11	273:23 283:25	252:21 293:25

dealing 63:23	160:17 162:2	301:4 303:11	decisions 103:3
dealt 98:17,18	162:10 163:22	303:14 304:21	136:5,18 145:8
201:18 246:10	164:4 165:3	307:1,5 308:11	271:8 306:21
252:1	169:23 170:8	308:25 309:5	decrease 231:3
dean 2:3 5:10	170:11,18,20	309:16 311:3	deep 218:2
5:22,24 6:5,16	170:22 171:7	312:5 313:5,13	defect 144:17
6:22,25 7:2,5	171:22 179:3	313:18,21,23	defects 88:18
9:11,16,18,23	181:14 190:1	314:2,6,8	90:20 92:4
10:1,4,10,17,21	190:21 191:10	318:2,6,15,20	97:5
10:24 12:13,19	194:21 200:19	320:9,12,14	defend 274:18
13:2,8,11	202:22 203:5	321:8 323:8	defendant 2:20
15:15 18:24	204:25 209:22	deanna 3:18	defendants
19:4,6 21:19	211:20 213:3,7	dear 254:7	1:17
21:21,24 22:14	213:14,17,20	death 146:21	defended 224:9
22:17 23:22	213:24 214:2,7	debate 264:3	defer 176:23
29:24 31:7	214:12,14,18	265:15 268:17	deferred
32:1,19 34:15	214:21,24	276:3	216:23
60:4 65:25	215:2,7,11,17	dec 168:1	define 224:16
73:17 81:11,14	216:2 220:20	decade 312:2	237:6
81:18,24 92:9	225:8 226:22	deceased 135:6	defined 62:24
93:4,8,14,18	230:20 231:16	december 78:4	63:24 237:15
94:18 95:10,16	236:17 237:4	78:5 84:16,20	definitely 195:7
113:24 122:20	239:3,23 246:6	99:3 175:22	202:14
129:10,12,16	249:17 251:6	177:10 182:20	definition
132:1 133:12	261:22 262:10	290:3 292:21	256:17
134:14 136:6	264:13 275:20	319:14 320:17	definitive
136:20 137:14	276:9,25	decide 117:14	260:13,17
137:18,21	284:20 285:8	307:12	262:5,8,17
138:13 139:2	285:11 286:3	decided 61:21	263:13 272:20
145:9 147:10	286:19,25	62:9,15 106:21	degradation
149:10 150:13	287:6,11,20	240:22	165:16 167:16
152:14 155:18	288:2,6 289:12	decision 91:2	167:23 168:5
156:14 158:10	290:13 292:18	91:18 123:20	173:24 186:22
158:15,22	294:13 297:9	264:6,8 266:4	260:24 261:3
159:10,13,25	298:24 299:12	272:23 273:10	261:12,18

262:23 263:2	department	10:17,20 11:5	described
263:18 265:6	2:22 3:2,6,10	11:11 12:17	10:16 27:1
268:22 270:16	3:16 5:5,15	13:8,11 16:19	28:7 36:25
272:13,13	58:24 60:16	17:9,12,21,24	37:16 64:13
278:25 279:1,4	61:20 65:10	18:5,6,14,15,19	73:6 82:14
279:5,12,20	66:24 67:3,21	19:13,18,25	114:15 121:22
281:8,16	68:18 72:21	20:2,6,9,12	122:15 127:9
degrade 62:19	103:2,17	21:7,12,13	211:23,24
261:5,11,24	105:12 106:25	31:20 44:5,10	212:8,25 236:8
degraded 261:9	107:1 125:14	103:6,11 104:7	243:11 252:6
degrades	127:21 137:11	104:25 105:14	252:11
260:25 261:1,2	139:13,21	168:15 169:6	describes 126:2
degrading	144:8,12,20,22	170:6,10	161:14,17
261:18	152:21 307:17	171:12,19	describing
degree 25:14	324:20	174:18 191:1	268:16 291:14
26:5 28:10	depend 204:1	212:21 213:10	297:5
111:4 118:21	230:22	235:1,4 236:4	description
223:22 227:4	dependent	245:2 286:8,11	127:8
delay 108:25	207:24	286:13,16	design 210:8
108:25 264:23	depending	287:10 307:3	designated
delayed 103:2	121:2 126:20	307:10 316:9	98:19
delaying	209:1 218:18	316:13,24	designating
255:18	260:6 266:24	317:1 318:22	31:10
delete 318:8	depict 214:8	319:3 321:13	designation
delivered 94:25	deployments	323:19 324:1	201:15
201:25 237:17	190:10	depth 218:4,18	desirable
demand 163:10	depo 10:22	deputy 146:1	276:15
178:24 180:3	158:18	describe 26:24	desperate
demonstrated	deponent 4:7	38:24 41:23	106:25
252:16	deposed 306:24	42:19 46:23	detail 86:4
denoted 130:20	deposition 1:11	51:1 54:23	150:24 236:9
dense 252:11	4:3 5:6,17 6:18	100:5 109:7	detailed 23:5
density 242:3,9	6:20 7:9,12,12	122:3 124:4	detect 197:12
244:15	7:13,13 9:6,20	176:7 184:9	197:14,25
	9:22 10:8,16		262:25 266:7

[detect - discuss] Page 26

266:19,25	developed	328:9	directed 290:11
267:2,6,11,15	26:21,25 27:15	diagrams 212:7	directly 123:5
detected	52:18 72:9	differ 185:18	279:8,14,24
140:16	99:25 100:9	difference	297:25 298:20
detection 198:1	131:22 296:4	39:13 227:24	299:17,17
198:9 266:8,23	developer	242:18 261:14	director 54:3,5
267:4,7,10	110:14 121:12	262:20 268:19	105:10 114:16
268:3,4,5,8,12	developers	differences	138:7 139:17
268:25	116:23	280:12 307:25	146:1
detects 197:23	developing	different 48:24	disagree
198:6 260:14	77:25 121:8	49:7 51:8 53:8	105:15 185:2
262:2,7,17	220:23	55:24 81:10	243:15,18
263:6 266:22	development	96:8,10 140:24	289:6
268:23,25	41:10 60:12	193:8 194:19	disagreed
determination	devote 270:2	203:2,3 207:18	246:23 251:21
37:10 153:23	dewatered	222:11 223:14	307:19
164:10 217:8	244:4	241:19 242:4	disagreements
determine 37:7	dft 9:5 12:9	242:12,12	109:6
42:21 45:25	18:6 22:1 24:8	244:16 260:11	disbursed
48:11 55:5	82:5 137:11	263:22,22	123:16
62:11 67:8,9	149:11 158:7	265:20,20	disc 15:4,6,10
88:9 98:8	181:16 199:19	272:17 280:13	discard 268:24
203:21 204:7	225:10 248:22	differently	discourse
217:22 218:5	253:16 259:4	285:16	280:16 311:10
218:10 221:4	273:16 283:16	differing 260:3	discover 258:7
263:20	287:24 292:12	267:7 268:15	discovered
determined	294:17 300:24	269:3	168:18
72:11 277:21	305:3 323:18	difficult 274:18	discovery
determining	323:21 324:1,6	digests 189:10	168:13
41:19 60:1	324:11,14,19	digging 42:3	discrepancy
184:13	324:23 325:3,6	diluted 176:15	260:9
detract 256:25	325:19 326:10	direct 133:20	discs 14:25,25
develop 45:4	326:24 327:3,7	137:5 139:19	15:1,14
106:23	327:11,15,19	144:5 148:3	discuss 86:3
	327:23 328:2,6	269:16 281:9	92:12 115:9

120:12 256:20 displeasure 297:16 157:1 164:1 19:22 49:5				
discussed 6:10 disposal 174:7 166:13,16,23 50:8 54:1 32:7 76:5 88:3 dispute 164:25 167:2,10 172:1 128:5,9 138:14 108:20 132:23 dissertation 175:21 176:10 138:21 139:9 146:5 186:17 28:12 36:15 176:12 181:18 149:9,11 192:19 198:21 dissolved 183:24 184:16 158:13 159:5,9 243:8,10 308:2 25:216,20,21 184:18,20 160:14,23,25 308:15,20 distinction 199:22 208:23 161:2,10,11,12 discusses 85:14 97:19 209:3 225:12 161:14,17,25 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 discussion 28:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 261:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 <t< td=""><td>120:12 256:20</td><td>displeasure</td><td>156:10,11,21</td><td>15:24 18:22</td></t<>	120:12 256:20	displeasure	156:10,11,21	15:24 18:22
32:7 76:5 88:3 dispute 164:25 167:2,10 172:1 128:5,9 138:14 108:20 132:23 dissertation 175:21 176:10 138:21 139:9 146:5 186:17 28:12 36:15 176:12 181:18 149:9,11 192:19 198:21 dissolved 183:24 184:16 158:13 159:5,9 243:8,10 308:2 25:16,20,21 184:18,20 160:14,23,25 308:15,20 distinction 199:22 208:23 161:2,10,11,12 discusses 85:14 97:19 209:3 225:12 161:14,17,25 85:24 distinguish 229:19 238:17 162:12 181:16 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documen	274:13	297:16	157:1 164:1	19:22 49:5
108:20 132:23	discussed 6:10	disposal 174:7	166:13,16,23	50:8 54:1
146:5 186:17	32:7 76:5 88:3	dispute 164:25	167:2,10 172:1	128:5,9 138:14
192:19 198:21	108:20 132:23	dissertation	175:21 176:10	138:21 139:9
243:8,10 308:2 252:16,20,21 184:18,20 160:14,23,25 308:15,20 distinction 199:22 208:23 161:2,10,11,12 discusses 85:14 97:19 209:3 225:12 161:14,17,25 85:24 distinguish 229:19 238:17 162:12 181:16 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 16:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 4:5,6 11:16 40cuments 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11	146:5 186:17	28:12 36:15	176:12 181:18	149:9,11
308:15,20 distinction 199:22 208:23 161:2,10,11,12 discusses 85:14 97:19 209:3 225:12 161:14,17,25 85:24 distinguish 229:19 238:17 162:12 181:16 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 1	192:19 198:21	dissolved	183:24 184:16	158:13 159:5,9
discusses 85:14 97:19 209:3 225:12 161:14,17,25 85:24 distinguish 229:19 238:17 162:12 181:16 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 documented 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 10:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8	243:8,10 308:2	252:16,20,21	184:18,20	160:14,23,25
85:24 distinguish 229:19 238:17 162:12 181:16 discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documented discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 117:10,19 doctor <t< td=""><td>308:15,20</td><td>distinction</td><td>199:22 208:23</td><td>161:2,10,11,12</td></t<>	308:15,20	distinction	199:22 208:23	161:2,10,11,12
discussing 22:22,25 238:21 240:5 199:19 225:10 66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 discussions 73:2,24 85:14 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2	discusses 85:14	97:19	209:3 225:12	161:14,17,25
66:16 149:8 232:23 240:19,23 246:24 319:4 179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documents 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 dispensation 122:14 137	85:24	distinguish	229:19 238:17	162:12 181:16
179:19 distributed 261:21 315:7 319:12,17 discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,	discussing	22:22,25	238:21 240:5	199:19 225:10
discussion 98:5 139:24 240:5 325:10,24 320:16,24,25 108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documents 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctoral 28:12 104:20 107:3	66:16 149:8	232:23	240:19,23	246:24 319:4
108:21 124:5 distribution 326:14 321:1 324:23 180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 documents 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 </td <td>179:19</td> <td>distributed</td> <td>261:21 315:7</td> <td>319:12,17</td>	179:19	distributed	261:21 315:7	319:12,17
180:10 185:9 56:14,23 57:3 distributions 325:6,20 216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13	discussion 98:5	139:24 240:5	325:10,24	320:16,24,25
216:8 251:10 57:10,13,17 85:25 326:10 263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 45:23 11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1 <td>108:21 124:5</td> <td>distribution</td> <td>326:14</td> <td>321:1 324:23</td>	108:21 124:5	distribution	326:14	321:1 324:23
263:14 265:21 60:12 61:7 district 1:1,1 documented 265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9	180:10 185:9	56:14,23 57:3	distributions	325:6,20
265:25 308:3 62:17 63:6 4:5,6 11:16 168:12 219:16 316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	216:8 251:10	57:10,13,17	85:25	326:10
316:22,25 64:19,21 72:22 divide 208:17 documents 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	263:14 265:21	60:12 61:7	district 1:1,1	documented
discussions 73:2,24 85:14 division 1:2 12:10 13:18,24 61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1				
61:19 94:9 86:4 96:6 47:10,16 91:5 14:7 15:25 191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	265:25 308:3	62:17 63:6	4:5,6 11:16	168:12 219:16
191:2 265:25 100:18 101:15 91:21 97:24 16:10 17:5 266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1			·	
266:25 302:1 104:23 111:8 271:21 18:1,1 40:11 disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25	64:19,21 72:22	divide 208:17	documents
disease 46:22 111:21,22 dnapl 252:12 55:13 75:22 77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14	divide 208:17 division 1:2	documents 12:10 13:18,24
77:2 138:8 116:23 117:4,6 252:22 88:20 103:7,13 140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25
140:6 320:19 117:10,19 doctor 248:16 103:16,20,23 diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 242:8 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5
diseases 67:11 118:9 119:13 doctoral 28:12 104:3,9,14,17 dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11
dispensation 122:14 137:1 doctorate 104:20 107:3 282:5 140:24 151:1,5 28:10 125:25 127:9 dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22
282:5	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13
dispersivity 151:7,18,20 doctors 238:7 128:11 145:13 242:8 152:10,11 document 1:7 151:23 154:4,8 display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23
242:8	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19 diseases 67:11	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19 118:9 119:13	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16 doctoral 28:12	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23 104:3,9,14,17
display 158:24 153:11 155:9 10:19 12:23 212:9 217:1	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19 diseases 67:11 dispensation	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19 118:9 119:13 122:14 137:1	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16 doctoral 28:12 doctorate	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23 104:3,9,14,17 104:20 107:3
	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19 diseases 67:11 dispensation 282:5	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19 118:9 119:13 122:14 137:1 140:24 151:1,5	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16 doctoral 28:12 doctorate 28:10	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23 104:3,9,14,17 104:20 107:3 125:25 127:9
225:21 155:15,21 13:1,9 14:4 247:21 290:6	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19 diseases 67:11 dispensation 282:5 dispersivity	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19 118:9 119:13 122:14 137:1 140:24 151:1,5 151:7,18,20	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16 doctoral 28:12 doctorate 28:10 doctors 238:7	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23 104:3,9,14,17 104:20 107:3 125:25 127:9 128:11 145:13
	316:22,25 discussions 61:19 94:9 191:2 265:25 266:25 302:1 disease 46:22 77:2 138:8 140:6 320:19 diseases 67:11 dispensation 282:5 dispersivity 242:8	64:19,21 72:22 73:2,24 85:14 86:4 96:6 100:18 101:15 104:23 111:8 111:21,22 116:23 117:4,6 117:10,19 118:9 119:13 122:14 137:1 140:24 151:1,5 151:7,18,20 152:10,11	divide 208:17 division 1:2 47:10,16 91:5 91:21 97:24 271:21 dnapl 252:12 252:22 doctor 248:16 doctoral 28:12 doctorate 28:10 doctors 238:7 document 1:7	documents 12:10 13:18,24 14:7 15:25 16:10 17:5 18:1,1 40:11 55:13 75:22 88:20 103:7,13 103:16,20,23 104:3,9,14,17 104:20 107:3 125:25 127:9 128:11 145:13 151:23 154:4,8

[documents - e] Page 28

318:14 323:22	downwinders	140:11,13,24	duly 4:14
doe 69:6	65:16	141:8,13	dump 236:6
dog 275:12,18	dozen 73:14	144:25 154:6	dumped 174:14
doherty 110:13	196:10	161:6 162:20	218:22
120:21,25	dr 28:4 30:18	162:21 163:1,3	duty 76:21
doing 39:10,17	33:4 54:8	163:12 169:22	dynamics 34:5
68:14 99:15	71:16 77:1,17	178:15,22	e
115:23 118:16	77:20 91:15	180:1 181:18	e 5:2 62:8 63:5
125:14 216:4	98:17,22	189:23 199:22	
242:20 247:4	110:10,13	209:19 225:12	110:7,14 121:13 158:7
272:15 284:21	124:18,22,25	240:4 249:9	159:15,23
302:8	130:20 131:6,7	254:3 325:10	160:5,6 162:9
doj 6:6,17	131:10 132:23	325:25 326:14	162:11 236:8
286:20	139:16 145:24	drive 5:2	248:9,22 249:2
domain 56:17	145:25 188:20	driven 279:2	249:3,3,6
door 256:4,10	194:7,11,24	drop 9:18	253:5,16,20
256:17,18	238:7 248:11	dropbox	254:7 257:22
dose 53:20,23	249:7,15 250:5	137:14	258:8 259:4,12
54:14,25 56:9	251:2,17,23	dropped 318:4	259:20,24
65:10 68:14	253:6 257:23	dropping	260:2 262:15
70:24 71:23	298:5,8,22	313:15 318:3	269:2,5,14
74:24 75:10	299:16 300:4	drown 169:21	273:16,23
76:10 84:7	306:15 310:19	dry 86:7,14,16	274:3 276:13
94:8,14,21	draft 256:8	86:19,21	277:2,6 278:1
118:24	270:7 271:12	141:13 165:15	280:23 282:12
double 266:11	274:23 275:3	165:19 167:21	282:20 283:16
267:20	295:23	173:22 178:23	283:24,25
doubt 165:7	drafted 277:1	180:2 234:22	284:3,3,6,7,25
dover 56:25	drain 235:9	235:5,12,18,22	285:2,3,4,12,19
57:25 63:8,8	drank 190:10	235:24 236:7	286:11,14,20
64:17 65:2	dried 244:14	236:16 243:24	287:1,2,12,12
66:16,17 69:10	drilling 186:7	243:25 244:4	287:16,17,24
69:19 72:15	drinking 41:1	due 93:10,23	288:9,9,11,17
74:22 91:6	88:6,17 95:9	234:15 251:3	289:1,3,10,15
	139:24 140:9	279:25 281:10	289:16,20

[e - energy] Page 29

290:16 291:9	easier 142:24	145:6 153:7	elle 288:20
291:16 292:12	easily 153:3	154:21,24	289:1,2,8
292:16,20,22	eastern 1:1 4:6	155:3,6,13	elliott 217:17
293:1,3,6,13	11:15 116:1,3	157:13 183:20	elongated
294:2,11,17,21	116:8	263:15,16	280:22
294:25 295:1,8	easy 222:13	296:24 310:22	embargo
295:10,17,19	ebell 2:18	egregious	143:24
297:8,17,19	ed 302:22,24	252:13	emphasis 28:21
298:4 300:24	303:5,21 304:5	eight 61:14	89:2
301:3,9,12,14	edge 213:1	100:20,21	emphasize
301:15,20,24	214:16 215:6	122:19,25	282:3
303:4 304:19	216:7 266:11	137:9,10	employed
304:20 305:3,7	267:20	150:25 151:5	20:12 309:25
305:16 310:8	editor 250:18	151:17 240:24	employee 11:21
323:1,11 325:3	edpr 84:12	either 12:14	12:4 94:7,14
326:24 327:3,7	edrp 83:22,24	21:12 37:24	110:21 112:4
327:11,15,19	84:6 195:4	44:9 51:15	112:15 113:10
327:23 328:2,6	education	53:17 64:20	employees
328:9	112:10	71:20 99:1	114:2 115:24
ear 147:20,20	educational	108:12 121:13	employment
earlier 66:20	25:5 111:2	157:10 173:1	58:10
73:6 86:10	112:17 113:16	193:14 216:11	emptying 37:4
87:9 109:12	edward 2:15	219:5 227:5	enable 184:2
156:3 186:17	effective	234:1 250:15	encountering
198:21 269:7	129:22	255:18 268:13	127:13
299:9 304:2,20	effects 47:2,6	268:14,14	endeavor 122:8
308:2,15,20	effort 65:2	282:16 321:6	ended 233:21
315:11 316:8	140:10	electronic	243:4 273:2
320:23	efforts 20:16,21	13:21 16:7,20	endorsed
earliest 282:8	56:5 65:3 75:1	elements	295:23
293:10	99:17 101:6	279:19	ends 287:14
early 42:2 99:5	104:12 106:3	elevated 163:9	321:12
99:21,25	106:10 114:3	163:11	energy 29:7
116:22 195:23	136:3,16	elevation 163:4	35:21 65:10
237:7	141:24 144:22	186:12	68:18

engineer 35:15	301:8,10,17,21	138:7 140:7	equate 279:24
36:2,6 47:15	302:4,20 304:6	236:2 305:20	equated 185:7
47:19 48:1,8	304:15,21	epa 49:1 71:22	equates 279:8
48:16,22 49:19	305:8,15	73:5 86:6	equation
49:23 50:3	ensminger's	141:14 222:15	279:11 281:9
51:5 53:4	303:4	epa.gov 287:13	equations
78:15 80:16,17	entailed 70:11	epanet 70:18	25:22 184:9
80:20 116:22	100:7	73:5	equipment
119:4	entire 22:13	epi 106:10,12	76:15
engineering	191:1,16	124:20 128:4	erg 274:21
25:9,15 26:8	203:23 292:4	144:18 145:6	err 223:22
36:3 72:23	entirely 222:10	147:4 187:24	errata 19:17,20
79:23 80:3	entirety 61:4	223:20 296:19	erroneous
111:5 310:2	99:2 100:25	epidemiologic	247:2
engineers	105:19 204:4	60:19 62:12,14	error 207:25
36:19 44:24	entitled 82:20	67:1,16	261:6
74:8 305:20	149:12,18	epidemiologi	errors 89:21
enhance 129:22	169:25 181:16	67:19 92:2	especially
enhanced	199:20 225:10	140:8 188:2	163:1 209:25
207:8	250:6 287:4	244:21	230:24 252:13
ensminger	318:18 323:16	epidemiologist	255:2 263:17
129:1 130:18	324:24 325:7	62:9 91:14	essence 192:13
131:6,9,17	325:20 326:11	97:12 98:12,16	essentially
133:18 134:5	envelope 213:1	211:9 233:23	71:12
134:19 135:5,7	214:17 215:6	306:8	establish 39:18
135:23 136:19	216:7	epidemiologi	39:20 62:14
146:6,9,9,14,15	environmental	61:21 91:4	129:24 133:21
146:18 147:8	28:22 48:1,8	97:18	211:1
159:15 160:2,6	48:10,16,22	epidemiology	established
160:7 162:10	49:19,23 50:3	62:6 97:10,16	29:22 44:22
284:16,16,24	51:5 53:4	97:21,23 131:4	45:2 78:9
288:12,21	59:11,12 69:17	259:14	134:11 212:1
289:11 291:25	87:11 110:23	equal 208:19	275:14
297:12 299:11	113:4,12	equally 188:12	estimate 40:13
299:15,18,19	114:16 129:23		40:14 141:4

177:23 186:14	evidence	examples 30:13	21:19,23 22:1
187:2,23,25	156:11 157:20	39:5 45:7	22:6 24:6,8,12
estimated 96:4	175:19 177:11	243:23	81:8,22 82:5
96:5 186:20	203:6	exceed 296:3	82:15,15
188:21 190:18	evolved 71:6	exceeded 53:10	122:21 137:8,8
191:6 229:3	278:18	75:14	137:11,20
estimates 95:8	ex 318:10	exceeding 37:8	146:4 149:1,4
95:21 96:14,15	ex 318.10 ex1 12:15	37:8 75:6	149:6,7,11
96:17,21	ex7 318:11	exceedingly	158:6,7,11,23
estimating	exact 53:25	224:6	159:2,2 163:18
121:3	57:5 92:7 93:2	except 243:2	163:19,20,25
estimation	94:16 134:9	268:6	170:2,18,19
110:15 120:22	208:20 250:24	exception	170.2,18,19
121:5 122:8	exactly 34:9	205:14	171:21,23
et 80:2	131:10 189:10	exchange 249:3	181:11,13,16
ethylbenzene	208:15 219:9	283:24 293:13	182:4,5,8
102:8	243:20 267:25	294:21	183:16 199:17
evaluate 192:2	examination	excluding	199:19 200:18
311:18 315:24	4:15 314:7	209:14	204:23,25
evaluated	323:5,7	excuse 63:12	206:20 213:7
316:4	examine	89:15 91:20	225:4,10
evaluates 144:9	154:22,25	96:20 101:20	248:20,21,22
144:13	155:4,8 157:14	113:20 139:12	253:14,14,16
evaluation	157:18	163:9 191:23	259:3,4 273:12
38:12,14 53:16	example 65:15	274:3	273:16,21
246:16	69:25 74:1	executive	283:11,16,21
evaluations	115:1 185:22	141:15,17	283:22 287:22
111:16	186:12 189:9	142:2,9 248:14	287:24 288:2,8
events 223:5	194:11,15	254:2	292:10,12
322:10	196:13 197:1	exhaustive	294:15,17
eventually	197:24 206:6	221:5	300:23,24
103:15,20	207:20 210:7	exhibit 9:4,5	305:1,3,22
109:14 280:17	212:2 219:3	10:6,7,11 12:8	313:15,19
282:21	230:8 242:7	12:9,17 13:5,6	314:4,9,11,24
	247:3 260:25	18:5,6,11	315:5 317:3,3

[exhibit - fair] Page 32

317:25 318:3,5	123:13 124:2	94:23,24	232:4,9 273:5
318:12,14,17	130:24 131:3	118:24 134:13	326:21
318:22 319:5,6	192:11 238:6	189:19 190:11	faced 255:1
319:9 320:7	256:21 283:6	190:12,16	facilities 65:14
323:15,18,21	291:1,1 298:2	209:21 248:5	69:7 151:2
324:1,6,11,14	302:9 303:17	306:13	310:2
324:19,23	307:8 310:4	exposures	facing 264:20
325:3,6,19	316:17	91:10 139:23	264:20,22
326:10,24	expertise 47:11	expressed	265:16
327:3,7,11,15	98:7 111:7	212:13	fact 11:20 67:3
327:19,23	119:6,9 121:19	expression	106:25 152:25
328:2,6,9	193:8	282:20	157:7 169:15
exhibits 318:9	experts 6:16	extend 293:19	181:2 206:6
exist 163:7	130:25 192:2	extending	227:6,9 230:9
224:23	expires 322:24	293:25	233:25 235:6
existed 234:24	explain 40:5	extent 31:11,14	244:7 249:22
286:21	61:24 64:4	98:2 224:22	252:3 253:5
existing 45:4,6	193:14 226:16	external 192:9	265:8 268:23
71:4 72:3,6,7	268:1 274:10	193:1,20	306:24 311:25
72:17	274:10 276:17	extraordinary	factors 36:23
exists 277:7	291:8 297:17	275:13	37:9
expanse 73:1	explained	extremely	facts 31:19
expecting	73:11 118:20	227:22 296:17	32:23 94:3
271:17,24	explaining	\mathbf{f}	203:6
experience	274:21	f 5:2 62:9	factual 175:19
111:3 147:13	explains 208:9	218:14 225:5,6	177:11
296:14	exposed 144:24	225:16,20,23	fair 8:6,7 41:7
experienced	189:25	225:25 226:2	41:8 42:12
116:6	exposure 48:12	226:10,10,10	43:1,20 45:10
experiences	50:18 53:20,23	226:10,10	70:7 76:2
24:1,21	54:14,25 56:9	227:18 228:17	77:16 85:19
expert 31:13	70:24 71:23	229:14,14,15	126:4 127:1
92:24 93:5,9,9	74:24 76:10	229:17,18	139:6 146:2
93:21,22 94:6	84:7 88:5 92:7	231:12,12,12	147:7 153:6
94:11 123:12	93:2 94:16,21	231:12 232:2,4	171:20 203:1

[fair - find] Page 33

294:10 309:11 184:11,12,13 feel 19:15 22:12 162:14 182:14 309:15 193:25 195:24 82:21 188:17 223:9 226:2,25 fairly 37:16 196:14 199:21 526:7 257:22 227:18 228:16 fall out 65:15 225:11,16 225:11,16 271:14 figured 121:18 69:2 233:22,23 6et 40:12,12 226:10 229:4 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 familiar 29:13 235:21,23 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 familiar 29:13 235:23 326:13 32:11.1 6et 125:12 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 16:10 188:7 19:11 1 233:21 16:10 188:7 19:11 1 233:21 104:20,22 44:11 10 10:12 12:11 24:14 12:15,20 44:20 44:8,13 100:16 44:21 44:24 45:21 27:28 104:20,22 27:28 104:20	230:14 261:15	181:17 183:23	269:18	figure 83:14
fairly 37:16 196:14 199:21 256:7 257:22 227:18 228:16 fall 241:12 201:19 212:16 feeling 269:12 figured 121:18 fallout 65:15 225:11,16 232:22,23 45:23 271:1,2 226:10 229:4 229:14 231:12 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 226:10 229:4 30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 12:15,20 33:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 16:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:21 14:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 134:21 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 626:25	294:10 309:11	184:11,12,13	feel 19:15 22:12	
fall 241:12 201:19 212:16 feeling 269:12 figured 121:18 fallout 65:15 225:11,16 271:14 feet 40:12,12 226:10 229:4 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 feet 40:12,12 229:14 231:12 30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 318:11 filed 283:4 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 filed 283:4 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 325:23 326:13 319:11 233:21 filed 283:4 144:23,5 326:21 234:5 246:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 filed 283:19,22 45:23,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 248:8,13 100:16 files 83:19,22 84:8,13 100:16 files 83:19,22 84:8,13 100:16 files 83:19,22 84:8,13 100:16 file 107:11 110:3,4 107:11 110:3,4 107:11 110:3,4 <	309:15	193:25 195:24	82:21 188:17	223:9 226:2,25
fallout 65:15 225:11,16 271:14 figures 201:11 69:2 232:22,23 feet 40:12,12 226:10 229:4 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 318:11 12:15,20 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 16:10 188:7 filed 283:4 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 326:21 324:5 246:21 33:24 48:31.9,22 305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 68:319,22 83:24 84:5,6,6 68:319,22 83:24 84:5,6,6 68:319,22 83:24 84:5,6,6 68:319,22 84:8,13 100:16 69:25:13,23 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22	fairly 37:16	196:14 199:21	256:7 257:22	227:18 228:16
69:2 232:22,23 feet 40:12,12 226:10 229:4 familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 12:15,20 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 files 83:19,22 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:20,22 104:10:195:4 107:11 110:3,4 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 110:11 110:3,4 1	fall 241:12	201:19 212:16	feeling 269:12	figured 121:18
familiar 29:13 233:6,19 234:3 45:23 271:1,2 229:14 231:12 30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 12:15,20 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 files 83:19,22 305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 16ric 27:25;177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25;178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 43:1 247:15 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 79:21 117:3	fallout 65:15	225:11,16	271:14	figures 201:11
30:16,18 31:3 242:5 259:25 fell 233:3 267:6 file 10:13 12:14 32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 12:15,20 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 files 83:19,22 305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 16ret 2172:6,6 273:24 275:4 280:22 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 1	69:2	232:22,23	feet 40:12,12	226:10 229:4
32:14 34:25 265:4,23 274:4 felt 125:12 12:15,20 83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 files 83:19,22 305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 familes 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 fillers 244:2 172:21,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 f	familiar 29:13	233:6,19 234:3	45:23 271:1,2	229:14 231:12
83:24 87:23 277:15,18 127:16 159:18 318:11 134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 30:25 32:17 fillers 244:2 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 f	30:16,18 31:3	242:5 259:25	fell 233:3 267:6	file 10:13 12:14
134:4 149:21 278:14 325:9 161:10 188:7 filed 283:4 184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:1	32:14 34:25	265:4,23 274:4	felt 125:12	12:15,20
184:23,25 325:23 326:13 191:11 233:21 files 83:19,22 305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 177:18,20 finalize 144:18 farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19	83:24 87:23	277:15,18	127:16 159:18	318:11
305:24,25 326:21 234:5 246:21 83:24 84:5,6,6 306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2	134:4 149:21	278:14 325:9	161:10 188:7	filed 283:4
306:3,5 309:20 faucet 238:2 247:1 249:20 84:8,13 100:16 families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 fillers 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 <th< td=""><td>184:23,25</td><td>325:23 326:13</td><td>191:11 233:21</td><td>files 83:19,22</td></th<>	184:23,25	325:23 326:13	191:11 233:21	files 83:19,22
families 141:10 fault 281:16 252:13,23 104:20,22 144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing fast 133:8 35:16,2	305:24,25	326:21	234:5 246:21	83:24 84:5,6,6
144:24 faye 110:7 265:7 266:1 107:11 110:3,4 family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 fillers 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,	306:3,5 309:20	faucet 238:2	247:1 249:20	84:8,13 100:16
family 133:25 115:15,17,19 271:4 272:8 194:10 195:4 134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 2	families 141:10	fault 281:16	252:13,23	104:20,22
134:22 141:11 116:12,14 278:8 280:24 275:8 151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	144:24	faye 110:7	265:7 266:1	107:11 110:3,4
151:2,10 152:1 117:19 119:8 280:24 307:14 fill 36:19 152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 177:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	family 133:25	115:15,17,19	271:4 272:8	194:10 195:4
152:21,23 216:25 217:11 307:17,20 filling 37:3 167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	134:22 141:11	116:12,14	278:8 280:24	275:8
167:2 172:6,6 273:24 275:4 field 29:22 filters 244:2 172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	151:2,10 152:1	117:19 119:8	280:24 307:14	fill 36:19
172:12,19,20 277:8,12 278:2 30:25 32:17 final 141:8 172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	152:21,23	216:25 217:11	307:17,20	filling 37:3
172:25 177:24 278:12 280:19 33:15 34:13 201:24 241:1 177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	167:2 172:6,6	273:24 275:4	field 29:22	filters 244:2
177:25 178:5,7 280:22 282:17 35:3 37:2 243:1 247:15 283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	172:12,19,20	277:8,12 278:2	30:25 32:17	final 141:8
283:3 294:4 february 60:17 62:8,8 271:24,25 far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 fast 133:8 35:16,20 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	172:25 177:24	278:12 280:19	33:15 34:13	201:24 241:1
far 51:24 109:3 141:12 175:8 63:5 67:10,13 304:8 121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	177:25 178:5,7	280:22 282:17	35:3 37:2	243:1 247:15
121:16 190:7 175:21 177:3 79:21 117:3,15 finalize 144:18 207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	283:3 294:4	february	60:17 62:8,8	271:24,25
207:21 233:3 177:10 178:17 117:18,20 finalizing farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	far 51:24 109:3	141:12 175:8	63:5 67:10,13	304:8
farm 174:2,10 182:19 216:13 224:19 259:20 174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	121:16 190:7	175:21 177:3	79:21 117:3,15	finalize 144:18
174:23 federal 29:7 235:9 240:23 finally 8:19 fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	207:21 233:3	177:10 178:17	117:18,20	finalizing
fast 133:8 35:16,20 252:15,15 find 107:2 faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	farm 174:2,10	182:19	216:13 224:19	259:20
faster 261:25 feedback 263:19 128:24 156:25 fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	174:23	federal 29:7	235:9 240:23	finally 8:19
fate 28:22 118:16 127:15 fields 61:11,13 202:4 219:8	fast 133:8	35:16,20	252:15,15	find 107:2
	faster 261:25	feedback	263:19	128:24 156:25
56:13 100:17 192:12 243:5 232:1 250:25	fate 28:22	118:16 127:15	fields 61:11,13	
	56:13 100:17	192:12 243:5		232:1 250:25

[find - form] Page 34

274:17,18	firm 78:7 79:2	flawed 278:18	focusing 53:3
275:7 285:24	107:1 167:21	281:17	198:10 255:7
318:6	301:25 302:10	flip 223:7	folder 9:13,19
findings 96:23	302:14,22	229:15	137:20 313:16
122:17 142:5	307:9	flipping 229:21	318:3,5
144:4,21	first 4:14,24	florida 39:12	folders 84:13
181:22 182:8	7:15 9:4 10:6	39:14,17 40:25	folks 49:20
182:10 200:1	54:11 58:3,5,7	43:17	follow 282:6
231:23 325:18	58:9,11,16	floridan 43:11	296:17 316:10
326:7	73:13,23 74:2	flow 25:23	followed 212:2
finds 130:1	85:20 86:18	26:23,25 29:15	212:12
fine 22:16	101:1,8 102:25	31:4 32:10,11	following
113:24 200:20	107:24 115:18	36:17 39:13	201:10 209:12
212:6 237:14	117:14 125:1	42:24 100:17	223:3 264:6
269:22 270:10	139:8,19,19	118:8 122:13	296:9
283:1 302:11	140:15 143:25	181:17 184:9	follows 4:14
308:10 313:24	149:8 160:5	195:24 199:21	font 142:21
finer 188:18	166:8 186:18	212:11 225:11	footnote 208:8
finish 8:15	188:13 194:22	230:12 231:5	force 224:7
105:17 269:19	215:19 226:24	233:19 259:25	forecasting
274:8 275:23	229:20 234:21	261:11 325:8	37:17,21
275:23 286:22	247:9 254:17	325:22 326:12	forefront 121:7
finished 105:22	254:19 266:17	flowing 156:25	foregoing
120:16 151:1,9	270:8,9 274:24	fluid 25:20	322:6
177:19 179:19	284:5 289:7,21	26:15	foremost 7:15
189:22 192:7	299:25 311:5,6	fluids 34:5	forget 105:8
202:1 205:25	319:13	fly 316:16	248:14 250:24
214:24 231:19	five 17:18	focus 25:13	forgive 7:10
232:6 233:16	55:16 65:24,25	26:13 28:18	forgot 120:19
233:23 237:3,6	76:15 87:9	77:24 86:5	form 29:24
237:15 238:2	141:15 148:9	113:19 125:10	32:19 34:15
240:2 261:20	200:15 244:7	201:21 306:9	60:4 92:9 93:4
finishing 112:5	258:15,18	focused 75:18	95:10,16 132:1
finite 39:12	268:2,4,9	94:24 124:8	134:14 136:6,8
	271:1 308:11	129:20 140:23	136:12,20

[form - gamut] Page 35

145:9 147:10	forms 261:1	free 19:15	fund 106:19
152:14 155:18	formulating	22:12 82:22	147:1,1 245:5
156:14 164:4	100:16	92:11	fundamental
165:3 169:23	forth 105:11	freeze 34:9	
	248:9 270:17		275:11 276:6,7 funded 39:8
190:1,21 202:22 209:22		115:25	106:4 109:17
202.22 209.22 211:20 215:7	forward 12:14	fresh 65:22 freshwater	152:20 315:18
	32:7 74:18 75:24 91:18	132:6	
215:11,21,24 220:20 226:22	133:8 185:21	friend 304:15	funding 103:3
230:20 231:16	185:25 192:4	friends 283:3	106:1,9 109:1
	193:11 210:11	front 12:15	112:7,8 147:18
236:17 237:4		163:20 225:20	147:21,21
246:6 249:17	216:14 229:14		251:10 255:18
251:6 253:10	229:17,21	301:3	296:21 299:5
261:22 262:10	forwarded	frustrated	315:23,24
275:20 276:9	159:17 304:20	280:23	funk 77:1
276:25 289:12	forwarding	frustration	further 5:22
290:13 297:9	284:14	103:7 126:5	128:10 175:3
298:24 299:12	found 73:4	282:21	228:14 264:3
303:6 307:6	107:11 121:17	fuel 102:9,9	265:15 269:23
309:12,16	128:6 250:14	174:2,10,23	270:12,14
311:3 312:5	281:14	fulfill 257:14	322:8
321:3	foundation	full 4:22 13:24	furthering
formal 114:2	140:10	51:10 112:14	276:3
191:24 280:18	four 13:22	112:15 115:24	fuss 239:23
formalize	85:10,12	119:20 127:8	future 41:2
125:24	106:19 126:19	144:14 147:24	43:24 46:7
formalized	129:14 169:17	287:16 296:13	50:21 51:17
125:22 195:9	208:6 232:13	298:7 315:6	57:12 90:24
formally 15:12	fourth 158:16	fully 27:1	274:14
formed 125:4	257:2	254:24	g
former 11:20	frame 31:10	function	gained 296:23
141:10 194:17	302:7	242:10	gallons 161:21
formerly	frank 91:15	functions 242:4	game 107:5
320:17	98:11 295:10	244:15	gamut 52:21
	297:22		

[gasoline - go] Page 36

gasoline 169:11	geohydrologist	281:14 282:19	glenwood 2:12
169:12	42:2 79:20	geosyntec 44:6	gmail.com
gather 15:24	116:6	44:14,21,24	301:13
152:2,5,9	geohydrology	45:13 46:13,16	go 5:22 7:11
201:22	34:19 119:10	getting 85:7	8:25 9:3,24
gathering	236:20	106:22 113:21	24:5 37:19
109:8 127:2	geological	182:16 190:15	50:22 53:17
gavin 132:25	35:12,22 38:6	258:1 293:9	61:16 68:8
gear 81:5	38:21 39:9	296:20 299:4,5	71:14 75:20
gears 35:8 81:6	115:20 118:1	gift 291:21	81:25 83:1
183:8 282:23	194:8,18 212:1	gio 9:3 12:7	84:25 85:10,15
geiger 157:18	217:17	82:25	87:9,18 100:20
157:21	geology 42:5	giovanni 3:3	106:22 107:13
general 25:15	geometric	5:10,16 6:13	107:17,20
30:9 100:4	207:1,12 208:3	12:14 313:13	110:17 116:1
101:19 111:1	208:7,10 209:4	318:2	129:3,17 133:5
112:18 126:22	209:8,13	giovanni.ant	135:8 150:15
134:21 138:4	georgetown	3:5	150:16,16
139:12 189:7	1:15 2:17	give 10:12	158:24 163:19
296:21	georgia 5:3	12:19 18:24	170:22 171:7
generalized	25:9 26:5,7	31:9,21 48:14	171:15,15,20
184:1	27:21 36:15,22	65:18 93:14,14	177:16 178:3
generally 34:14	37:14 39:14,17	170:13 202:3	179:1 181:11
81:2 92:6 93:1	41:3 43:9,12	211:3 216:2	185:6,25
94:16 117:9	43:16 55:25	224:20 225:22	188:18 189:2
124:4 178:11	56:1 59:12,21	263:13 283:13	196:11 197:4
184:3 212:15	77:4,24 79:2	291:20 302:1,4	199:5 208:4,12
223:17 246:5	79:15 80:15,19	302:11,25	208:12 210:11
generate 208:2	111:6 114:10	given 8:1 16:12	212:3 214:19
generation	114:13,19	23:24 46:2	216:10 219:20
235:6	118:2,10 119:5	296:11 298:5	222:7 225:21
gentleman 6:19	262:21 277:17	gives 211:8	226:8,24 229:5
geohydrologic	278:19 279:17	242:19	229:14,17,18
119:11 186:6	279:23 280:5	giving 261:7	231:3,23 232:3
188:6 224:18	280:15,19,24	272:8	232:4 242:8

251:11 258:14	179:12,18	grad 77:4	greatly 207:8
258:17,19	186:11 192:4	110:2 114:10	257:16
267:14 268:18	195:11,12	grade 38:12,14	gross 261:6
269:4 270:4,17	197:9 210:1,5	53:16 69:7	groton 50:6
272:24 285:1	214:2 215:14	111:13 136:25	51:22 52:7,8
285:13 293:9	215:25 216:15	grades 111:15	52:12,13 53:1
310:7 314:9	218:11 221:14	111:15	ground 235:9
goal 126:17	221:17 228:23	graduate 28:2	236:21
255:10	229:3,16,22,22	29:4 76:22	grounds 236:7
goddamn 309:4	229:23 231:8	115:10	groundwater
goes 141:7	236:22 239:23	graduated 25:8	29:14,23 31:1
144:3 239:15	240:22 245:16	25:11 26:7,10	31:4 32:10,17
260:24 263:14	255:8,9 258:20	grainger 202:6	33:15,20 34:6
265:22 276:13	258:23 270:25	202:12,15,18	34:8,13,18
294:2 302:19	285:6 308:11	206:13	35:4 39:2,6,13
307:14	309:1 313:8,11	grants 55:17	39:19,22 56:12
going 10:13	317:25 320:6	graph 182:17	64:6,18 79:4
18:4 27:4,9	good 4:17,18	182:24 226:3	79:18 100:17
31:7,8 32:20	5:9,12 7:6 9:24	232:4	100:17 112:18
32:21,21 33:2	10:2 15:20	graphs 175:16	116:15 118:8
33:8 39:19	47:13 118:14	177:17 181:10	122:13 124:7
40:9,12,16	118:15 147:5	201:8,11 212:8	169:21 176:1
43:11 48:11	166:25 275:11	grayman	181:17 183:23
57:4 65:19	276:6,7 294:13	110:11 116:18	184:6,7,9,14
66:3,6 74:17	gorge 41:22	116:20,21	185:23 186:9
81:7 82:2,9,9	42:25	119:12 238:7	188:6,11
90:3 93:19,25	gotten 291:7	great 9:25	193:24 194:16
120:1,4 121:18	government	66:12 165:9	194:18,19
122:12 139:5	12:3 36:3 71:8	206:9 268:7	195:24 199:21
139:18 142:13	245:21	287:18 290:7	201:18 205:18
148:11,14	government's	greater 28:21	212:11 218:12
153:2,4 161:17	14:3 138:19,22	208:20 209:1	225:11 230:12
161:18,24	138:24	227:13 268:9	231:5 233:18
169:5 176:9	grab 65:23	279:4,21 280:2	242:5 250:17
177:16 179:9			259:25 260:24

325:8,22	hadnot 20:21	312:17,22	hard 13:23,24
326:12	96:11,20	326:1	haroon 2:22
group 1:14 2:2	107:22,24	half 13:22	5:4,14 179:3
2:15 44:11,20	108:1,15 109:2	228:11 241:9	haroon.anwar
55:4 61:21	115:3 121:15	hand 72:23	2:24
116:2,3,8	121:23 122:1,5	111:16 171:13	havai 3:18
159:8 288:13	122:13,16	200:20 205:5	he'll 298:16
290:19,23	139:24 140:25	207:3,3,4	head 8:9,10
291:2,4,5,13	151:7,24 164:2	208:13 267:3	69:18 125:2
293:4,20	165:10,16	268:10 285:25	199:4
295:11 303:22	166:2 172:1,23	312:17 317:8	heading 261:20
gs 111:1	173:12,13,18	319:11 322:11	headquarters
guarantee	173:20 174:19	handbook	118:11 257:4
295:20 297:20	174:19 177:1,2	211:25	282:18
guess 39:25	177:8,12	handed 71:9	heads 37:7
65:4 104:5	178:14,20	handle 78:18	302:2,5
114:1 118:20	179:24 186:25	handled 105:10	health 3:16
125:24 142:16	187:3,5,10,17	handwriting	47:1,6,16,20
147:16,17	189:18 191:19	15:6,6 19:17	49:4,9,13,20,25
161:3 201:3	192:10 195:7	handwritten	50:1 52:8,10
202:5 211:17	195:10 198:10	15:14	53:11 57:5
223:21 241:20	198:13 199:2	hanford 65:11	58:24 60:15,16
247:12 248:4	199:11,17,23	65:15 69:5	61:20 66:22,25
250:21 297:3	200:24 201:14	hang 231:25	67:3,4,6,11,15
guidance	201:19 202:12	hank 2:4	67:21,22 75:7
121:21 192:4	204:2,19	happen 153:5	75:15,16 78:2
290:6	210:14 211:14	happened	87:13,20,24
guidelines	217:13,20	85:12 274:22	88:2,4,7,10,13
211:24 224:4	218:16 219:14	happening	88:13,16,22
h	219:15,25	234:9	89:1,20 90:4,7
h 5:2 110:14	222:10,18,20	happens 281:1	90:9,11,13,18
323:11	222:21 223:6	happy 6:9 8:22	90:19,22,23,24
h.r. 133:18	223:19 240:10	119:15 149:3	91:5,9,13
h2oboy54	240:25 255:8	294:5	94:22 97:24
301:13	255:15 266:1		98:3,13 99:11
	1	1	

[health - hold] Page 39

110:23 113:4	hello 289:1	256:16 280:5	326:18
113:12 123:11	help 36:24	307:23	historically
123:14,17,18	43:15 66:22	hind 310:22	151:9 210:1
124:8,8,9,10,11	136:4,6,7	hindcast	history 238:8
124:21 125:16	145:7 216:3	184:24 185:1	hit 10:1 27:5
126:9,10	218:1 257:16	185:11,17	hits 168:24
127:13 129:23	263:5	hindcasting	231:7
131:4 133:25	helped 49:20	37:24 185:15	holcomb 20:22
134:22 135:1	122:12 146:25	250:7 251:22	96:12,19,20
135:24 136:3	147:17 187:8	hire 115:24	107:22,25
136:16 137:3	helpful 110:16	hired 107:1	108:2,16 109:2
137:12 138:8	240:11	110:11,13	115:1,3,3
147:2 188:1	hereunto	116:2,7,7,8	121:16,23
189:7 194:15	322:11	hiring 115:25	122:1,5,16
194:16 223:24	hey 102:15	hispanic 112:6	139:25 140:25
224:1,1,1	hi 274:4	historical 40:11	151:8,25 157:3
254:10 256:4	hickey 138:5	51:2 52:13,14	157:4,11 164:2
257:12,24	139:12	52:17,24 55:3	165:10,17,24
284:13 300:15	hide 298:14	57:19 58:1,3,8	166:2 173:4
324:20	high 38:25 46:2	58:12,12,14,17	177:20 178:2,4
healtheffects	54:22 64:8	60:2,9 63:13	178:10,16,22
18:8 324:4	168:19 178:24	64:1 69:12,23	179:23 180:1
healtheffects	180:3 219:12	72:15,18 74:17	187:1,3,17
200:2 326:8	224:6,7 231:6	75:1 76:3 80:5	189:18 191:20
hear 7:24 245:8	259:23	83:12,12 90:1	198:11,13,13
308:13	higher 67:11	95:13 104:13	199:3,23
heard 139:14	111:15 163:4	123:20 177:15	202:12 204:2
300:1 320:4	227:10 230:9	181:20 185:8	210:15 211:15
hearing 143:9	232:11 233:8	185:15,18,19	217:14,20
143:14,20,22	261:7,8,17	185:20 186:1	223:19 326:2
269:8 285:17	263:4	187:1,6 199:20	hold 10:10 31:7
heart 73:17	highlighted	210:2 223:5	48:6,16 54:16
height 269:2,3	207:5	225:14 246:22	54:19 160:15
held 256:22	highly 142:4	251:3,4 281:2	171:9,14 207:7
	233:11 246:5	325:14,21	212:4 213:3,4

Page 40 [hold - included]

213:14,14,14	housing 128:14	38:8,18 217:16	iii 2:11,15
213:24 214:7	141:11 151:2	hydrologists	illness 98:9
232:2 262:16	151:10 152:1	118:15	illnesses 133:22
281:20 291:11	152:22,24	hydrology	134:12
holding 159:9	167:3 172:6,6	33:21 34:8	immediate
169:12	172:12,19,20	hyoung 2:6	91:20 231:2
home 16:2	173:1 177:24	hypothetical	immediately
62:13 107:19	177:25 178:5,7	62:22,22	264:1
289:22	hp 174:20,22	243:23	impact 48:13
honest 258:13	hughes 70:1	i	169:13,21,22
honestly	huh 19:4 62:3	i.e. 296:8	202:20 205:24
284:21	123:2 130:17	idea 290:15	235:14,15
hooker 41:21	288:24	identical	263:1 265:16
hope 144:7,11	human 3:17	238:24,24	272:17
274:13 294:4	137:12 324:20	identification	impacted 50:19
hopping 302:18	humans 48:13	9:7 12:12 18:9	101:16 109:2
horry 322:13	50:18	22:3 24:10	157:21 167:10
hospital 172:5	hundred 244:7	82:8 137:13	importance
172:10,10,13	244:10	149:14 158:9	62:10 259:23
172:18,19	hunt 29:19	181:23 200:3	important
hotmail.com.	hyde 41:17,18	225:18 248:24	133:16 255:21
285:21	42:13	253:18 259:6	imprecise
hour 65:20	hydraulic	273:18 283:18	237:19
81:4 217:3	35:15 36:2,6	288:1 292:14	inaccurate
218:24 220:3	36:18 37:7	294:19 301:1	317:23
222:12 223:13	42:9 242:7	305:5 318:19	inadequate
235:2,17 248:8	281:13	identified 6:5	207:15
252:24 279:16	hydraulics 34:6	17:6 219:9	incidence 306:1
280:1 281:11	34:8	222:12 293:3	306:10
282:24 287:9	hydroelectric	identify 21:23	incident 297:4
295:24	36:8	168:22 169:4	incidents 297:4
hourly 210:20	hydrogeologic	180:17 221:6	include 96:21
hours 8:21	196:3	222:13 320:10	196:1 224:21
28:17 57:14	hydrologist	identities	included 15:2,8
	35:11,22 38:7	193:20	40:4 96:5

	I	I	
168:14 195:4	individual	201:22 204:13	installed 63:19
including	189:10,25	216:12 217:18	185:24
209:13 254:10	271:19 277:21	217:22 218:3	installing 41:5
257:12 315:16	292:23	218:24 221:9	instance 217:11
incomplete	individuals	235:16 248:6	226:13 228:16
207:15	95:2 118:22	254:8,13,23	instances 107:9
incorporate	161:4 189:19	257:14,25	instantaneous
72:11	284:15 295:11	258:3 299:6	234:6
incorporated	industrial	305:21 306:20	instantaneous
121:14	43:11 174:13	314:24 317:22	236:22
incorrect 89:4	174:19,23	323:22	institute 27:21
164:10	industry 43:19	ingesting 47:3	112:9 118:2
increasing 59:1	132:12	initial 99:19	institution
230:10	infancy 60:13	118:25 126:12	245:18,23
increments	influence	215:18 220:25	instruct 32:20
55:16	130:11	initially 71:16	32:21 33:2
incurring	inform 129:25	142:7 156:22	93:19,25
67:11	informal	188:24 189:15	instructed 16:5
independent	191:24 316:22	261:4 267:8	instructing
78:10 233:16	information	278:24	31:17 93:12
independently	12:10 14:1,2	initiate 205:19	instrument
71:20 121:14	15:7 52:2	inlet 322:13	155:24 157:1,8
index 3:24	62:12 63:18,22	innovative 78:1	instrumental
indicate 169:19	83:9 90:3	input 100:16	296:20
172:25 186:9	103:23,25	117:1,17	intend 190:20
205:22 247:22	106:23 109:13	123:19 128:2	274:8 282:3
indicated 119:1	119:11 125:9	137:6	intended 14:3
163:2 205:20	125:13 126:20	inquiries	43:13,15 46:4
217:1 219:11	126:22 128:17	254:13,22	189:19,21
235:21 245:4	139:22 144:7	insert 277:15	191:8 226:3
indicates	144:11 147:3,6	insist 252:10	intent 224:14
314:13	150:2,3,9,20	inspect 36:8,9	224:16
indication	151:12 152:2,6	inspection	interested 32:6
208:14	152:10 164:15	12:11 13:25	62:5 190:24
	185:20,21	323:24	191:1 302:8,10

322:10	_		
322.10	investment	307:16 308:21	146:6,8,15
interior 308:9	251:15	309:9,14,14,18	299:19
intermittent	invitation	312:9	january 22:7
115:2 165:25	254:5 293:20	issued 159:6	23:6 99:20,21
166:3	invite 293:9	250:6 312:7	137:12 138:4
intermittently	invited 298:1	issues 4:21	178:17 232:10
178:21 179:25	inviting 293:25	89:22 90:12	232:13,16
internal 192:18	involved 16:22	91:23 123:20	259:12 264:15
192:21 246:24	69:1 83:7,7,15	127:15 245:19	268:20 269:6
international	87:6 91:17,22	245:24 248:18	273:23 283:12
192:2	91:24 95:1	250:14 252:1	292:17,22
internationally	97:22 98:1	254:16,25	293:8,21
116:21 119:6	99:8,11 101:23	255:17 256:20	324:21
119:14 121:7	105:5,5 114:2	256:24 257:1	jar 2:14
interpret	123:5 145:12	274:12,14	jason 59:5
107:23 289:7	145:18,23	281:24 296:6,8	76:25 109:25
307:22	150:1 264:7	296:18 300:15	110:20 118:23
interrupt	297:25	item 271:3	jennifer 69:15
142:17	involvement	items 14:8	jensminger
introduce 5:23	33:12,25 67:24	15:13 174:13	285:21
introduced	86:9,25 87:4	290:4	jerry 129:1
207:14 304:1,4	123:22 300:8	j	130:18 131:6,9
318:13	314:23	j 2:8,15 240:13	131:17 135:6
investigated	involves 52:19	240:22 284:23	146:9 159:15
155:20	ipad 81:19	285:2 287:15	160:6 162:10
investigation	irrigate 41:5	jacob 31:5	284:15,24
71:12,21	islands 43:12	32:11,13 34:5	288:12 289:3
128:11 154:7	issue 82:13	34:7,23	289:20 295:23
154:12 156:4	90:12 114:24	james 2:11	295:24,25
156:18 222:14	136:24 158:4	janderson	296:12 298:6
investigations	158:21 162:19	285:20	299:9 301:16
186:7	165:12 227:8	jane 62:7	302:25
investigator	239:1 250:22	janey 133:18	jerry's 295:21
115:6	252:5,9 263:10	134:4,19 135:5	296:8 297:21
	267:17 268:22	135:23 136:19	

[jersey - know] Page 43

[know - leak] Page 44

134:9,24 137:4	276:7 277:8,9	knox 167:3	223:10
138:18 139:11	277:24 280:12	konikow 194:7	landfills 47:2
139:15 145:13	280:18,25	194:24	language 85:18
145:22,24	282:8,16,24	l	317:18
148:1,3 150:1	284:9 286:13	1 3:3 4:25 5:1,2	large 74:2 84:2
161:1 173:2	288:5 289:6,22	22:1 24:9 54:8	127:3 189:8
174:12 183:14	290:18,18,21	324:7,12	322:5
185:22 190:25	290:23 292:8	l.baughman	larger 82:17
192:22 193:20	292:17 293:8	2:10	142:21
193:22 194:2	296:5,14	labeled 318:5	late 39:19
195:2,8 196:16	297:14 298:8	laboratory	launders 73:14
196:21 202:16	298:16,16,18	59:12,14	laura 2:8 6:1
202:17 204:8	298:19,22	104:21 114:17	18:16 20:1,25
206:13,16	299:10,15	263:19	lauren 1:18
214:3,16	300:4,16 302:9	labs 202:5,6,12	322:3,23
215:16,22	302:15,17,17	202:15,18	lavi 4:25
218:1 220:10	304:14 305:17	206:13	law 7:17 88:4
220:14 227:18	306:14 309:1	lack 109:10	135:10 274:19
227:21 230:14	309:20 312:2	125:8 260:13	301:25 302:10
231:7,14	317:14,19	lacked 202:18	302:14,22,24
233:25 235:8	320:1,20	lady 319:17	laws 236:3
236:5,10	knowing	320:16	lawyer 17:20
240:12 243:22	142:12 193:11	lan 84:2	17:22 286:7,12
243:25 244:11	235:5 271:5	land 27:4 40:12	286:12
244:24 245:1	278:12 280:22	40:13 41:4	lawyers 66:12
245:20 246:12	knowledge	188:3 280:15	120:13 307:1
246:25 247:20	16:10 33:23	landed 155:7	layers 218:19
248:7 255:9,20	73:24 100:10	landfill 41:22	lead 98:11,20
256:23 259:8	119:9 138:17	42:24 45:16,17	109:21 291:2
261:7 263:3	148:4 150:12	45:21,24 46:11	leadership 2:2
264:25 265:11	150:21 278:14	79:22 173:20	91:22 159:8
267:9 268:8	290:7 291:15	173:22 173:20	253:10 265:1
269:4 270:21	known 193:24	174:20 218:4,4	269:17 293:23
271:7 272:19	238:14 278:2	218:23 220:13	leak 236:22
272:22 273:9	303:21 304:6	220:14,15	
		220.17,13	

leaking 174:6	81:22 82:6,21	209:18 225:14	141:14 157:9
235:12,25	83:13 85:2,3	247:12 249:9	163:10 186:6,9
236:1,13,14,15	85:25 86:7,8	250:22 254:4	186:13 188:10
leaks 169:12	87:6,19 88:17	254:11,16	188:11 207:19
leary 3:10	89:9 90:20	255:11,13	207:20 208:5,6
leave 81:16	91:3,9,19 92:8	256:19 265:18	260:7 271:1,2
310:10	93:3 94:17	267:1 269:10	levels 37:1
lecture 121:11	95:7,9,23	283:4,9 284:17	39:19 40:8,11
led 56:4 136:18	98:13 99:7,8	298:23 299:3	95:8,22 98:8
156:18 307:12	99:12,18 100:6	301:21,25	141:14 168:25
left 44:5 46:15	101:9,16,19,21	306:4,13 308:5	224:3 237:2
84:16,19 98:22	102:16 104:12	308:16 310:11	260:11
98:23,24 99:9	105:1 106:10	312:1 318:18	lewis 2:11,14
205:5 207:3	107:2 109:21	323:17 324:15	liability 87:12
208:13 295:21	112:1,21	324:24 325:2	library 44:22
297:21 312:24	113:19 114:2	325:13 326:5	45:2,8
315:23	114:21 116:5	326:17	license 80:20
legal 1:14 2:15	117:2,11 118:7	length 278:11	likely 8:1 51:18
135:25 283:4	118:18 119:11	leonard 194:7	138:25 188:12
288:13 290:19	123:9,15,21	194:24	195:3
290:23 291:2,4	126:1,24 127:2	letter 137:11	limit 31:24 33:8
291:5,13 293:4	128:4,13,19	138:2,3 139:20	198:1,9 242:21
293:20 303:22	131:22 133:24	139:21 143:6	266:23 267:4,7
legislation	134:1,13 136:4	148:25 161:16	268:3,4,5,8,12
137:6 145:12	136:17 140:2,9	201:15 202:13	269:1
legislative	141:22,24	243:5 258:5	limitations
145:13	144:14,23	259:25 264:12	188:17 209:16
lehigh 111:5	146:23 147:9	274:20,24	209:25 210:13
lejeune 1:4 4:4	149:12,13,19	275:3 282:6,15	210:13,17,22
5:18 11:14	151:3 154:21	324:19	260:4 276:18
13:19 14:10	154:25 155:4,7	letting 278:12	limited 124:6
16:4,21 21:2,9	155:14 157:13	280:11 285:18	185:12 186:10
56:5,20 61:3,4	160:24 181:20	level 29:4 38:25	196:5,8 199:9
69:21 74:23	183:22 187:8	39:22 42:8	210:18 211:5
80:24 81:2,7	199:25 206:5	54:22 100:4	251:4 271:6

[limits - look] Page 46

14 0000	000 10 074 14	1 1 200 10	277.5.202.21
limits 266:8	220:12 274:14	load 200:18	277:5 303:21
267:10	320:16	loaded 225:21	304:6
line 160:17	lists 108:19	loading 278:21	longer 23:1
171:16 207:21	125:7 130:13	279:7,10,14,19	106:15,24
208:5 213:20	135:18 151:5	279:21 281:11	264:2 265:14
213:23 226:7	151:17	lobby 147:14	look 15:11
227:4,4,7,7	liter 169:18	lobbying 147:9	19:15 22:12
232:15,17	260:15,16	147:13	36:25 40:6
259:24 269:21	262:3,4 266:9	locally 118:10	47:1 48:10
270:10 323:3	266:10 267:5	locate 219:6	50:8,12,16,22
323:14	268:3	220:18	50:23 51:17,18
liner 45:24 46:1	literature	located 79:12	56:12 60:11
46:11	40:10 69:4,15	107:10,12	61:16,23 62:1
lines 289:7,8	73:4 100:15	141:16 172:10	65:3 71:4
316:13	218:8	282:17 319:3	72:21 75:22
lining 232:17	litigation 1:4	location 1:14	96:22 97:3
link 160:13,22	4:4 5:18 11:15	217:24 230:7	108:5,10
160:22,24	32:5,9 33:13	230:22 238:17	119:10 128:1,8
linkage 137:5	34:2 78:22	257:4,5	143:7 150:8
linked 135:18	291:3 307:13	locations 63:20	151:24 153:17
linkedin 24:6,8	little 25:5 35:8	106:25 168:13	158:19 161:9
24:19,23 25:7	41:24 59:24	216:19 220:8,9	161:10 164:9
324:11	81:6,24 97:3	221:2 223:11	168:16 170:5
liquid 252:12	101:11 122:4	238:22 272:17	170:12,24
liquids 252:21	137:24 166:24	logbooks	171:3 176:21
lisa 3:21	171:25 172:22	204:11	176:21 180:20
list 6:14 49:2	184:22 198:15	logistical 255:1	180:22,24
86:8 87:3	209:3 282:23	logistics 147:4	181:5 183:13
194:1,1,4,9,10	304:12 313:5	298:1 317:1	190:3 196:12
195:2 197:8	live 79:16	long 17:16	196:20,23,25
221:4,5	lived 133:25	38:20 42:22	199:6,7 201:14
listed 29:17,18	141:10 190:9	48:14 106:21	202:13 204:18
86:7 115:13	living 47:2	131:5 205:15	206:19 214:22
130:20 131:13	237:18	218:1 239:21	215:14 226:8
133:3,4 197:11		251:11 265:2	227:19 228:8

[look - make] Page 47

228:16 232:10	looks 5:8 13:6	306:21 310:2	294:11,17,21
237:12 242:16	24:17 26:10	magistrate	294:25 295:1,8
247:18 249:6	96:13 138:3	287:9	295:10,17,19
253:2 261:12	160:4 259:13	magnitude	297:8,17,19
261:13 262:24	lori 132:6	228:10,11,12	298:4 300:24
273:5 286:22	loss 279:9,16	241:10 279:4	301:3,9,12,14
287:3,12	279:25 281:10	mail 158:7	301:15,20,24
294:24 310:7	lost 65:17	159:15,23	303:4 304:19
319:11	lot 189:9 236:9	160:5,6 162:9	304:20 305:3,7
looked 14:7	252:15	162:11 248:22	325:3 326:24
15:5 45:16	love 41:18	249:2,3,3,6	327:3,7,11,15
48:24 51:21,23	low 46:2	253:5,16,20	327:19,23
51:24 53:7	168:25 169:18	254:7 257:22	328:2,6,9
63:9 72:4 73:4	lower 36:20	258:8 259:4,12	mailed 305:16
156:20 157:23	111:15 176:14	259:20,24	mails 248:9
168:1 227:12	207:9 226:14	260:2 262:15	291:9 310:8
268:25 273:6	233:14 263:4	269:2,5,14	main 167:14
looking 19:12	lunch 120:8,11	273:16,23	173:11,11
27:9 36:23	120:15 306:18	274:3 276:13	180:12
39:11 43:23	316:21	277:2,6 278:1	mainside 172:5
46:7,8 47:5	luncheon 120:3	280:23 282:12	172:18
50:20 53:9	luxenberg 2:7	282:20 283:16	maintain 23:10
57:11 61:7,17	m	283:24,25	76:11
65:5 75:13,23	m 3:10 4:25 5:1	284:3,3,6,7,25	maintained
75:24 87:6	m.l. 78:15	285:2,3,4,12,19	97:19
110:3 151:23	m3dms 278:21	286:11,14,20	maintenance
163:25 169:2	made 13:24	287:1,2,12,12	205:15,22
182:9,13,14,18	14:17 16:23	287:16,17,24	major 169:14
187:11,18	50:17 101:8	288:9,9,11,17	majority 14:8
204:1 220:24	107:4,7 145:6	289:1,3,10,15	14:25 151:10
238:22,22,25	206:1 246:25	289:16,20	make 23:16
242:17,18	256:13 264:6	290:16 291:16	31:16 37:9,10
254:3 264:14	266:7,18 272:5	292:12,16,20	49:17 51:17
272:16 273:10	272:22 273:11	292:22 293:1,3	80:1 93:11
278:1 319:22	276:14 300:19	293:6,13 294:2	136:4,17

[make - matter] Page 48

	1		
144:19 145:7	manor 172:7	marked 9:6	66:10 78:15
152:3 153:23	172:21 178:6	10:11 12:12	93:13,21 120:8
164:10 173:23	manuals 70:16	18:9 22:3,6	148:18 158:8
189:16 200:23	70:17	24:9 82:8	159:7,16 160:7
215:25 246:12	manuscript	137:13,20	162:2 179:16
251:9 252:2	193:17	149:6,14 158:9	182:9 221:25
254:5 255:9	maps 107:11	158:12 159:2,2	273:24 285:16
258:2 266:4	172:16	170:2 181:22	292:23 293:6
271:7,22 272:7	march 166:17	200:3 225:17	300:25 305:4
285:11 286:23	178:8 185:21	248:21,24	314:9 321:8
287:18 296:2	192:2 238:6	253:13,18	323:4 324:2,7
297:13 304:13	253:2 270:6	259:3,6 273:18	324:13 325:5
makes 121:9	271:12 322:24	273:20 283:18	328:8,11
making 91:18	marginal	288:1 292:14	mass 278:20
123:20 138:20	274:11	294:19 301:1	279:7,10,14,21
139:2 142:24	marginally	305:1,4 318:9	280:2 281:11
281:5 287:6	274:6	318:19	281:14
306:21	marine 85:3	marking 81:8	massachusetts
male 131:22	103:1,9,13,18	158:5 248:20	50:7 51:22
management	104:4,8,14	259:2 283:22	master 26:7
53:17 74:7	106:2 109:11	294:15 300:23	master's 26:14
91:21 124:24	109:15 127:20	married 291:22	26:18 36:15
management's	131:18,21	marston 75:4	112:6
38:12	133:23 140:1	166:1,1	match 64:11,11
manager 44:11	156:23 181:19	martel 248:15	207:23 208:20
44:20	199:25 225:13	248:16 253:21	226:19 231:13
mandate 49:3	325:12 326:4	253:25	234:4
71:10	326:16	mary 29:15,18	materials 69:8
mandated	marines 85:7	30:18	246:11
46:25 123:13	141:10	maslia 1:11 4:7	mathematical
123:25 244:20	mark 18:4 24:5	4:8,9,10,13,17	25:22 184:8
244:23 245:5	137:7 181:11	5:1 13:5,16	matter 18:17
manner 136:17	183:16 199:8	18:5,7,11	20:1 21:1
147:25 228:6	199:16 225:4	21:24 22:2,5	101:19 142:5
286:21	320:6,12	24:9,12 33:9	264:3 265:15

289:17	means 97:1	293:7,9,21	mentioned 47:7
matthew 3:15	106:6 130:2,9	295:20 296:7	66:21 68:9,14
maximum	205:18 208:18	297:13,20	68:20 69:22
141:14 224:2	208:21 244:14	300:3,10,12,14	70:21,22 75:12
mcl 169:1	261:18 266:23	300:16 302:21	75:13 77:10
mean 6:7 45:3	268:13	304:2	86:10 96:25
52:16 58:22	meant 12:23	meetings 89:9	112:24 113:7
61:25 62:4	180:6 189:5	89:10 128:23	114:8 115:15
90:10 95:24	239:1 244:9	294:1 296:15	116:17 120:21
96:4,15 110:4	256:18 267:2,6	298:1 300:9	127:5 130:18
128:20 132:12	measure	310:4	152:23 153:1
135:2 136:9,11	207:22 224:14	melts 235:1	164:7 167:13
137:2,14	measured 37:1	236:4	167:16 180:13
138:10 142:17	195:20 199:10	member 89:16	194:22 204:11
142:20 187:25	233:2 262:24	90:5 132:10,20	210:12 232:20
188:3,21 189:1	263:19	132:22 249:7	mentions
189:22 192:12	measurements	284:8,10,10	102:25
193:3 201:24	42:8	304:12	mentor 77:21
205:17,24	mechanics	members 90:15	119:4
207:17 209:9	25:20 26:16	110:18 124:20	mentored
209:17 212:13	media 47:3	125:3,6 127:18	76:21,24
213:2 216:6	296:23 321:2	128:12,18,24	merry 294:4
223:24 232:4	median 96:5,21	130:14,21	mess 278:18
238:25 250:1	meet 17:8,14,22	131:13 133:2,3	met 17:20
251:25 255:5	302:22 313:2,2	133:25 134:22	30:20 115:18
257:20 266:19	meeting 17:16	142:3,14,16	297:16 316:11
267:19 270:13	89:25 133:6	143:6,19 148:2	316:18
280:19 285:2	247:8,9,13,21	192:14 193:22	metadata
292:6 298:14	247:22 248:17	254:14,24	318:11
298:19 302:7	256:4,11,13,16	255:22 256:6,8	meter 156:24
320:12	256:17,18,23	257:6,13 273:9	method 73:3
meaning	257:3 270:6	295:22 299:5	206:13 222:16
107:23 156:20	271:11,21,21	memory 96:12	methodologies
264:20	271:23 280:18	mention 88:21	55:6 72:4
	290:3 292:21	174:18	

	1		
methodology	mike 129:1	mischaracteri	mmmaslia
71:5 72:17	130:19 131:6,9	252:24	286:1
207:15,24	131:20	mischaracteri	model 26:23,25
208:1	miles 60:25	250:15	27:13,15 28:6
methods 25:21	61:5 79:15	mischaracteri	36:14,16,18,24
121:6 184:8,8	milestones	214:18 287:1	37:5,5,6,12,16
203:20 212:8	106:15,17	misclassificat	37:17,21 39:16
mic 5:11	126:6	247:2	39:24 40:4,7
micrograms	military 156:24	misinterpreting	40:21 41:9,25
169:18 260:15	mill 118:8	284:22	42:6,8,13,13
260:16 262:3,3	miller's 300:2,5	misremember	43:2,2,5,8,14
266:9,10 267:4	milligrams	68:20 70:23	43:21 44:22,23
268:2	260:15	misrepresenti	45:3 46:4
mid 116:24	million 105:2	285:12 287:11	50:15 51:10
272:24 303:19	105:24 161:21	missed 106:15	52:9,22 56:20
middle 4:25	mind 16:23	106:16,17	58:14 64:11,22
151:6 164:14	22:24 25:25	126:5 175:3	67:19 69:2
164:14 188:14	66:1 94:13	220:16	79:20,25 80:5
206:24 284:4	137:23 185:17	missing 173:2	80:7 95:15
285:23,25	196:8 274:12	mission 254:15	100:16 103:24
298:4	313:15 318:2	255:10 257:15	103:24 117:14
midpoint	mine 81:11	257:17	121:4,21 144:4
272:25	292:1	missouri 75:4	152:11 154:20
midway 172:6	minimum	misspoke	167:25 168:9
172:20 173:1,2	218:11 279:16	179:22	168:16,17,21
178:5	minus 228:10	misunderstan	181:4 184:24
migrated	241:9 271:1,2	109:7	185:1,17
230:10	minute 312:23	mix 232:25	186:19,25
migrates	minutes 17:18	mixed 238:9	187:1,18
230:23 231:4	113:23 212:19	mixing 232:24	188:23 195:17
migrating	258:15,18	233:4,10,12,20	195:25 197:14
230:12	296:1 308:12	233:20 234:5,6	197:17,17,20
migration	miscarriages	237:23 238:13	198:14 199:3
230:23	57:7	238:16,23	203:2,8,20
		239:15 240:20	205:18 206:8,8

206:9 207:23	272:15,18	56:24 64:12	154:21,24
208:2,3,10,14	273:2 274:5	66:21 69:12,23	155:3,6,13
208:15,19,21	276:15 277:17	70:15,16,17	157:13,14,17
209:2,8 210:7	277:17,21	76:8 78:1 79:4	168:1,8 169:3
210:11,23,25	278:21,23	79:18 83:10,19	183:9,20,20,22
211:23,25	279:7,23 280:5	91:3,19 92:2	183:23,24,25
212:11,16,21	280:15,24,25	95:7 96:3,12	184:6,7,11,12
212:23 216:20	281:4,4,6,12	98:2 99:17	184:17,18
217:21,25	282:4 292:3	100:6,18,18,22	190:4,15
219:5,11	296:16,21	101:6,20 103:8	191:12 192:19
220:17,19,23	308:21 309:10	104:12 105:1	193:25 194:17
221:3,4 222:17	310:12,25	105:23 106:3,9	194:19 196:14
223:15 224:7	312:4	106:13,15	201:18,19
224:14,17	model's 208:11	107:23,25	205:12 209:17
226:11,17	modeled 102:3	108:2,16	209:25 212:12
227:11,13,14	102:5 141:4	109:18,21	216:12,24
227:24 228:3,9	212:16	110:15 111:8	217:10 218:25
229:2 231:1,17	modelers 94:20	111:21,22,24	223:14,18
232:20,24,24	97:15 143:2	112:1,18,21	224:12 244:22
233:4,6,20,20	194:18 272:6	114:3,22 116:4	246:21 252:6
234:6,14,22	modeling 14:9	116:15,23	254:11 255:21
235:14,16	14:24 20:16,21	117:7,10,11,13	256:5 257:12
237:20,23	25:16,21 26:17	118:8,9,18	258:10 259:14
238:13,15,16	28:23 29:14,23	120:17 122:1,6	259:20 260:1,5
238:23 239:14	30:9 31:1,4	124:7 126:11	260:14,18
239:15,25	32:10,18 33:15	126:13,18	262:5,9,18,21
240:19,20	33:21 34:13,13	127:12 128:3	262:22 264:19
242:4,6,23	34:20,21 35:4	136:3,16 137:1	264:24 265:13
244:13,16	36:12 39:3,5	140:10,12	265:18,23
246:16 247:13	41:13,25 44:1	141:17,21,23	266:12 267:22
248:2 260:7	44:25 45:12,18	144:15,18	268:6,24
261:5,10 263:4	46:13 50:2	145:5 147:3	269:22,23
263:15,15,20	51:2,6 52:12	149:2,17	270:2,10,14
268:2 270:14	52:20 53:5	150:10,20	271:3,7 272:1
270:21 272:13	56:5,8,13,13,14	153:2,7,8	272:2 275:7,11

275:24 276:6,7	modification	201:24 203:22	moving 12:13
278:3,6 280:12	94:2	205:21,25	230:4 261:19
281:3 283:9	modifying	206:4 209:17	mt 2:5
288:11 291:10	192:6	212:14 230:19	mt3dms 260:12
296:19 298:3	moment 28:24	238:25	261:5 274:2
304:9 306:13	56:7 63:7	months 178:23	277:17 278:25
308:16 309:15	147:16 148:25	180:2 203:24	279:7,10,13,22
310:11,16	183:7 313:14	255:2	280:5,14
312:1,16,21	moments 146:5	morning 4:17	281:12
315:16 317:4	mona 3:21	4:18 13:23	multi 261:11
317:17	monitor 74:4	17:14,15	multimedia
modelings	monte 242:1,2	296:10 303:6	59:11 114:16
270:11	242:15 243:10	morris 1:11 4:7	multiphase
models 38:3	243:19 244:3,5	4:10,13,24	261:11
39:13 43:7	244:13	18:5,6 22:1	multiple 23:2
51:15,20 56:21	montford	24:9 273:24	55:23 59:11
72:7,10 106:23	152:8 153:12	274:3,4 291:25	61:11 73:13
107:13 121:14	153:17,24	296:1 302:21	173:19 218:18
121:15 122:14	154:6 155:8,15	323:4 324:2,7	220:9,9 231:22
122:15 126:23	155:21,25	324:12	233:9,12
185:11 187:8	157:3,9 158:4	morris's 296:9	murrells
187:22 189:18	161:7,18	morriston 75:4	322:13
189:21 190:19	month 188:10	mortality	mustafa 27:23
191:7,18	188:13,14,14	144:16 306:3	59:14 77:13,21
192:23 195:12	188:18 203:23	motley 2:3 3:18	77:23 114:9,12
210:4,15	203:25,25	motleyrice.com	muted 5:9
211:14,15,18	239:1	2:6,6	mutual 257:5
216:19 218:6	monthly 60:15	move 91:18	n
219:6 237:1,5	95:8,22,24	231:8 232:15	n 2:23 3:7,11
246:21,22	96:4,15 137:2	287:22 292:10	5:2 124:19
250:7 251:12	186:20 187:2	moved 268:21	194:25 323:1
251:13 260:12	187:23,25	movement	name 4:22,24
264:4 265:21	188:3,9,21	184:13,19	4:25,25 5:4,14
269:22 276:16	189:1,12,22	moves 231:7	6:23 7:3 10:13
277:20 280:13	190:18 191:6		12:15,20 28:2
			12.13,20 20.2

Page 53 [name - new]

35:20 57:5	naval 310:1	296:14	206:4 248:6
78:9,14 105:8			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	navy 103:2,9	need 5:23 8:21	266:4 300:17
110:3 125:1	103:12,17	22:19 40:7	312:10
139:14 192:15	104:3,8,14	44:25 62:15,16	needs 5:10
201:15 248:14	105:12 106:2	62:20 90:4	170:11 257:14
302:11,14,15	106:19 107:1	93:8 94:19	296:7
named 292:23	107:18 109:11	103:25 117:16	negative 258:9
319:17	109:15 125:15	119:1,10	negatively
names 51:9	127:21 152:21	128:10 147:2	255:20
115:13 124:16	172:9,9 242:22	159:18 163:5	negotiated
124:17 128:22	244:24 245:5	163:21 179:5	295:25
131:15 143:13	255:18 307:18	183:13 189:11	negotiations
193:12,20	308:2,15	196:1 210:25	109:6
nametag	310:10 315:18	212:10 227:17	neither 189:17
304:14	navy's 243:16	232:23 247:20	215:22 322:8
nas 245:17,18	311:6	250:25 258:14	network 84:3
246:4,10	nc 2:13	258:17 274:12	184:21
national 49:1	nceh 146:1	285:24 287:8	never 21:3 60:3
86:8 87:3	ncr 252:10	292:2,3 298:18	83:5 95:1
138:7 192:1	nd 197:11,11	309:13 310:7	103:13,16,19
244:20,24	197:12 266:7	311:17 313:5	104:8 105:4
245:12,13,14	266:13,19	313:14	107:8 109:12
245:15 249:8	267:22	needed 46:9	109:13 134:21
284:17 310:14	ne 2:23 3:7,11	55:2,6 56:16	137:6 139:10
310:15	near 47:2	62:15 71:18	139:14 145:17
nationally	necessarily	73:2 75:5	149:24 157:23
119:6	24:23 34:20	76:14,14 105:6	297:24 299:1
native 319:3	57:13 63:25	105:7 106:9,23	316:4 317:12
natural 43:10	105:18 190:9	114:24 115:9	321:6
142:18 230:12	194:16 203:7	115:23 117:2	nevertheless
231:5	220:11 231:20	126:21,22	274:8
naturally 64:8	233:7 271:20	127:16 143:25	new 2:9 41:17
nature 69:6	281:2	147:23,24	45:4,17 56:25
169:13 218:3	necessary	154:16,16,18	58:16,24 60:11
271:9	140:12 202:19	161:22 163:6	60:16 61:20
	I .	1	

[new - object] Page 54

64:7,9 66:17	nontechnical	npl 49:2 71:9	numbered
66:24 67:2,21	184:3	86:24 87:14,15	129:13
72:20 73:21	noon 113:21,22	nrc 245:11,12	numbers 10:12
90:3,4 91:6	norfolk 5:1	247:9 248:1	96:9 105:13,16
116:4,25 152:9	normal 209:3	250:1,14 252:5	122:9 180:21
153:13 157:15	normally	252:18 253:4,5	233:14 275:14
186:12 221:6	208:24	253:8,9 254:2	313:24
294:5	north 1:1,14	254:23 256:3,7	numerical
newer 149:23	2:17 4:6 11:16	257:4,5,13	25:21 26:16
news 132:11	85:3 133:24	307:15,25	27:14 28:23
nfec 310:1	181:20 199:25	310:14 311:17	184:7 208:14
niagara 41:22	217:4 225:14	312:15,20	numerous
42:25	252:19 282:19	nrc's 246:15	266:25
nice 249:10	325:13 326:5	254:15 258:8	nuts 306:7
313:2	326:17	nuclear 69:2	nw 3:3
night 117:16	northeast	number 13:18	ny 2:9
316:17	230:11	18:24 28:3	0
nine 107:21	northwest	30:21 45:23	o 3:10 4:25 5:2
146:22 213:21	39:14 40:25	48:15 49:6	5:2 110:14
nods 8:9	notary 322:4	51:8 53:7	124:19 194:25
non 151:14	note 13:19	55:12 59:1	194:25
197:12,14,23	139:3 159:3	61:12 74:3,14	oak 112:9
197:25 198:6	231:24	89:21 96:8,10	oath 7:16 20:2
238:12 252:11	noted 33:8	110:5 117:12	obama 134:9
260:14 262:2,7	notice 153:16	126:4 165:17	135:9
262:17,25	159:7	169:10,10	object 29:24
263:6 266:7,19	noticed 238:8	171:5,6,8,12	32:19 34:15
266:22,25	notifications	193:7,7 196:12	60:4 92:9 93:4
267:2,6,11,15	309:5	200:7 209:18	95:10,16 132:1
268:23,25	noting 6:10	210:18 213:8	134:14,14
nonexistent	novel 61:22,25	248:3 250:14	136:6,8,12,20
185:12	73:8,12,22	266:21 268:5	138:13 145:9
nonprofit	74:9 212:25,25	274:17 275:10	147:10 152:14
245:18,20	november	277:14 313:19	155:18 156:14
	38:23 141:12	318:22	159:8 164:4

[object - okay] Page 55

165:3 169:23	objectivity	obviously 16:7	officer 53:20,23
190:1,21	97:14	42:6 84:6	54:13,24 56:9
202:22 209:22	objects 12:10	91:21 111:6	70:23 74:24
211:20 215:7	323:23	118:25 119:5	76:9 84:10
215:11,24	obligation	143:11 239:5	94:14 263:12
220:20 226:22	159:18	289:15 291:17	264:5 272:23
230:20 231:16	observable	299:18	offices 291:6
236:17 237:4	181:2 182:21	occasionally	official 48:4
246:6 249:17	observed 42:4	80:13 121:9	76:21 111:1
251:6 261:22	59:2 176:24	306:18	145:14 311:16
262:10 275:20	182:21 195:15	occupation	322:12
276:9,25	195:25 197:16	132:13	officially
286:19 287:16	197:22 198:5	occur 188:13	127:25 311:7
289:12 290:13	198:12 199:1	occurred	offline 205:13
297:9 298:24	201:3,12,20	284:22	offsite 86:19,21
299:12 307:5,6	207:23 208:17	occurrence	oh 9:17 10:15
309:12,16	208:22 224:22	265:24 321:7	13:3,10 19:9
311:3 312:5	226:4,6,13,19	occurring 64:8	24:14 60:25
321:3	227:7,10,21,23	occurs 279:2	68:16 83:1,20
objection 23:22	227:23 228:20	october 287:23	98:24 110:10
31:9,16,22	228:23 231:13	288:10,17	133:8 159:25
33:7 92:17	231:14 232:12	305:7	160:18 171:10
93:12 94:18	243:7 270:23	odd 278:2	177:7 179:8
139:2 191:10	299:1,14	offhand 51:9	182:6 194:3
203:5 215:21	observing	143:13	198:3 204:25
286:24 287:7	78:19	office 16:2	207:9 214:23
287:19	obtain 74:4	35:19 38:11	219:14,14
objections	103:20 188:8	53:16 55:17	221:7 225:8
31:24 33:9	236:10	71:9 105:9,9	239:8,19 263:8
216:1	obtained 37:14	107:18 192:8	268:7 270:3
objective 121:5	103:15,22,23	192:14 193:5	298:12 313:17
224:8 231:18	233:21	193:10,23	318:6 319:23
249:20	obtaining	282:18 300:2,5	okay 4:24 5:12
objectives	100:15 128:11	316:18	5:21 6:22 7:6
115:9	251:14		7:20,24 8:5,8

[okay - okay] Page 56

8:13,19 9:9,17	71:2 72:25	128:2 129:2,5	166:11,15,22
9:19,23,25	73:20 74:11,19	129:18,18	166:25 167:5
10:21,24 11:4	74:21 75:12	130:5,10	167:13 168:8
11:8,13,23	76:2 77:3,8,16	131:12,16,20	169:5 170:16
12:2,6,19 13:3	77:20 78:3,11	131:12,10,20	170:23 171:9
13:10,13 14:6	79:10,14,22	132:0,14,18	170.23 171.9
14:13,19 15:4	80:16 81:1,5	134:23 135:1,5	171:15,17,17
15:11,20 16:14	81:21 83:1,2,2	134.23 133.1,3	171:13,17,17
17:16,25,25	, ,	, ,	171:25 172:4
· · ·	83:3,6,17,20	136:2,24 137:7	
18:13,21 19:9	85:6,9,18,19,20	137:18,21,22	172:10,11,18
19:15,25 20:5	85:23 86:3,15	138:12,23	173:3,3,3,8,10
20:8,24 21:5,8	86:16 87:2,8	139:11,18	173:25 174:11
21:11,18,21	87:18 88:11,14	140:5,22	174:24 175:2,6
22:17,18,21	88:20 89:6,14	141:20 142:9	175:10 176:8
23:16,21 24:5	90:17 92:22,25	142:12,18,23	176:23 177:1,7
24:14,21 25:4	95:6 96:1,9,19	143:5,10,18	177:20 178:3
28:3,9 29:8,13	96:24 97:2,22	144:3,6,10	179:1,16,18
29:17,21 30:4	98:20,24 99:2	145:3,22 147:2	180:5,9,17,22
32:14 33:17	101:5,10 102:6	148:5,18,20,24	181:7 182:19
34:10 35:14,18	102:14,19	149:10,21	183:6,11,15,18
37:19 38:5	103:11,19	150:1,5,15,16	185:3 186:25
39:4 40:5	104:1,24 105:4	150:16,17	187:10 188:3
41:12 42:16	106:1 108:6,8	151:17,25	188:16 189:4
46:23 47:18	108:24 109:5	152:19 153:6	189:16,24
48:2 49:6 50:2	109:11,15	153:15 154:20	190:14 192:10
50:11,25 51:20	110:16,19	155:12 156:3,8	194:13 195:6
54:13 56:1,19	111:15 113:7	156:17,22	195:11,14,22
57:14,18,23	114:1 115:7,21	157:6,12,25	196:16,20,24
58:7 59:3,6,17	117:9 119:18	158:1,19,22,23	197:6,7,7,15
59:23 60:8,9	119:21 120:8	159:1,12,20,24	198:5,5,5,7,8
61:1,6 62:9	120:11 121:10	160:11,18	198:10,17,18
63:1 64:4,16	122:3,18 123:8	161:1,8,12,24	199:14 200:9,9
65:13 66:10,15	124:17 125:3,7	162:18,24	200:16,21
67:14 68:1,8	125:21 126:4	163:18,19,23	201:2,16
70:3,7,10,13,19	126:21,24	164:24 166:4	202:11,14

204:18,24	241:3,18,19	297:15 298:16	onsite 187:7
205:1,16	242:15 243:14	299:19 300:14	onslow 152:8
206:12,19,21	243:18,19,21	300:22 301:7	153:12 155:4
206:21,23	243:24 244:19	301:15,23	open 25:23
207:7,7,11	244:23 245:6,6	303:10,25	112:14 125:17
208:12,13,16	245:9,14,21,23	304:22 305:22	125:17 256:22
208:25 209:6	245:25 247:25	306:6 307:19	259:24
209:11 212:6	248:12,20	308:7 309:13	opened 85:4
212:12,18	251:16 253:1	310:5,10	236:16
213:17,19,23	253:12,25	311:17,19	opening 165:25
213:25 214:14	254:21 255:23	312:15,19,22	259:24
214:20,23	256:1,13 258:2	313:5,13,25	operari 126:22
216:17 217:6	258:19 259:1	314:2,13	operate 57:14
217:20 218:13	259:11,19	317:21,25	203:15 206:10
218:15 219:10	261:3,5 262:25	318:15 320:11	operated
219:12,18,19	263:2,23 265:7	old 172:9	203:21,22,23
219:20,22,23	266:4 267:3	omissions	203:24 205:12
220:1,6,7,12,14	268:3,4,13,25	89:21	operating
220:24 221:2	270:4 271:21	omitting	172:23 203:19
221:10,12	273:5,8,11,12	207:13	204:3,8 205:23
222:6,13,24	274:2 275:6,9	once 73:1 193:5	206:7 235:18
223:8,16	275:10,24	205:8,11	235:22 315:17
224:13,25	276:2 278:5,7	210:24 230:12	operation
225:7,9,23,24	278:8,10,13	230:24 244:14	166:8,12 172:2
226:1,9 227:5	280:17 281:21	306:16	177:21 210:8
227:6,10,15,16	281:22 282:2	ones 17:6 51:10	238:8
227:19 229:15	282:23 283:6	176:14 221:5	operational
229:18,20,22	283:20,23	290:6 318:8	85:8 197:19
229:24 230:1,7	284:2 285:8	ongoing 20:22	204:17 235:3
231:20 232:4	286:2,4,10	65:9 288:11	operations
232:19 233:17	287:20 288:6	online 74:11	104:22,23
234:7,11	291:1,7,11,14	173:5 205:20	155:24 196:2
237:11 238:4	292:10,18	240:13	210:19 224:18
239:2,22 240:8	293:1 294:16	onset 39:23	235:5 279:25
240:11,25	295:3,6,16		281:10

opinion 30:12	organizations	overpredictive	182:12,14
32:25 33:6	298:17 310:24	209:9	197:2,5,5
49:3 51:8	organizer	overpredicts	201:10 202:4
92:11 93:5,9	296:13 298:7	208:16 228:5	204:18,22
93:22 94:6,7	298:13,21	231:18 232:20	205:1 206:19
136:8 220:21	oriented 74:10	233:5	206:22,24
220:22 260:16	origin 71:23	oversaw 94:7	208:5,13 212:3
262:4,7,11,16	original 15:1,8	oversee 76:11	213:4,6,15,18
262:20 268:20	126:17 130:21	overseeing	214:10 219:15
277:9 312:6	130:23 220:17	55:14 79:3	220:4,5 222:19
opinions 93:10	originally	own 61:2 72:10	222:22 223:3,7
93:21 260:3	106:18 286:21	78:7	225:23,25
267:8 269:3	originating	owned 36:22	226:8 229:17
298:18	63:4	61:10	232:4 250:19
opportunity	orise 112:9,12	owner 235:2	252:18 270:16
19:12 38:9	116:2	p	287:15 319:9
118:14,15	orris 132:16	101.10	320:12 323:3
170:12 242:23	outline 180:24	p 121:13 p.m. 120:2,5	323:14 324:12
247:8,25	outright 264:1	148:12,15	pages 13:22
311:16	264:10,19	179:10,13	19:16 22:13
opposed 272:9	outside 162:5	221:15,18	23:2,3 177:6
opposite	235:8 236:6	258:21,24	229:16,17
249:23	267:6	313:9,12	paho 112:7
order 97:14	outstanding	314:17 321:13	paid 106:2,6
149:7 228:10	287:15	page 18:24	109:16,17
228:11 241:10	overestimating	19:1,11,20,23	pan 112:6
296:8	265:9	22:9 24:8	panel 89:9
orders 228:11	overpredict	133:12 149:22	123:1,4,9,12,13
279:3 296:8	226:20 227:14	149:23 150:3	123:24,24
organic 50:9	228:3 234:4	150:19,25	124:2,5,12,14
139:23 151:11	overpredicting	151:6 164:14	124:20 125:4
164:8	208:21 234:1	167:19 170:17	192:1,11,14
organization	overprediction	170:20,21,22	238:6 310:4
14:17	209:2	170:24,25,25	panels 129:21
		170.24,23,23	192:18 256:21
		1/1.3,0,14,44	

[panglia - pce] Page 59

panglia 285:2	242:4 244:16	28:18 30:10	past 51:18,21
pants 151:7	270:21 272:7	32:12,25 33:16	51:23 57:16,16
paper 26:3	277:18	45:9 47:6 50:6	90:23 91:10
27:25 74:10	parametrizati	50:14 64:2	133:3 177:19
311:11	122:10	67:13 72:12,12	185:12 231:9
papers 14:14	parcel 75:9	83:14,16 85:24	pasting 284:25
29:3	parents 131:22	145:4 168:4	path 42:24
paradise 172:7	park 41:17,18	169:7 182:12	pathway 48:12
172:20 178:5	42:13 167:3	202:10 260:8	50:18
paragraph	173:3 178:5	263:10 297:3	patience 4:20
139:20 140:5	part 29:6 36:2	particularly	patrick 2:16
140:22 144:5	40:4 41:17	60:2	pause 9:11
145:3 162:18	53:15 68:17	parties 65:7	pavilion 166:1
162:24 164:15	72:7 75:9	143:25	pay 136:25
205:6 207:5	97:13 103:17	partner 55:15	pcbs 75:6,13
249:7 254:17	123:14 130:25	55:19,19,21,23	pce 50:9 141:13
254:19,21	131:7,7 161:15	56:18 72:9,20	165:19 167:13
255:5,23 256:1	161:16 172:17	76:12 77:10	167:17,24
257:2,10	174:21 208:14	110:5 265:6	168:5 173:15
263:24 269:21	212:15 229:4	278:7	173:21,24
270:9 281:7	241:5 245:13	partnered	174:15 186:21
282:2 290:1	245:20 273:10	55:25 56:2	187:12,18
300:1 315:6,11	278:19 299:2	partners 59:7	195:20 198:4
paralegal	partain 129:1	114:9 261:10	199:10 207:9
288:13 291:12	130:19 131:6,9	parts 60:10	218:9,11
293:3	131:20,24	70:9 141:15	225:17 229:19
parameter	132:4	party 322:9	231:7 235:7,25
110:15 120:22	participation	passage 136:18	236:1,6,12,14
121:4 122:7	130:2,9 296:22	passed 135:14	236:15 260:10
242:7 243:21	316:23	146:15 302:23	260:24,25
260:6 263:13	particle 41:20	passing 136:5	261:5,8,11,18
270:19 271:8	42:22,23	301:20	262:23 263:2
272:10 278:9	particles 27:8	passionate	265:9 279:9,18
parameters	particular	299:3	279:25 280:2
42:9 121:4	25:13 26:13		281:10,14

	1		1
326:23	perc 165:14	110:12 140:14	pertaining
pdf 19:2,11,21	perceive 260:3	141:12 177:9	254:9
242:9	perceived	188:18 191:16	pertinences
peachtree 5:2	260:4	204:5 235:13	155:25
peanuts 289:21	percent 242:19	296:10	pest 110:14
289:25 290:8	249:23 277:16	periodical	121:12,21
291:18	percentage	32:25	ph.d. 28:10,14
peer 52:6 185:9	63:4	permanently	28:19,25 29:4
191:20,22,24	percentile 96:6	205:13	phase 100:1
192:9,13,16,25	96:7,21 242:17	permit 12:10	190:4 231:22
193:6,15,17,21	242:18	43:18 323:23	252:11,16,21
195:2 243:2	perception	perri 98:17,21	philosophical
peers 274:19	125:8	98:22 99:4,10	251:10
281:23	perchloroeth	124:22 188:20	phone 282:19
pending 8:23	165:15,18	296:5	289:2,9
8:24 11:15	perform 26:17	person 23:14	phones 308:24
322:10	41:12 66:22	84:3 89:12	309:1
people 8:16	67:3 90:19,22	98:7,9,16	photos 305:11
33:19 40:11	90:24 91:3	120:19 189:25	305:14,17
47:2 55:2	202:16,19	216:23 217:10	phrase 184:1
60:15 67:9,12	performance	248:4,10 277:1	physical 60:24
71:25 76:21,23	119:15,22	278:3 289:18	physically
105:3 110:5	performed	person's 92:7	244:17 282:17
111:14 124:15	52:23 58:4,8,9	93:2 94:16	pick 266:13
124:24 127:23	69:13 87:20	personal 33:23	267:22
143:2 145:19	101:7 153:8	138:17 289:23	picture 258:1
154:4 184:4	155:14 183:10	312:6	pinnacle
185:7 190:4,8	183:21 237:23	personally	307:18
193:8 237:18	291:10	34:24 132:14	pipeline 153:19
248:3 256:19	performing	300:3 303:25	155:22 161:17
272:15 291:14	225:1 265:18	316:4	161:18 162:13
293:24,25	period 31:15	personnel	184:21
300:18 310:24	58:9 63:9,14	38:12 53:16	pipelines 57:9
321:5	65:5 75:18	perspective	63:19 184:19
	92:21 99:3	278:5	

	T		
pipes 72:22	237:17 238:1	47:13 86:18	201:19 202:12
place 58:10	238:10,13	93:22 96:12,20	204:2,19
94:9 143:15	239:12,16,19	107:22,25	209:21 210:15
236:3,6 247:22	239:20,21	108:2,16 109:2	211:15 217:14
257:3	240:2,7 261:20	115:3 121:15	217:20 218:16
plaintiff's	plants 68:18	121:23 122:1,5	219:14,15,25
286:12 318:5	89:5 95:1	122:13,16	222:10,18,20
318:12,14,22	139:25 151:13	127:19 138:20	222:21 223:6
plaintiffs 2:2	151:14,18	139:24 140:25	223:19 230:15
5:24 6:2,4	164:17,20	145:25 151:7	240:10,25
11:25 31:12	199:24 202:1	151:25 152:8	255:9,15 266:1
32:4,9 34:11	315:7,12,25	153:13,17,24	266:13,24
78:20 159:8	316:5 326:3	154:6 155:8,15	267:1,23 269:2
283:7 307:21	plate 162:14	155:21 156:1	270:24 278:16
311:24	platform	157:3,10 158:4	281:24 290:20
plan 43:16	253:15	161:7,11,19	290:21 311:9,9
99:19,21	pleasant 2:5	164:2 165:10	311:15 312:17
100:13 106:4	please 4:11 8:2	165:16 166:2	312:22 326:2
107:16 118:25	8:9,14 35:14	172:2,5,7,10,19	pointed 252:17
planning 41:9	85:10 102:23	172:20,23	262:20 268:10
41:10,11 42:13	144:10 158:24	173:12,13,18	pointing
42:17 43:3,21	171:21 282:7	173:21 174:19	215:20 247:1
46:5 74:7	293:10 302:21	174:19 177:2,2	points 40:19
100:1	318:3	177:8,13 178:6	110:11 152:1
plans 100:9	plf 318:17	178:14,19,20	153:1 178:13
164:16 240:21	323:15	179:23,24	196:11,15,17
plant 65:12	plot 218:9	184:10,10	196:21 224:23
69:5 128:15	plume 70:1	187:1,3,6,11,17	226:5,5 231:14
169:17 178:15	218:9 229:19	189:18 190:14	232:14 268:15
178:16,21	229:19 230:10	191:20 192:3	policy 130:11
179:25 200:11	plus 228:10	192:11 195:7	136:4,17,24
200:24,25	241:9 270:25	195:10 198:11	145:7 194:16
209:20 232:25	271:1	198:13 199:3	272:2
233:2,5,7,8	point 15:15,17	199:11,17,23	political 135:25
234:2,10 237:2	20:21 40:8	200:25 201:14	250:21 269:12

296:11 298:6,9	potentially	preliminary	17:1 24:1,22
298:14,18,22	203:4	139:22	117:25 300:19
299:2,8,11,16	potus 302:24	premises 12:11	304:13 305:18
299:20	power 35:16	323:24	presented
politicians	36:9,22 37:14	preparation	97:16 142:4
269:16	42:3 80:15	17:12	247:16,17,19
pool 193:22	powerpoint	prepare 16:18	250:15,17
194:4 195:3	82:6,20 317:12	17:20,23,23	254:8 310:3
poor 209:1	317:15 318:18	316:12	president 134:8
populating	320:17,22	prepared 142:2	135:9
83:8 150:2	323:16 324:16	preparing 83:8	pressure 73:14
population	prabhakar	256:8	74:3 263:25
60:23	248:11	present 3:14	264:18 265:10
populations	practice 74:10	6:6 31:16	265:12,16
95:2 190:4	practices 80:3	37:18,23 39:20	269:12,13
portal 107:5	236:5	40:23 51:16	271:14
portier 138:6	praise 249:10	57:15,21,22,24	pressures
139:15,16	pre 186:15	75:25 118:6,13	36:21 37:6
pose 55:7	196:22,22	156:20 181:21	pressuring
position 35:25	predevelopm	185:20 201:23	269:18
36:1 44:9	39:18 40:14	211:9 216:13	pressurized
53:12 112:13	186:14	225:15 231:19	184:19
112:14 164:22	predicted	247:11 325:15	presume
positions	106:16	326:19	138:15
291:15	predicting 46:9	presentation	presumption
possible 7:14	263:4	14:17 16:6	133:21
270:23 282:7	predictions	75:20 82:20	presumptions
possibly 279:3	37:12 51:17	83:4,5,8,16,18	134:12
280:3	predictive 43:2	84:23 247:18	previous 197:4
post 284:22,23	43:4 210:7	254:5 256:14	208:13 221:22
poster 290:1	predicts 208:15	295:21,25	295:23 296:4
292:1,8	prefer 189:2	296:2,9 297:13	previously
potential 47:1	preferred	297:21	60:10 149:6
88:9 220:8,10	272:4	presentations	152:23
221:1,5		15:17 16:23	

	I	1	I
primarily	pro 246:13	91:18 104:2	professional
124:8,11 174:9	proactive	125:17,19	35:9 79:22
186:21 187:11	146:20,24	127:2 191:24	80:16,17,20
187:18 257:23	probabilistic	193:16 206:11	112:17 113:17
primary 62:10	51:12 53:9	210:3 218:1	322:4
102:10,12	72:8 75:3	233:18 265:17	professionally
165:13 169:3	112:19 211:2,7	produce 12:9	30:20 115:18
175:14	probability	14:3 15:25	124:22 251:21
principal 115:6	242:3,9 244:15	23:18 49:4,9	303:23
principles	probably 38:13	159:19 212:10	professionals
281:13	44:16 53:7	244:10 323:22	303:22 315:16
printed 16:6	58:5 59:5 61:5	produced	professor 28:4
24:16	96:25 105:16	16:12 17:1	59:18 114:12
printoff 314:14	109:1 112:11	36:8 69:7	284:11
prior 17:9	116:11 126:3,9	88:21 138:15	professors
19:25 20:8,24	145:25 214:16	149:1 159:6	27:23
21:11 25:6	215:16 247:16	172:17 210:22	profile 24:7,8
32:8 33:12,25	269:1,17 271:6	286:20 307:23	24:19,24
34:10 44:4	282:24 292:7	produces 37:5	324:12
64:16 103:5	308:10	37:6	profiles 47:4,7
104:25 131:12	problem 31:10	producing 14:1	47:9
140:15 144:14	88:10 93:18	47:4 68:18	program 26:14
168:15 169:6	problems	161:21 264:24	26:18 38:13,15
174:18 185:23	127:12	product 173:24	38:16 39:9
199:3 212:20	procedure	production	53:16,19,21,24
239:13 245:2	112:7 275:12	13:18,21,21	54:1,10,14,25
246:7 286:16	276:6,8	15:13 138:24	55:4,10 56:10
priorities 49:1	procedures	productions	70:24 71:3,5,6
86:8 87:3	211:23 212:1	138:19	71:24 73:5
private 36:8	212:12	products 102:8	74:25 75:10
70:4 79:2	proceed 101:13	165:16,21	76:10 84:7,9
110:7	200:20	167:17,24	94:8,15,21
privately 61:10	process 15:23	168:5 186:22	104:2 118:24
privy 306:20	52:19 58:13,18	260:25 261:13	122:12
	60:1,9 90:1		
	l .	l .	

programs	316:17	216:25 217:18	publicizing
65:11	proprietary	217:22 218:13	296:18
progress	79:4	248:6 254:12	publicly 14:15
125:15 127:11	protections	296:18	14:21 27:19,20
255:20	138:22	provides 23:3	44:23 58:6
project 39:8	protective	135:23 160:13	70:6 114:25
51:2 53:20,22	223:24	providing 72:2	144:1 243:3
54:13,24 56:8	proved 161:11	93:22 94:24	272:3,3
57:1 58:4,6,20	307:17	125:15 150:2	publish 27:25
59:4,7 63:9	proven 91:12	153:24 154:1	29:3 55:13
68:23,25 69:22	provide 4:23	156:19 299:5	311:11
70:14,23 74:24	21:6 39:4	provision 125:9	published
76:9 79:18	71:24 79:22	ptelan 2:19	20:17 27:16
83:19 84:6,10	103:13,20	public 49:4,9	28:4 52:3,6
94:14 99:8	104:9 109:13	49:13,16,20,25	54:1 64:14
105:19 106:19	127:10,13,15	52:7,10 56:17	72:6 74:5,6,12
106:20,22	128:16 130:2,9	57:4 87:13,20	74:15 83:11
113:19 119:20	130:12 133:24	87:23 88:15,22	182:25 185:8
128:3 190:5	137:6 139:21	90:7,8,8,10,13	250:4,18
216:14 263:12	162:19,21	90:18 104:20	301:20 306:1
263:12 264:5,6	163:6,11 166:2	129:24 131:4	310:20
272:23 275:7	188:23 189:1	138:16 184:3	publishing
projects 69:12	192:3 233:22	194:15,16	100:19
69:24 78:18	264:2	224:10 246:25	pull 9:4 10:13
80:11 251:11	provided 7:8	256:13,23	12:7 81:8 87:1
promoted	89:4 103:8	264:23,25	126:1 128:23
38:14,17 53:14	107:8 117:16	284:12 296:23	133:10 137:7
53:18	123:19 125:13	305:21 322:4	170:1,4,14
proper 212:11	134:22 137:1	publication	197:3 273:12
properties 37:4	142:13 145:14	27:18 108:11	283:11 294:10
40:15 42:10	151:13 154:6,9	145:15	294:11 318:10
196:3	154:14 156:5	publications	pulled 16:3
proposed 45:16	159:7,14 163:2	23:4 38:15	82:14,17 83:18
71:6,21 99:19	164:16 189:14	55:12 61:16	129:8 138:2,25
99:22 106:18	193:25 206:4	246:11	200:23 201:3,4

put 8:23 10:6,7	191:8 217:8	215:8,12,19,21
37:4 42:5	266:6,18	220:17,21
55:18 79:20	quantitatively	226:23 228:1
85:18 98:9	191:13	236:18 237:5
104:2 118:11	quarter 28:16	239:4,12,13
118:17 123:18	quarterly	241:20 246:7
148:24 183:7	127:11 128:23	249:18 251:3,7
197:16,17,18	265:11	261:23 262:11
201:21 203:8	question 7:25	262:14 264:18
205:9,11	7:25 8:1,6,15	272:11 275:21
229:12 232:1	8:23,24 29:25	276:10 277:1
235:8 240:24	31:11,17,23	286:6 289:13
244:2 255:25	32:20,22 33:3	290:14 297:10
265:3 278:14	33:10 34:16	298:25 299:13
297:17	36:25 40:25	310:21,23
puts 315:3	41:3 45:24	311:4,13,14
putting 56:6,20	49:16 60:5	312:12,14
74:22,23 76:4	67:16 68:21	316:8
123:6 176:11	72:12 83:3	questioned
181:25 182:7	92:10,25 93:5	278:7
235:9	93:17,20 94:1	questioning
q	95:4,11,17	113:23
110.5	97:3 104:5	questions 12:2
_	128:14 132:2	14:6 31:15
	134:15 136:7,8	32:23 34:19
	136:9,21 139:8	55:6 88:5
-	145:10 147:11	92:20 117:23
	152:15 155:19	147:20 183:9
_	161:9 164:5	212:20 215:3
	165:4 179:21	216:3 222:9
-	179:22,22	234:12,14
	190:2,22 191:5	256:6 296:3
-	195:12 209:23	307:24 313:7
	211:21 213:20	316:11 320:23
71:19 190:20	214:3,4,7	
	37:4 42:5 55:18 79:20 85:18 98:9 104:2 118:11 118:17 123:18 148:24 183:7 197:16,17,18 201:21 203:8 205:9,11 229:12 232:1 235:8 240:24 244:2 255:25 265:3 278:14 297:17 puts 315:3 putting 56:6,20 74:22,23 76:4 123:6 176:11 181:25 182:7 235:9 q qr 149:25 qualification 34:3 qualify 49:14 221:21 qualitative 190:20 191:8 quality 203:10 207:19 quantify 91:10 218:1 quantitative	37:4 42:5 266:6,18 55:18 79:20 quantitatively 85:18 98:9 191:13 104:2 118:11 quarter 28:16 118:17 123:18 quarterly 127:11 128:23 265:11 201:21 203:8 question 7:25 205:9,11 325:8 240:24 235:8 240:24 31:11,17,23 244:2 255:25 32:20,22 33:3 265:3 278:14 33:10 34:16 297:17 36:25 40:25 puts 315:3 49:16 60:5 74:22,23 76:4 49:16 60:5 123:6 176:11 72:12 83:3 181:25 182:7 92:10,25 93:5 235:9 93:17,20 94:1 q 95:4,11,17 97:3 104:5 128:14 132:2 134:15 136:7,8 136:9,21 139:8 145:10 147:11 152:15 155:19 161:9 164:5 165:4 179:21 179:22,22 190:2,22 191:5 195:12 209:23 211:21 213:20 214:3 4 7

quibble 309:13	154:25 211:3	141:1,18,19	267:9,11,12
quick 75:5	211:10 241:6	142:24 145:1	268:5,5 272:10
148:7 221:11	241:10,16	149:19 150:17	279:9
quickly 138:11	242:16 266:9	151:15 152:7	realistic 244:6
139:18 140:23	272:21	161:24 162:16	244:10,18
146:3 152:7	ranging 227:20	162:22 163:13	270:20
158:3 166:4	260:14 262:2	169:6 170:6	realization
179:18 234:19	rapid 266:2	214:20 237:7	244:5
236:24 241:3	rasa 39:9	246:10 249:13	realizations
quite 226:21	rate 81:3	254:17,19	242:11 243:13
258:12 280:3	243:22 260:10	255:3 257:8,18	244:9
quotations	260:22 261:18	260:19 266:15	really 5:23
98:19	261:25 262:23	266:22 274:15	17:22 45:9
quote 169:8	267:18 268:22	275:1,15	62:19 67:16
260:5 269:22	270:16 273:1,2	276:20 277:22	69:3 76:1 95:3
278:18 279:9	274:5 277:19	280:7 281:25	98:18 105:4
315:12	278:21,25	282:9 289:4	113:5 123:16
r	279:5,6,7,9,10	292:5,7 293:11	124:6,21 132:3
r 2:3 4:25,25	279:16,20,21	296:25 297:2	133:5 135:3
5:2 110:14	279:22,24	302:2 303:1,8	145:16 147:12
124:19	281:8,11,17	311:8 321:15	151:23 152:2
r.e. 116:12	rates 263:18,23	reading 100:25	181:5 183:23
rainfall 46:2,2	277:20 279:3	171:17 177:4	185:14 218:23
raised 243:6	280:4	214:25 232:9	230:22 246:9
raising 310:21	rather 197:17	277:7	263:1 266:1
raleigh 2:13	275:14 281:17	readings 64:10	275:18 291:7
ramifications	reach 153:8	64:13	293:24
136:1	reached 50:17	reads 215:15	rear 3:24
ran 241:5	reactor 238:14	255:23 257:2	reason 7:20
242:6	read 12:16	263:24 271:10	44:25 105:15
randall 29:19	103:3,4 130:3	289:20 290:1	152:5,9,13,17
randomly	133:19 134:2	ready 221:24	152:19,20
242:9	134:21 135:3,3	real 138:11	156:9 157:20
range 133:9,14	135:10 140:3	148:6 195:15	159:14 164:25
152:8 153:11	140:17,22	198:12 199:1	165:7 169:3

206:25 207:10	168:24,25	recess 66:5	216:10 246:22
207:11 228:7	173:17 176:19	120:3 148:13	reconstructed
271:25 272:11	180:23,23	179:11 221:16	73:25 95:24
reasonable	181:1 194:3,5	313:10	96:13 211:4
268:17 279:19	194:6 196:9	recipients	reconstructing
281:15	198:11,25	284:2,4	95:21
reasonably	202:14 217:21	reclassified	reconstruction
279:6	237:14 250:8	38:11	37:24 51:2
reasoning	250:11,12	recognize	52:13,15,17,24
281:13,18	275:5 277:5,6	138:16 144:21	53:21,23 54:14
reasons 210:2	277:7,11	319:8	55:3 56:9
recalibrate	282:11,15	recognized	57:20 58:1,4,8
107:13	289:14,17	119:14 250:20	58:12,13,14,17
recall 14:14	291:16 293:13	recollection	60:2,9 63:8
18:18 30:13	293:18,19	51:1 90:14	65:2,3,11 68:2
45:7 50:8 51:9	297:3,22	110:25 145:4	68:15,23 69:10
51:14,20,24	300:11 302:6	160:21 188:24	69:12,17,23
52:9 53:6,25	302:14 304:23	237:13 245:10	70:24 71:23
56:19,21 57:5	308:3	251:2 280:9	72:15,18 74:17
60:22 61:6	receive 67:12	286:9 308:19	74:20,25 75:1
67:14,20 70:19	97:20 104:18	309:3	75:10 76:4,10
75:19,23 76:1	106:8 118:16	recommend	80:5 83:12,13
77:15 82:21	275:3 315:23	77:18	84:7 90:2 94:8
83:3,6,15	received 13:20	recommendat	94:15,21
89:12,18,22	67:10 104:13	88:12 90:18	118:24 177:15
90:16 96:3	141:12 162:10	123:10 246:12	181:21 185:8
108:4 110:2	235:16 283:7	312:20	185:16,18,19
111:2 112:16	291:17 305:19	recommendat	186:1 187:2,6
113:5,16 114:4	312:4	88:15 123:12	199:20 210:3
120:17 124:13	receiving 62:8	123:23 192:6	225:15 251:3,5
125:6 128:2,22	282:15	193:14,16	281:3 310:22
128:25 131:14	recent 16:22	256:9	325:15,21
132:21 133:4	305:23,25	reconstruct	326:19
143:12 146:6	306:12	39:25 95:12	reconstructions
161:12 168:21		96:14 186:20	69:11

7 / / 22	0 0110	202.10.11	
record 4:1,22	refer 34:18	303:10,11	regular 125:13
5:23 6:6,7,11	101:19,20	refine 271:7	127:10
8:11 13:20	115:19 177:17	refined 93:23	regulation
18:4 23:17,17	220:10 222:18	188:15	45:22
46:19 47:23	242:1,11 248:5	refinement	regulatory 29:7
66:4,7,9 81:25	reference 30:6	189:14	35:21 36:7
82:3,4,10,12	30:8 117:25	reflect 145:4	49:15 67:23
84:18 85:15	246:11	229:2	relate 31:15
102:20 104:20	referenced	reflected 24:2	61:3 208:10
120:2,5,7	30:14 212:9	24:23 25:1	related 5:18
143:11 148:12	references	29:11 172:14	12:3 13:19
148:15,17,25	116:11	255:20	14:10 20:16,21
153:22 158:10	referred 26:22	reflecting	21:9 27:12,14
158:15 159:4	27:5 59:10	230:5,7	28:6 31:19
159:13 179:10	78:1 83:21	reflects 296:6	38:2 41:13
179:13,15	209:12 212:21	reframe 262:14	43:6 45:12
182:8 221:15	215:5,13 221:1	refresh 9:19	46:13 51:6
221:18,24	310:20	10:2 16:23	53:5 55:9 56:5
237:8 239:6,13	referring 10:12	81:13 145:4	56:8 63:14
258:15,18,21	40:24 88:23	refused 103:13	64:1,17 66:22
258:22,24	96:9 101:22	103:19 104:8	68:9 69:2,20
259:1 264:17	102:1,2,11,16	109:12,13	73:7 74:12
274:21 275:4	102:23 108:21	regard 31:20	76:3 90:19
282:6 286:19	114:9 123:25	293:7	91:3 92:3 97:9
305:2 313:9,12	125:11 126:8	regarding	97:21 99:11,18
322:7	143:15 166:12	139:22 277:18	100:6 101:3,6
recorders 74:3	174:22 183:19	regardless	101:7 104:12
records 205:21	183:23 206:17	233:13	106:3,10
206:4 235:3	213:5 215:23	regards 4:4	107:24 108:1
recreate 185:11	216:7,9 267:16	region 64:8	109:8 118:18
reduce 147:21	269:13 275:23	regional 39:8	123:21 128:3
219:3 295:25	276:2,8 277:25	registered	134:13 136:3
reevaluate	289:9 290:16	80:19 322:3	136:16 141:21
55:11	292:9 295:4	registry 46:22	141:23 147:9
	297:23 300:4	138:9 140:7	152:6,10

154:21,24	reliable 29:22	removed 87:15	253:1,4,7,7
155:3,7,14	32:17 33:1,14	230:13,17	255:11 256:8
157:13 176:18	34:12,17 211:1	rename 12:14	258:9 264:2
183:21 243:6	relied 49:8 72:4	render 49:3	265:2 266:22
265:18 283:4,8	88:9 309:10	rene 76:25	267:14 270:7
306:4 322:9	rely 33:19	109:25 112:3	271:12,17,24
relates 1:7	306:12	119:2 217:15	271:25 272:3
47:12 59:23	remaining	rennix 33:4	274:9 277:15
66:16 69:19	108:9 176:11	renowned	278:15 292:4
117:11 122:5	183:3	116:22 121:7	307:15,25
123:9 153:7	remedial 71:12	repeat 92:25	311:17 312:8
210:22 298:23	71:20,21	191:4	312:10 316:17
relation 39:6	remediating	repeating 7:11	reported 1:18
231:14	210:10 219:3	rephrase 31:23	316:1
relationship	remediation	33:10 93:17	reporter 4:11
94:20 309:23	187:7 198:19	134:17 136:9	8:16 318:9
relatively	210:8 216:13	replace 158:17	322:1,4 323:9
140:13	252:18	report 15:8,9	reporter's 8:8
release 115:2	remediations	20:17 74:12,19	8:14
143:24 144:2,2	71:22	76:17,19 80:14	reporting
144:14,16	remember 7:2	101:1 107:8,24	132:12
272:2	34:9 61:12	108:1,2,3,7	reports 14:9,24
released 100:23	69:4 76:3 77:3	141:9,9,16	15:2,13 16:3
101:2,3 107:23	77:12 98:19	143:24 144:15	16:21 40:10
107:25 108:3,4	123:7 124:16	162:15 166:7	69:5 71:13,21
108:7,10 141:7	128:20 143:5	175:16 181:6	74:15 96:23
142:7 143:21	195:7,9 227:18	181:12 199:5,7	101:2 102:3
243:3 250:4	228:25 310:1	212:3 218:14	104:21 108:5,9
253:4 264:24	314:11 316:14	219:8 229:12	108:11 115:14
272:3	remind 6:23	229:13 236:9	121:23 142:7
reliability	36:1 48:23	241:1 242:25	145:15 168:14
186:13 188:8	73:11,20 127:6	243:1,3 247:3	169:2 172:15
202:20 224:20	remnant 176:4	247:5 249:22	172:17 176:22
309:15	remove 163:18	250:2,14	176:24 177:17
	230:24	252:11,18	180:20,23

181:9 183:14	16:11 21:6	reservoir 37:3	respond 8:9
203:14 217:4,5	41:19 42:17,21	37:4	162:7,7 193:12
235:17,21,24	58:25 103:14	reservoirs	250:13,23
238:20 302:16	188:25 189:15	36:20	311:6,16
307:23 308:1	271:23	resided 131:22	responded
316:1	requesting	residential	162:11 250:12
represent	89:19 256:2	50:13	251:17 311:6,9
13:16 18:13	264:7	residents	311:14
24:16 25:22	requests	237:17	responding
82:19 83:17	254:23 282:7	resolution	8:15 15:23
138:1 149:16	required 16:5	263:13	193:2,3 254:22
245:1 247:21	28:15 64:22	resolved	282:12
249:1 274:7	87:14 88:3	280:17	responds
275:6 279:15	103:24 115:4	resource 44:20	291:25
319:2	126:23 204:15	resources	response 14:3
representation	274:6 277:16	26:15 28:22	15:25 17:1
123:17	281:15	40:22 44:11,20	87:12 159:6
representatives	requirement	74:6	171:3 250:19
6:8 127:20,24	315:1	respect 16:4	250:25 251:17
300:20	requires 38:16	28:19 30:25	251:18,20
represented	87:13 257:14	31:11 35:3	252:18 284:16
21:3 278:22	279:13	36:14 39:16	302:19 303:4
306:23	rerun 274:4	52:25 61:18	306:14
representing	research 35:11	96:1,11 106:1	responses
145:19 307:3,8	38:6,10,12,14	111:25 122:1	254:13 311:18
307:10,21	38:18 53:15	130:8 165:9	311:18
reproduce	111:13 116:1,3	166:6 167:24	responsibilities
224:7	116:8 128:10	181:3 182:20	36:5 47:19
request 15:12	244:20,24	182:22 196:4	54:24 55:8
23:17 89:24	245:12,14	203:13 209:16	76:8
188:21 256:10	249:8 284:17	210:14 227:25	responsibility
257:25 288:25	310:14	228:1 254:16	291:6
290:10 316:16	reserve 312:8	299:3	responsible
requested	reserves 312:8	respected	47:4 48:25
13:18 14:20		246:5	55:14 76:20

Page 71 [rest - right]

	I		
rest 289:20	274:2,6,9,11,19	169:25 185:9	rge 38:16 53:19
restaurant	274:22 276:15	191:24 192:9	55:10
304:3	276:17,23	192:18,21	rice 2:3 3:18
reston 118:11	282:4	193:1,1,9,10,11	richard 130:20
restroom 313:6	resume 22:1,19	193:17 242:23	131:6,7 284:7
result 52:10	22:21,23,25	243:1 244:21	285:4
67:22 146:22	23:1,8,24 24:3	244:25 266:12	ridge 1:14 2:17
207:8 233:7,14	25:7 44:10	267:22 281:19	112:9
244:17,18	78:14 98:6	281:23 321:6	rifle 152:7
260:2 272:20	302:12 324:6	reviewed 16:20	153:11 154:25
275:19 277:19	retain 97:14	30:4 32:6,9	right 5:12 10:3
279:22 280:2	retained 11:24	52:6 79:19	15:2 19:12
resulted 243:12	31:12 32:4	104:13 191:20	24:3 26:8 28:5
resulting 47:2	92:23 94:6,10	191:22 192:23	29:19,20 35:12
238:15	191:3 290:25	194:18 243:2	43:11,24 44:7
results 37:5	303:16	271:5 297:15	44:12 47:21,21
67:19 71:20	retainer 303:6	reviewer	49:21 66:23
83:10 97:16	retention 32:8	194:14	68:3 69:2
100:19 126:10	34:11 279:12	reviewers	70:25 73:8
127:12 169:15	retired 54:17	192:13,16	75:17 77:5
188:8 191:9	54:20 78:3,7	193:6,15,21	78:4,21,21
203:3 207:14	115:22,22	194:10 195:3	86:1,20 90:21
209:19 210:22	131:18 194:12	224:10 243:2	95:9 96:7,16
211:9 212:13	306:16	reviewing	97:12 100:23
219:5,11	retirement 78:6	169:8 194:15	102:21 103:9
220:17 230:5	return 251:14	reviews 193:2,4	104:15 106:3,6
233:22 241:11	reversed	265:11	109:13 110:21
244:11 246:18	267:13	revisit 87:15	113:9 114:6
246:19 247:17	review 17:5	120:20 179:18	119:3 125:4,5
260:14,18	19:13 69:15,16	reynolds	126:7,15
262:6,9,18	71:10 79:25	319:17 320:1	130:15 132:24
264:24 266:12	80:13,15	320:10,15	137:16 143:3
266:14 267:22	100:15 104:17	321:2	150:13 151:3
267:24 268:7	150:8,19	rfp 55:17	151:21 153:15
268:24 272:1,2	157:24 160:11	158:16	154:13 157:18

160:8,14 162:3	295:12,15,17	roberts 2:11,11	188:20
163:23 164:3	296:25 301:18	6:3,3	rule 7:15
166:9,17,20	301:21 305:9	roberts.com	275:11 276:6,7
167:3,14,21,23	305:12 307:17	2:14	rules 7:10
168:10 171:13	311:22 312:8,9	robust 97:17	run 59:14
172:2,8,21	313:14 314:10	rocked 289:21	112:8 118:8
174:7 177:22	314:16 317:2,8	rogers 295:13	239:15 261:12
178:8,11	317:12 318:21	role 36:5,13	running 244:13
180:15 186:4	319:11 321:8	38:3,25 41:13	282:24
186:23 187:20	321:15,16	43:7 44:6,19	runs 242:12,13
196:6 199:4	rigorous	46:13,24 47:13	243:12
202:7 203:17	238:21 242:20	48:22 50:3	rushing 272:9
205:7,10,16	ring 118:3	51:4 53:4 55:9	272:15
207:3,4,6,16	risk 67:11 78:2	55:20 56:8	S
212:24 219:16	98:9	72:3 110:24	
225:8 228:4,19	river 56:25	111:25 112:20	s 4:25 5:1,2
228:22,24	57:25 58:16,19	114:21 130:10	121:13 323:11
229:6,9,25	59:23 60:11,21	216:18,21	safe 37:9
233:3 234:17	61:8,18 65:12	220:23	safety 36:23
237:21,24	66:17 68:10,13	roles 54:23	sake 8:8,14
242:24 243:9	68:21,25 69:5	55:8 76:7	sample 208:5
243:16 244:6	69:9,10,20,22	roll 153:15	268:12 270:15
244:22 245:3	70:14 73:7,21	room 6:7,12	sampled
247:5,23	74:13,22,23	308:10	207:19
248:14 257:7	111:7 115:23	roughly 13:21	samples 79:21
259:15,22	116:4,25 152:9	48:14,18	79:23 140:13
269:9,10,11,25	153:13 157:15	186:22 187:4	168:18,23
271:13 275:19	186:12	304:10	169:16 186:8
276:24 283:15	road 106:21	round 64:25	209:20 262:25
283:23 284:8	robert 110:6	row 208:5	263:2 266:8,8
284:18 285:22	115:15,17	rpr 1:18	266:19,21
285:25 288:18	119:8 216:25	rubber 71:13	sampling 64:1
288:22 289:8	217:11 273:24	ruckart 98:17	176:18 186:3
289:24 291:4	275:4	98:21,22 99:4	195:20 196:4
294:23 295:9		99:10 124:23	199:1,2,9
			201:4 202:6,11

202:20 203:2,8	280:10 311:6	scatter 212:7	scientist 59:25
207:15,24	says 5:8 19:5	schedule	110:24 113:4
208:1	85:2,11 86:18	144:16 197:19	113:13 257:24
sandy 236:20	86:24 87:3,10	204:17	scientists 60:16
sat 20:12	122:25 123:22	scheduled	256:18 305:20
satisfied 119:21	129:21 130:2	270:6 271:11	scratch 71:3
saturated	133:16,19	schedules	72:5,16,19
26:22,23,25	135:9,16,20,21	203:19 204:3	screen 9:10
27:6 36:17	139:20 140:23	scheduling	10:2,14 24:13
278:22 279:1,2	144:7,11	10:8 196:1	82:16,17 87:2
280:3,14	150:25 160:12	school 72:24	102:25 129:9
saturation	161:25 164:15	76:22 284:12	213:18 319:6
42:10	166:8,11,12,15	science 26:8	screenshot
sautner 6:21	166:22 167:1	112:9 192:8,15	318:17,25
59:5 76:25	167:19 172:1,4	193:5,10,23	319:5 323:15
77:4 109:25	175:7 177:24	245:13,15,19	scroll 11:6
110:20 111:10	178:4,10	263:11 307:18	13:15 18:21
111:20 112:25	179:23 205:8	310:15	22:8 24:15
118:23	206:25 207:11	scientific 47:1	133:17 144:10
savannah	214:15 215:18	49:5,15 53:18	150:5,7,14,16
65:11 68:9,13	227:21 249:6	55:1 60:1 69:4	150:16,17
68:20,25 69:5	254:21 257:10	71:4,25 72:17	159:22 161:15
69:9,22	257:21 262:2	91:24 97:14	161:15 163:21
saw 17:15 28:3	268:12 274:17	111:12 114:23	166:24 170:23
44:9 74:11,19	275:17 276:5	123:24 129:25	171:4,25 178:1
78:14 88:20	277:14 287:15	192:16 224:9	178:1,2 214:22
116:10 117:24	288:25 298:4	224:10 245:24	264:11 281:21
123:14 137:2	299:8 300:1,5	256:16,25	284:5 288:14
138:10 146:21	301:12 314:15	265:17 268:17	288:16 292:6
167:25 248:18	315:11 318:10	268:20 299:4	scrolled 138:11
273:7	319:21	307:22 311:1	scrutiny 71:8
saying 31:18	sc 1:15 2:5,17	311:10	sea 186:13
71:13 100:8	scales 57:14	scientifically	seal 322:12
128:6 215:22	scan 149:24	128:9 188:18	sealed 70:2
241:9 275:18			

search 15:23	24:12,13 26:6	319:6,12,13,15	274:23 302:12
104:3 107:2	50:2 57:8	319:16,19,20	sending 161:2
searching	62:21 75:5	319:24	243:4 303:6
30:10	80:1 81:18,23	seeing 40:11	305:14
season 46:2,3	109:25 122:25	69:4 83:4	sends 192:15
second 9:12	128:9 129:18	85:21 160:15	senior 26:3,4
10:10 65:18,18	137:15 138:9	177:4 270:3	58:24 60:17
82:1 85:15	162:6 170:9	272:17 283:21	61:20 66:25
106:14 140:5	171:5 182:24	294:12 304:25	98:15 116:6
170:5,13	194:3 197:1	seek 159:9	320:18 321:2
180:24,25	199:5 200:9	seem 143:22	sense 103:16
186:25 202:3	207:2,7 208:7	seemed 272:21	210:7 224:20
206:25 207:9	212:7 213:13	seems 91:11	258:7 266:2
207:11 213:4	214:9 219:13	123:6 172:25	287:2,17
213:24 225:22	219:13,19	seen 10:9 11:4	sensitivities
232:2 239:3	220:7 222:25	32:12 70:7,9	250:22
245:11 249:7	223:10 226:11	83:5 139:9,10	sensitivity
254:21 263:24	226:12 228:9	298:15 317:12	240:17 309:10
283:14 287:14	228:18,20,23	select 118:21	sent 143:6
287:14 289:25	229:11 232:13	242:9	161:9 250:24
299:25 305:25	232:17 240:13	selected 56:11	254:4 259:17
315:6	247:18 253:3,8	112:15 118:22	275:9 285:4,12
seconds 93:15	253:9 259:8,9	193:6 194:1	287:2 289:18
200:15	259:10,16	206:25 207:12	313:14 314:3,6
secretary	261:13 270:18	selecting	sentence 205:8
107:18 133:20	271:4 272:1	216:18	266:18 270:5,9
138:5 139:13	273:21 278:11	semiannual	271:10 299:25
248:14 254:2	283:25 284:21	80:14	sentences
section 71:25	285:2 286:3	senate 142:3,13	276:22
207:5	288:5,19	143:8,14 269:8	separate
sections 34:19	292:17 301:3	senators 143:5	320:13
39:11	302:24 314:10	143:19 144:1	separately
see 9:20,23	314:17 315:7	148:2	23:10
11:2 13:5 15:9	315:13 317:5,8	send 107:19	september 1:12
18:11 22:9	318:6,15,23	192:9 193:9	4:2 247:22

254:9 314:15	shakes 8:10	showers 190:9	significantly
314:21 322:13	share 79:17	showing 82:16	232:11 279:21
series 36:3	274:12	149:16 176:19	similar 193:16
140:7 240:16	shared 137:19	177:18 201:12	257:24 265:25
241:2 242:11	sharing 160:12	230:17 253:12	280:6
serve 307:12	160:16 243:5	273:20	simple 232:24
served 128:13	sheet 19:18,21	shown 9:9	233:20 238:13
128:19 144:13	shelf 115:1	162:14 259:3	240:20
167:1 172:5,9	shift 282:23	320:22	simpler 251:12
177:25 178:5,7	shifting 35:8	shut 166:16	281:4 312:15
service 111:1	shiver 217:4	175:8,11,12,13	312:21
133:22 134:12	short 51:11	175:17,25	simplified
199:23 205:9	66:9 82:12	176:2,5,9,14,17	242:21
205:11 229:1,8	110:12 120:7	177:3,8 178:16	simply 298:1
308:7 326:1	148:17 154:9	180:12 185:25	simulate 95:8
services 3:17	161:23 162:25	198:22 205:22	186:13 242:3
58:25 60:17	179:15 221:24	shutdowns	279:18
61:21 66:25	259:2	205:14	simulated
117:17 137:12	shortly 183:7	sic 124:19	197:20 208:16
302:11 324:21	300:22	278:21 315:16	224:22 226:4,6
serving 144:23	show 8:11 9:12	side 90:11	226:11,14,19
283:6	10:11 12:21	94:22 119:13	226:20 227:6,9
sessions 300:13	24:5 149:5	155:22 205:6	228:18 231:15
set 117:13	154:8 155:14	223:23 285:25	232:15,18
126:12 158:17	157:14 158:4	307:2	241:11 243:7
193:6 233:16	189:12,18,19	sign 76:18	275:13
293:7,21	189:21,24	303:7 321:15	simulating
setting 126:14	213:12 227:8	signature 19:23	198:3
settled 107:19	317:2,25	19:24 285:3	simulation
seven 169:18	318:21	322:22	29:14 181:4,9
171:16 296:12	showed 13:7	signed 18:5	183:4 212:17
298:7	73:1 107:12	54:3 134:8	225:16 227:24
several 73:14	148:25 168:23	135:9 299:19	230:17 231:12
78:13 196:10	290:2 319:4	302:23	242:2,2,16
274:11 279:3			243:11,19

[simulation - sort] Page 76

		l	
244:5,13	sitting 18:18	sludge 235:7	sorry 5:9 12:25
263:16 268:2	291:3,5	sm 163:8	73:16 83:1
274:9 281:15	situation 121:3	small 169:12	129:10 133:12
326:21	149:13,18	175:23 209:18	137:17 142:17
simulations	297:4 325:1	272:21	155:10 160:18
59:11,12 79:25	six 87:18 255:2	smith 62:7	163:22 173:9
114:16 177:15	skewed 208:24	132:25	175:4 180:25
242:19 244:3	208:24	smooth 7:14	182:3,6 187:14
270:18 272:16	skim 82:22	society 74:8	197:4 198:3
276:18	skimming	soil 37:4 42:9	205:4 207:4
single 220:11	82:25	soils 236:21	213:17 220:16
223:12 238:10	slash 259:24	solely 255:7	222:22 229:15
265:7	290:1	solicited 306:20	229:16 232:3
sinks 145:25	slide 84:25 85:2	solution 243:25	237:12 259:10
sir 8:18 11:3,7	85:10,11,11,12	244:7	270:3 288:15
11:17 26:9	85:12,13,24	solutions 244:8	305:1,1 314:12
48:19 286:25	86:6 87:1,9,18	solve 93:18	sort 7:11 26:2
sit 7:20 156:8	87:19 100:20	184:8	26:17 31:24
164:24 311:20	100:21 102:24	solved 268:22	37:11 38:24
site 49:1,2,2,5	106:14 107:20	solvent 141:13	41:9,9 43:23
50:6 51:9,9	107:21 108:19	somebody 75:5	51:16,16 59:24
52:3,4,7,8,12	122:19,20,23	162:4	62:21 67:21
52:13 53:1	122:25 123:23	someplace	68:22 69:12
60:21 61:8	125:7 129:3,7	107:12	71:4 72:17
64:17 66:17,22	129:18,20	somewhat	77:23 100:1,4
72:12 79:4,7	133:8,9,13,17	234:5	109:5 111:2
101:8 117:1	133:18 135:8,9	somheil 69:15	112:16 122:12
118:9 129:25	135:20,22	son 131:21	127:2 145:5
sites 48:24 49:7	318:18 323:16	soon 236:16	147:8 150:5
51:8,15 53:8	slides 82:25	282:7 290:9	176:4 177:11
55:2,13 56:11	84:24 117:24	sophisticated	180:10,13
58:16 71:9	slightly 227:14	272:12	186:19 212:21
87:14,15	sloping 230:19	sophistication	223:3,24
141:17 174:7	slower 230:25	260:7,12	227:24 238:1
			249:21 268:17

[sort - stamped] Page 77

	T	I	
282:21 300:7	222:9 223:6,11	108:11 119:9	spills 102:9
306:13 310:21	223:15	126:23 129:25	splits 227:24
soto 77:1 110:1	south 322:5,14	134:20 135:17	spoke 147:23
112:3,23 113:2	southeast 39:11	143:13 174:17	289:2 303:5
119:2 217:16	southern 1:2	174:25 180:18	spoken 286:17
sound 29:19	southington	183:9 195:18	spot 232:7
196:6 247:23	56:24	196:11 201:7	spread 106:24
281:12	southwest	210:19,20	spring 144:15
sounds 15:20	39:13,17 41:3	218:23 234:14	144:17 178:23
29:20 60:3	230:11	267:17,17	180:2
222:6 228:2	spaced 79:24	271:19 272:20	square 60:25
source 147:5	spanned 55:16	297:6	61:5
165:13,17	spans 52:21	specifically	staff 49:24 79:3
167:19 168:22	sparse 40:18	52:9 70:10	79:25 105:6
169:4,14,19	speak 47:9	77:15 81:2,7	119:1 144:20
173:25 174:15	66:12 106:12	88:6 89:23	192:21,22
197:18 201:4	106:13 115:6	102:2 113:18	256:5 257:12
216:18 217:9	148:20 185:14	122:4 125:6	257:12,16
217:22 218:2,2	185:15 222:2	142:19 164:9	317:17
218:5,17,21	306:15	168:24 189:9	staffer 300:2,9
219:1,1,4,12,12	speaking 8:16	193:24 238:6	300:12
220:2,8,12,14	31:24 33:9	248:3 277:6	staffers 142:3
221:2 222:12	81:2 92:7 93:2	278:20	144:1 145:16
222:17 223:11	94:16 215:25	specificity 23:3	300:21
223:11,12	216:1 223:18	specifics 69:3	stage 242:1
224:19 230:16	289:9 298:5	134:25	stages 73:25
231:1,2,4	special 56:15	speed 103:7	247:16 304:8
248:8 252:9,24	115:4	spell 4:22	stamp 129:13
265:7	specific 30:13	194:21	171:7 286:22
sources 86:1,4	39:4 51:9,14	spend 6:11	319:9
173:17,19	61:23 62:2,16	spent 257:15	stamped 18:7
174:5 201:10	62:20 63:25	spiliotopoulos	22:2 82:6
217:1 219:6,9	64:12 88:18	3:19 7:4	138:15,21
219:10,16	89:12 95:2	spill 236:21	139:4 149:4
220:18 221:5,6	103:25 105:8		158:8,13 200:1

248:23 253:17	195:12 216:20	statement	sticker 317:7
259:5 273:17	219:3,20	101:1 152:3	stipulation
283:17 287:25	225:25 239:22	164:20,25	8:22
292:13 294:18	266:17 293:2	183:2 277:15	stirred 238:14
300:25 305:4	301:9,11	278:13 284:16	stochastic
317:3 324:3,8	started 35:10	310:3,3 315:5	121:6
324:16 325:4	35:15 43:8	states 1:1 2:21	stood 84:7
326:7,25 327:4	70:25 71:3,17	11:19 13:17	stop 215:25
327:8,12,16,20	72:19 78:7,8	18:17 35:12	229:25 244:5
327:24 328:3,7	85:5,7 99:6	45:21 86:6	244:13 269:22
328:10	101:8 106:20	100:21 103:1	270:10 296:25
stamping 71:13	111:6 112:5	106:15 107:21	stopped 203:25
stand 20:8	126:14 210:9	107:21 133:20	205:23
46:20 171:18	235:12,18,22	140:1,6 151:6	stopping 244:2
standard 88:2	236:11,13,15	162:10,19,24	storage 104:22
118:7 218:25	251:9	177:21 266:6	107:6 163:9
260:17 262:5,8	starting 18:23	278:16 281:7	169:9 174:6,9
262:17	19:1 40:8	289:1 293:6	story 289:25
standards	54:22 71:3	295:19 302:20	straight 203:24
224:1	166:6 171:16	station 153:14	203:25
standing 92:17	235:25 236:13	157:15	street 1:14 2:17
standpoint	starts 160:4	statistical 75:8	2:23 3:3,7,11
62:6 67:25	171:2 274:3	112:19 121:6	strength
91:25 100:14	284:6 297:19	statistics 62:14	216:19 217:8
111:13 191:12	startup 89:4	122:10 225:1	217:23 218:6
197:24 214:16	state 4:21	stay 291:22	219:4
215:16 299:4	43:16 90:11	steady 233:18	stress 257:11
stands 46:21	169:7 233:18	steam 278:13	strictly 201:18
102:7 197:12	235:21,24	280:11	strike 261:16
start 4:21 5:14	252:19 294:2	stenographic	strongly 257:11
40:7 60:24	322:5	6:11	structural
72:5,16 84:24	stated 28:24	step 219:2	36:10
99:18 100:8	156:3 269:6	steps 99:22	student 77:10
150:14 159:4	298:19	100:14 125:23	student's 28:2
185:5 191:25			
L	I	1	1

students 110:2	306:10,10,16	subpoenaed	149:17,18
114:10 115:10	stuff 23:4 63:21	11:19 143:8	150:10,11,20
studied 59:19	107:13 122:11	subpoenas 9:22	181:14,22
77:18	189:10 220:15	10:18	182:7,9 200:1
studies 41:17	suarez 77:1	substance	201:21 231:23
49:21 50:1	110:1 112:3,23	120:12 148:21	318:19 323:17
66:23 88:8,13	113:2 119:2	substances	324:15,25
91:5 95:2 97:5	217:16	46:22 138:8	325:17 326:6
97:24 98:3,14	subcommittee	140:6	summer 163:1
99:11 106:10	142:3 143:8,14	substantial	178:23 180:2
106:12 117:4	143:22	191:12 203:11	superfund
123:11,14	subcontracted	222:14 255:17	41:18
124:9,9,10,12	110:7 116:9	261:14	supervise 111:9
125:16 127:13	subject 94:1	substantially	111:14 112:23
128:4 136:3,16	154:2 160:12	161:22 169:18	supervised
144:17,19	160:17 259:20	176:13 261:8	111:12 112:25
145:7 147:2,4	274:2 288:25	substitute	supervision
187:24 188:1,2	289:17 292:21	139:4 149:3	111:18,19
189:7 216:13	submit 76:17	successful	supervisor
223:20 227:3	80:15	296:17,22	91:20 111:17
296:20 300:15	submits 193:17	sufficient	supplement
306:12,19	submitted	252:14	178:22 180:1
study 25:17	106:5	suggested	201:13,15
60:20 62:12	submitting	183:2 312:15	240:24
67:1,4,6,9,15	105:5	320:24	supplements
67:22 68:4	subpoena 9:5	suggestion	122:17 201:14
77:13 88:16	9:20 10:8,16	318:7	201:17
90:11,15,19,22	10:19,20 11:5	suggestions	supplied 151:1
90:23,24 91:9	11:9,10 12:9	192:5	151:9 178:15
91:13 92:3	12:18,24 13:1	suite 2:12	supplies 169:21
94:22 98:13	13:9,12,17	summary 81:22	supply 41:5
126:9,10	14:4 15:24	82:6,21 96:22	60:19 104:22
144:16 244:21	16:1,11 17:2	122:17 141:15	107:10 133:23
254:10 256:4	159:6 323:18	141:17 142:2,5	140:11 169:14
257:12 306:1,4	323:21	142:10 149:12	176:9 178:23
	1	1	L

[supply - take] Page 80

180:2,14 188:7	244:23 247:17	system 39:12	t
197:8,10,25	253:4,10 258:2	56:14,23 57:3	t 110:14 121:13
200:25 201:5	272:5,7 285:11	57:10,17 60:12	124:19 323:11
207:13 210:19	290:4,8 296:2	62:17 63:6,21	table 27:5,9,10
223:1 230:2	297:16 313:1	64:8,19,21	45:23 46:1,10
231:20	313:17	72:22 73:2,24	196:12,20,23
supplying	surface 27:4	74:4 100:18	196:24 197:1,5
161:7	40:12,13	111:8,14,22,24	200:6,7 201:2
support 72:2	280:15	116:23 117:5	201:9 209:13
76:9 92:2 98:3	surprise 249:22	117:19 118:9	219:16,20
223:20 252:12	258:12	122:15 137:1	220:5 222:19
296:24	surprised	141:8 151:20	222:25 223:3
supported	281:14	155:16 156:10	279:15,18
79:17	survey 35:12	156:11,21	280:15 307:2
supporting	35:23 38:6,21	157:1,2 161:20	tables 201:20
144:22	39:10 115:20	166:13,16	tag 72:1
supportive	118:1 194:8	167:2 172:1	tail 181:8,8
254:15	212:2 217:17	175:21 176:10	275:12,17
suppose 172:22	susan 248:15	176:12 178:15	tailored 142:19
supposed 71:10	248:15,16	184:15,18	take 5:6,17
240:15	253:20,25	218:12 238:17	8:25 15:11
sure 5:25 22:10	254:8	238:21 240:6	38:10 41:20
27:3 31:21	suspect 138:24	240:19,24	42:22 46:10
47:24 49:16,17	281:18,22	252:18 261:21	48:14 53:12
	swear 4:11	systems 39:8	55:20 65:20,21
67:17 75:21	switch 81:5,6	57:14 61:7	65:24,25 96:17
76:13 85:16	183:8	86:4 101:15	97:3 113:22
93:1 100:12	sword 266:11	104:23 140:24	117:23 119:24
104:5 138:23	267:20	141:4 151:1,6	146:4 148:8
142:1 148:8	sworn 4:14	152:11,12	150:8 158:19
183:11 191:6	symposium	153:11 164:1	170:5,12 179:1
194:23 221:23	14:13 15:13	166:6,23	179:3,5 180:22
227:2 234:13	16:5	167:11	183:6 186:8
234:20 236:12	synthesize		190:8 204:18
241:14 242:14	204:16		206:19 208:16

Page 81 [take - tech]

	1		
214:21 221:10	tape 207:22	189:17 191:19	target 241:16
227:18 231:1	tarawa 20:16	191:25 192:7	targets 241:21
235:7 257:3	90:2 96:2,2,18	194:11 195:8	tasked 190:12
272:24 299:20	100:22 101:3,6	195:13,16	taught 72:23
302:21 305:22	101:14 105:17	196:14 198:16	tawara 310:16
311:17	105:22,25	199:9 202:8,9	tce 50:9 70:1
taken 1:17	106:3 108:22	202:14 204:1	165:20 168:1
58:13 65:3	109:4 121:17	204:20,22	173:10,20,25
94:9 125:24	121:22 126:13	206:20 208:2	174:15 187:11
205:13 209:20	126:18 140:25	210:14 211:14	187:18 199:10
229:1,7,24,25	141:8,11 142:6	212:22 216:20	260:25
talk 25:4 35:9	151:8,24	216:24 217:2	tea 65:22
56:6 69:20	153:18,25,25	218:7,14	teach 118:14
81:6 94:3	154:5,8,10,15	219:24 220:2	121:11
101:25 144:3	154:17 155:23	222:9,11,17	team 103:8
146:14 150:23	155:24 156:5	223:18 225:5,6	109:21,24
211:12 212:18	156:19 157:10	225:13 228:25	110:17 112:21
240:22 241:20	161:4,18,19,22	231:9,24	114:6,22
241:21	162:13,15,19	234:21 235:14	118:18 119:16
talked 114:13	162:21,25	236:9 237:20	119:22 120:17
184:22	163:3,4,6,8,12	238:9,20	121:25 145:6
talking 15:16	164:1 165:9,13	239:14,25	155:13 183:21
16:15 49:15	166:6,7,13,15	240:12,16	223:22 249:4
64:18 124:6	166:22 167:1,8	241:1 244:21	259:14 262:21
159:4 222:8	167:11,14,24	246:16 247:12	262:22 264:20
246:7 276:23	168:1,9,10,17	248:2 252:6,10	265:22 272:5,6
277:4 290:8	169:11,20	255:7,12	273:9 305:18
talks 145:17	175:4,5,16,20	259:21 260:1	team's 157:12
tank 104:22	176:8 178:7	264:2 265:11	teams 269:4
157:8,8 163:8	180:11 181:3	265:13 271:5	tech 25:9 26:5
163:8,9,11	181:12,19	273:2 292:2	26:7 55:25
238:14	182:7,20,22	304:8 308:6	56:1 59:13,21
tanks 107:6	185:22 186:2	310:12 325:11	77:4,24 111:6
157:2 169:9,12	186:15,18	326:15	114:10,13,19
174:6,9	187:11,13		119:5 262:22

[tech - terrace] Page 82

277:17 278:19	technology	terms 15:23	169:11,20
279:17,23	27:21 198:2	68:14 87:5	175:4,5,16,20
280:5,15,19,24	telan 2:16	107:10 114:25	176:8 178:7
281:14	tell 7:16 20:2	147:4 161:20	180:11 181:3
techflowmp	25:19 26:20	201:23 212:13	181:12,19
260:13	36:16 41:15	213:1 215:10	182:7,20,23
technical 4:20	45:15 50:5	232:19 243:7	185:22 186:2
51:7 55:1	56:7 63:3	246:17 248:17	186:15,19
71:18,24 72:2	85:18 88:1,25	256:21 306:18	187:11,13
76:9 82:13	120:24 129:13	terrace 20:16	189:17 191:19
91:24 111:12	150:14 152:19	90:2 96:3,18	191:25 192:7
114:23 127:23	175:17 180:21	100:22 101:3,6	194:11 195:8
130:24,25	190:7 196:12	101:14 105:18	195:13,16
131:2 142:5	213:4 259:17	105:22,25	196:15 198:16
192:21,22	260:21 263:1	106:3 108:22	199:9 202:8,9
201:23 254:25	273:6 278:9	109:4 121:17	202:14 204:2
256:16,19,20	298:12 317:17	126:13,18	204:20,23
256:25 263:11	tells 210:24	140:25 141:8	206:20 208:2
269:4 274:19	211:10	141:11 142:7	210:14 211:14
278:16 281:18	templeton	151:8,24	212:23 216:20
281:23 298:2	132:18	153:18,25,25	216:24 217:2
307:22,23	temporary	154:5,8,10,15	218:7,14
317:17	110:2 205:14	154:17 155:23	219:24 220:2
technically	ten 40:12 198:1	155:24 156:5	222:10,11,17
249:11,16	238:23 250:19	156:19 157:11	223:19 225:5,6
251:24	260:15 262:3	161:4,18,19,22	225:13 229:1
technique	266:9 267:4	162:13,15,19	231:9,24
30:10,11,12	268:3	162:21,25	234:21 235:14
91:11 110:15	tends 227:9	163:3,5,6,12	236:9 237:20
218:8	228:3	164:1 165:10	238:9,20
techniques	tens 23:3	165:13 166:7,8	239:14,25
39:3,6 41:25	term 184:23,25	166:13,16,22	240:12,16
78:1 91:8	185:2,4 205:15	167:2,9,11,14	241:1 244:22
95:19 117:15	241:7 251:22	167:24 168:1,9	246:16 247:13
121:2,5		168:10,17	248:2 252:6,10

[terrace - think] Page 83

255:7,13	testing 202:16	thereof 322:10	114:5,15
259:21 260:1	202:19 240:18	thesis 26:3,4	114.3,13
264:2 265:11	240:23	27:1,12,16	120:21 122:22
265:13 271:5	tetrachloroet	28:1,6,25	126:13 127:5
273:2 292:2	165:14,20	thing 128:15	130:21 131:16
304:8 308:6	225:17 326:23	153:16 158:20	143:22 156:3
	text 133:19	192:10 210:6	
310:12,16 325:11 326:15	165:8 173:2	227:17	160:13 163:21 164:10 166:7
terrace's 163:8	textbook 29:14	things 8:10	169:16 173:14
test 117:20	29:21 30:5,14	24:2,22 31:22	175:3,13,15
120:21 206:13	30:17 31:3	44:23 46:3	179:20 180:5
224:17 228:14	32:10,16 33:14	51:12 52:24	180:13 186:17
tested 140:14	33:16	55:12 65:16	192:11 194:9
238:18,18	textbooks	69:6 116:2	194:11 198:21
testified 4:14	33:18,20 34:4	147:5 174:13	199:16,18
21:12,16 104:6	34:17 70:15	174:13 190:8	200:5 202:4
105:14 146:8	thank 4:9,19	218:2 221:21	209:5,7 215:6
317:11	5:4 7:5 8:19	228:17 234:15	216:9 219:7
testify 7:21 9:5	9:2 13:25	241:19 265:12	220:25 226:16
20:5 21:8	16:17 17:4	271:9 278:4	227:25 228:17
33:10 285:18	27:11 35:24	280:21	232:22 237:19
287:5 323:19	44:18 48:2,7	think 6:10 8:20	240:22 243:4
testifying 313:1	68:19 84:22	15:11 16:14	243:11 245:2
testimony	85:9 86:17	28:24 34:7	251:9 252:17
11:19 19:13	87:2 110:9	44:9 47:6 55:9	255:16 262:16
20:9 25:7	121:24 122:18	63:7 65:10	267:16 269:6
49:18 66:20	144:10 146:2	66:20 69:24	272:14 273:9
87:9 103:6	148:10 171:21	70:22 73:6	275:22 276:2
104:25 120:12	187:14 202:2	74:11,19 75:6	280:23 282:14
148:21 168:16	216:17 221:13	80:12,21 83:21	282:16,20,21
169:7 170:6	223:16 266:5	86:9 87:8 89:3	283:14 296:13
213:13 214:11	290:7 313:2,4	91:8 94:19	299:9 304:1,19
214:19 215:15	318:16 321:9	99:23 103:11	310:8,19
222:3	321:10,11	104:7 107:15	311:17 312:24
		110:10 113:7	

thinking 55:22	throw 122:9	148:15 149:8	times 117:2
59:25 99:20	244:12	179:10,13	timing 217:9
256:21	thrust 251:1	185:21,25	240:15 269:5
third 255:23,25	tie 264:4	188:19 198:1	276:24 277:4
thorough	tight 296:2	204:4 210:1,6	316:23
281:23	tim 132:18	210:11 212:22	title 36:1 48:16
thought 33:3	time 1:13 4:2	216:1,9,10,14	54:16 110:24
65:17,18 79:10	6:12 8:21 12:3	216:16 219:2	133:20 255:10
126:19 169:10	17:19 20:11	221:15,18	290:4
184:2 205:5	21:15,23 30:15	234:15 235:13	titled 81:9
250:16 253:8	31:10,15,16	236:2 242:5	85:13
255:21 256:16	37:20,23 39:1	247:12 251:11	titles 54:19
278:5 302:17	39:20 40:17,23	255:16 256:7	today 4:7 5:6
thoughts 282:8	41:20 42:7	257:15 258:4,6	5:17 7:14,21
thousand 13:22	44:2 49:12	258:7,11,21,24	8:2 10:9 11:9
three 38:13	50:16,20 51:19	262:15,19	11:10 12:3
48:17 85:11,11	53:2 54:3,5,6	264:3 265:15	15:18 16:15
101:15 106:20	57:14,22 60:14	268:21 269:21	20:9 93:25
112:11 129:14	63:9 64:13	270:1,10 278:3	99:15,15 156:9
140:23 141:3,3	65:1,5 66:4,7	284:10 294:3,7	163:16 164:24
151:7,12	69:9,14 70:13	296:3,13	170:7 302:25
152:22 153:2	71:7 72:14	297:12 298:7	303:12 306:23
164:6,6,16	73:13 74:2,16	300:7,19 302:7	307:4 311:21
168:4 169:16	74:24 75:18,24	302:15 304:17	312:25 316:2
174:17 175:13	82:3,10 85:20	304:24 307:19	321:9
175:24 176:5,9	88:7 89:24	307:20 309:25	today's 4:2
176:14,16	93:23 99:9	310:20 313:3,9	11:11 16:18
208:6,6 228:11	105:17,21	313:12 315:22	17:20,23,24
232:13 244:10	107:15 110:11	316:20,20,21	316:23 317:1
271:2 277:14	110:12 112:14	316:21 321:9	together 85:18
284:14 289:8	112:15 113:3	321:11,13	118:18 123:6
310:24 316:1	115:24 119:20	timelines 99:22	123:18 183:17
threshold	120:2,5 123:7	99:25 126:15	192:1 201:22
53:10,11	128:13 138:6	timely 147:25	256:20 282:22
	145:25 148:12		

	-		G
told 73:2	161:16,25,25	trans 261:1	277:15,18
101:13 107:9	171:24 199:4	transcript 3:24	278:14 325:9
126:14 153:4	207:4 208:13	18:14,15	325:24 326:13
159:14 188:25	232:14,14	169:25 170:12	326:22
214:12 235:17	249:3 252:16	171:12 214:8,9	travel 41:21
253:5 299:15	270:15 287:13	215:18,20	42:23 50:16,20
299:16,17	287:14 288:8	321:15 322:6	57:11
toluene 102:7	291:24 295:1,8	transcripts	traveled 57:9
165:23	295:16 301:7	133:6	63:5
tom 145:25	303:3 314:17	transferred	treat 252:20,22
238:7	topic 169:7	35:19,22	treatment 89:5
toms 56:24	289:17	transient	95:1 128:15
57:25 58:16,19	topics 8:2	233:19	139:25 151:13
59:23 60:11,21	tornado 308:7	transparency	164:16,17,20
61:8,18 66:17	total 60:18	125:9	166:5 169:16
69:10,19 70:14	105:7 206:18	transparent	178:15,21
70:14 73:7,21	toured 152:25	299:6	179:25 199:24
74:12,22,23	towards 28:14	transpired 66:5	200:10,24,25
111:7 115:23	258:9 261:19	120:3 148:13	202:1 209:20
116:4,25	300:16	179:11 221:16	232:25 233:2,5
took 28:11,20	township 56:25	313:10	233:7,8 234:2
64:9 67:21	91:7	transport	234:10 237:2
106:15,24	tox 124:20	28:22 29:15	237:16 238:1
143:15 190:10	toxic 46:21	31:4 56:13	238:10,12
198:16 224:8	47:7,9 138:8	100:17 122:14	239:9,10,12,16
230:8 247:22	140:6	181:18 183:24	239:19,20,21
258:12 261:4	toxicological	184:11,12	240:2,7 261:20
286:7,12	47:4	193:25 195:25	315:7,12,25
297:15 309:9	toxicologist	196:14 199:21	316:5 326:3
309:14,14,18	97:12	201:19 212:16	treatments
tool 41:9,10,11	trace 62:16	225:12,16	151:14
42:13,16,19	track 38:10,11	232:22,24	tremendous
43:21 46:5	trailer 167:3	233:6,19 234:3	263:25 264:10
top 69:18 125:2	train 65:17	242:5 260:1	264:18
150:14 161:15		265:5,23 274:4	
	I	l	l

[trial - under] Page 86

trial 21:13	tthm 206:14,16	276:22 280:13	ultimate
triggers 86:9	tucson 69:25	289:7	205:24
86:25 87:4	tuesday 316:16	type 8:16 80:7	ultimately
trihalometha	tuning 269:22	127:23 128:15	40:21 103:12
206:18	270:10	132:12,12	106:8 136:18
trimester	turn 5:10	142:21 195:19	un 158:13
188:25 189:11	122:19 146:3	211:1,6 216:13	unable 7:21
189:13	158:3 225:3,23	217:21,24	unacceptable
triple 243:22	308:23,25	263:14 265:24	228:6,6
trips 121:9	309:6	types 8:10	unbiased
true 23:7 24:18	turned 203:2,3	24:22 45:8	249:10,16
76:19 84:16,19	204:12,12	52:23 56:21	251:24 252:2,4
150:11,21	218:17,17	78:17 126:23	310:20
191:15 217:13	235:11	281:1	uncertainty
315:15 322:6	turns 64:6	typical 143:23	52:20,25 75:3
trust 296:23,23	two 9:21 10:17	147:22 265:21	189:3,6,8
truth 7:16 20:2	22:13 23:1	typically	211:2,8 212:14
truthfully 7:22	38:13 49:3	203:10 270:21	223:22 228:8
20:5	71:11 84:25	311:9	240:17 241:4,6
try 206:7	85:2 93:15	u	241:16,22,23
234:18 270:22	102:25 110:10	u.s. 2:22 3:2,6	241:25 247:4
312:21	112:11 125:10	3:10 4:5 5:5,15	251:4 252:7,25
trying 8:16	126:5 128:21	35:22 38:6,20	253:7
71:2 194:8	128:25 130:1	39:9 103:18	unclear 8:1
202:3 207:2	168:12 169:16	115:20 117:25	uncontaminat
216:2 270:18	170:24,25	121:9,18	163:3,12
278:9 281:4	178:13 191:18	127:20 181:19	176:12
tt 96:2 167:2	192:23 203:24	194:7,17	undefined
175:14,14,15	208:3,7 216:19	199:25 212:1	62:23
198:1 207:13	222:10 241:19	217:16 225:13	under 7:16
208:9 209:7,14	242:1 260:11	325:12 326:4	20:2 25:14
209:14 230:8	260:15 262:3	326:16	28:1 38:11,14
279:5	263:22,22	uh 62:3 123:2	46:25 48:24
tte 141:18	265:20,20	130:17 288:24	53:16,19 55:10
	266:9 275:10		70:2 71:8 74:7

75:9 77:13	27:22 28:9	176:3 185:4,5	220:4 239:4
83:12 84:2,14	35:10 44:4	189:4 193:18	241:3
88:4 97:10	46:15 48:15,20	196:5 203:13	undertaken
106:4 111:13	49:16,18 56:4	241:5 242:22	124:10,11
112:6 115:5	57:25 59:17	245:16 246:15	undertaking
126:5 138:5	71:2 93:8 94:1	247:7 250:11	127:3 184:4
139:12 178:4	94:20 103:5	251:8 273:4	265:17
179:23 230:12	105:20 109:5	280:10 294:6	undesirable
231:5 263:25	109:20 122:9	297:7,11	276:17
315:17	142:25 155:11	305:23 306:10	undisclosed
undergraduate	155:23 168:15	understood 8:6	107:5
25:17 26:1,5	184:3,4 191:4	8:12 9:1 14:23	unfortunate
77:10	200:23 228:18	16:9,25 23:6	278:17
underground	230:15 236:19	25:24 26:6	uniformly
104:21 107:6	243:15 244:19	27:11,22 28:13	229:3
169:9 174:6,8	251:16 255:22	34:23 35:24	unique 216:15
underlying	265:8 285:16	36:12 37:22	united 1:1 2:21
176:8	294:3 299:10	38:17 40:20	11:18 13:17
underneath	303:16 312:25	41:23 43:6	18:16 35:11
77:19 84:5	understanding	46:12 47:12	61:10 103:1
130:13	14:11 32:3	48:6 52:11	133:20 140:1,1
underpredicted	51:14 69:1	53:12 56:19	units 141:11
233:13	85:4 86:11,12	67:20 72:14	151:10
underpredicti	87:5,16,17	80:4 85:22	universal 104:2
208:19 234:1	95:6 101:25	86:17 92:1	universities
underpredicts	104:24 123:3	94:2 100:20	55:24 112:7
208:15	130:5,6,8,22	101:14 108:12	university
underscore	134:7,18,20	110:9 111:9,20	55:15,18,21
149:2,2 158:16	135:14,19,22	115:12 116:10	56:2,18 59:6
158:16,16,17	136:13 140:20	117:22 118:17	76:12 110:5
317:4,4	141:20,23	121:24 124:25	111:5 114:8
understand	151:19 161:3	125:23 133:7	265:5 278:7
5:19 7:8,17	166:14,19	145:22 162:9	284:12
11:8,13,18,23	167:5,7 170:7	172:14 174:1	unknown 92:8
12:4,5 25:6	174:5 175:18	175:2 192:17	93:3 94:17
	1	1	

unknowns	40:6,13,21	218:7 219:6	usmc 125:15
189:9	41:2,8 45:18	220:17 222:16	140:9 144:13
unpublished	45:20 55:5	223:20,21	usually 250:19
253:10	61:22 91:13	230:8 232:24	utility 61:10
unsafe 37:9	97:18 138:14	239:6 240:10	63:19 64:23
unsaturated	158:18 185:20	241:25 246:22	204:10
26:23,24 27:6	187:24 188:1	252:22 260:6,7	v
36:17 278:22	207:21,22	260:12 263:21	v 4:25
279:1,8,13,20	209:4 210:11	265:7 267:16	va 135:2 138:6
281:9	221:3 223:14	317:18,18	139:13 148:25
unstable 36:20	233:4 234:5	320:9	158:16
untimely 125:9	235:7 238:13	useful 91:12	vacation 294:3
unusual 265:24	242:2 251:20	128:16 144:8	294:6
update 296:9	263:5,20 267:8	144:12 256:7	valid 252:20
updated 23:12	268:23 292:1	usepa 41:19	260:3 276:16
23:15 314:15	307:21 313:6	42:21 252:19	311:2 312:4
updates 127:11	used 16:24 30:5	user 84:4,14	valuable
127:11	30:6,7,11	uses 184:7	296:18 308:1
upload 21:22	32:13 39:5	usga 118:4	value 210:20
158:5 200:12	40:2 46:4 47:8	usgs 41:13 42:2	219:13 228:18
uploaded	49:13,24 51:15	43:7 44:2,5	232:18 242:10
200:14 253:14	52:9 55:6	118:4,11,13	251:3 260:9
uploading	56:22,23 64:7	using 10:22	263:5,14,21
21:18	64:22 67:7	25:21 36:14	266:13 267:22
uppercase	74:4 88:8 98:2	39:2,15 41:24	270:19 272:21
121:13	117:15 121:13	95:15,18,18	272:25 310:23
urban 41:9	121:22 136:4,7	121:21 142:20	values 212:14
urgency 103:17	136:17 145:7	192:5 210:6	260:6 262:24
109:10	149:24 178:21	242:15 243:23	265:20 266:10
usa 5:3	179:25 185:11	251:12 261:4	267:20 270:22
usc 140:9	190:20 191:8	266:7,10,19	270:23 271:8
usdoj.gov 2:24	191:13 196:17	267:20 287:17	272:16 278:10
3:5,9,13	196:18 197:15	312:15,18	valve 166:2
use 34:18 36:24	203:9 206:13	313:24	valves 63:21
37:1 39:24	207:24 211:22		, ui (U) . 2 1

[vanessa - want] Page 89

	Trotomon 1/1/0	view 131:24	wog 275.12 17
vanessa 292:23 293:3	veteran 144:8 veterans		wag 275:12,17 wait 8:14
		266:13,24	
vapor 27:7	127:21 133:21	267:23 268:15	125:10 200:17
variable 227:22	133:25 139:21	278:17	waiting 126:10
variably 26:22	144:12,13,20	village 178:6	waive 321:16
variations	144:23 145:17	vinyl 168:2	walk 59:24
203:11	145:18	187:20 199:10	150:24 166:5
varied 77:25	viable 91:12	261:2	183:17
128:20	243:12,24	violated 275:11	wallace 3:21
variety 95:18	244:8	276:5	36:22
various 110:11	vice 278:8	violates 281:12	walski 238:7
201:17 272:16	vicinities	violating	walter 110:10
290:5	199:24 326:4	276:15	116:17,20,21
vary 189:13	vicinity 181:19	visit 101:9	119:12 238:7
vast 14:25	225:13 231:2	voc 57:6 101:16	walters 3:15,16
vc 261:2	260:2 325:12	101:22 102:6	6:14
velocity 230:25	326:16	140:15 153:9	want 7:11
verbally 8:9	victor 235:1	173:11 175:19	12:13 35:8
verbatim 169:6	236:4	202:16,19	61:15 65:19,23
verbiage	video 4:3	vocs 102:17	81:15 83:13
317:18	videoconfere	140:14 151:12	91:10 102:19
verification	2:4,16 3:7,11	151:21 155:17	109:7 122:11
240:18	3:18,20,21	156:12 157:21	136:9 150:23
versa 278:8	videographer	165:11	153:21 158:3
version 23:12	3:15 4:1,9 66:3	volatile 50:9	170:24 183:8
139:4 149:4	66:6 82:2,9	139:23 151:11	201:9 209:4
158:18 319:3	120:1,4 148:11	164:7	222:7 232:5
versions 16:8	148:14 179:9	volume 60:18	234:11 239:7
16:20	179:12 221:14	62:6,11 204:15	241:20,21
versus 18:16	221:17 258:14	206:2 218:10	244:11 257:13
26:25 226:4	258:17,20,23	W	262:24 263:10
227:7 240:19	313:8,11		268:6 270:22
243:7 260:12	321:12	w 194:25 waddell 309:21	271:4 285:11
281:5	videotaped	309:24	291:22 298:19
	1:10	309.24	302:4 313:18

[want - water] Page 90

313:21,22,25	waste 174:6	99:17 100:6,18	153:25 154:1,6
316:9 317:2	216:1 235:7	100:22 101:5	154:9,10,15,20
318:8,21 320:7	236:6 239:19	101:15,19,21	154:21,24
wanted 15:22	wastewater	102:15 103:8	155:3,6,8,13,15
38:10 45:20	233:6 237:2,25	104:11,22,23	155:21 156:5,9
50:12 57:8	239:6,16	105:1,22 106:2	156:11,19,21
60:17 68:8	water 1:4 4:4	106:9,13	156:24,25
76:20 84:24	11:15 14:9,24	107:10,22,25	157:9,13,14,17
86:5 97:18	20:15,20 26:15	108:2,16	157:22 160:25
104:6 106:19	27:5,7,9,10	109:17,21	161:6,7,23
120:20 125:16	28:22 37:1	111:8,21,22	162:20,21
125:18,22	40:8,11,22	112:1,21 114:3	163:1,3,6,10,10
144:4 146:3	41:1,5,20 42:4	114:22 115:2	163:12 164:1,3
148:24 150:7	42:4,7,22,23	116:23 117:4,6	164:16 166:2
151:18 162:7	43:16 44:11,19	117:10,18	166:13,16,23
166:4 179:20	44:20 45:22,25	118:2,8,17	167:2,10 168:8
189:16 200:23	46:1,10,10	119:12 120:17	168:23 169:16
210:8 212:19	56:5,13,23	122:14 126:10	169:22 172:1
214:9 223:17	57:3,9,13,17	126:13 127:12	175:20 176:4
225:3 226:15	60:12,18,19	128:3,15	176:11 177:19
232:19 241:3	61:6,10,10	133:23 134:1	178:14,14,15
241:10 243:20	62:7,11,17	134:13 136:3	178:20,20,22
248:7 253:9	63:14,18,20	136:15 137:1	179:24,24
256:15 257:1	64:7,19,21,23	139:24,25	180:1 181:18
258:2 261:6	64:24,25 65:4	140:9,11,13,24	182:21 183:19
264:9 265:3	67:10,12 72:21	141:8,13,21,23	183:20,22,24
266:17 269:20	73:24 74:6	144:14,18,25	184:16,18,19
270:8 272:1	83:18 85:13,14	145:5 146:22	184:20 186:6,8
282:23 283:20	85:25 86:3	147:3 149:2,12	188:10 189:10
290:4 302:1	88:6,17 89:5	149:17,18	189:23 190:4
305:17	90:20 91:3,19	150:10 151:1,2	190:10,15
warning 308:8	92:2,8 93:3	151:5,7,9,12,17	191:11 196:13
washington	94:17,20,25	151:20 152:10	199:22,24
2:23 3:4,8,12	95:1,7,9,23	152:11 153:2,7	200:10,24,25
35:16 254:6	97:15 98:2	153:8,9,10,11	201:25 202:1

Page 91 [water - win]

202.10.204.10			196.9 107.9 11
203:10 204:10	watermodeling	website 81:9	186:8 197:8,11
204:15 205:25	82:7 253:17	126:2 127:10	197:19 198:22
206:3,3 207:13	273:17 283:17	128:23 138:2	200:25 201:5
207:19,19,20	287:25 292:13	138:17,25	203:14,21
209:19,20	294:18 324:17	141:16 149:17	204:11,14,17
210:19 223:18	327:5,13,17,21	150:10,10	229:1,7,22,23
225:12 231:19	327:25 328:4	152:1 165:1	229:24,25
231:20 232:6	waterworks	245:17 311:7	230:13,24,25
233:2,4,8,17,23	211:24	315:3	232:25 233:9
234:2,10 237:3	watkins 178:6	week 13:22	233:12 234:23
237:7,15,16	way 8:15 30:1	24:17,18	238:9,10
238:2,9,12,21	37:12 39:25	110:13 121:20	went 31:19
239:9,9,12,14	54:16 60:13	238:23	44:5 114:24
239:20,21	74:1 107:18	weighed 218:10	117:18 149:7
240:1,2,4,5,7,7	112:24 121:3	weird 81:18	152:24 164:15
240:19,23	156:6 202:24	weitz 2:7	201:14 204:14
249:9 254:3,10	218:13 227:20	weitzlux.com	205:20 210:2
255:11,12	232:9 233:25	2:10	220:15 228:14
256:5 257:12	234:1 250:16	welcome 65:20	231:22 233:17
258:9 259:13	265:8 266:2	65:21 199:7	238:11,12
259:14 261:19	268:14 289:7	wells 40:3 41:6	244:4 272:12
261:20,21	289:22	43:18 46:9	280:15 284:24
264:19 265:18	ways 39:5	64:25 107:10	307:20 317:22
271:1,2 275:7	146:24,25	161:6 169:14	319:3
279:14,18	207:18 312:19	175:7,11,14,17	west 217:5
280:14 283:9	we've 10:7	175:25,25	weston 217:5
288:10 291:9	65:19 76:5	176:5,9,11,13	whatsoever
296:19 298:2	114:13 183:19	176:16,17,20	314:25
306:13 308:16	184:22 243:8	177:2,7,12,18	widespread
310:11,16	313:7	178:10 180:11	123:16
312:1 315:7,16	weapons 69:7	180:12,14,18	wife 291:23
315:25 317:4	weather 308:7	180:18 181:3	william 29:18
317:17 324:25	web 165:8	181:10 182:22	willing 23:18
325:10,25	webpage 165:8	183:3 185:23	win 268:13
326:3,14	314:14	185:24,25	

withdraw	276.11 277.2	29.20.20.16	59.21 50.2
43:19 89:20	276:11 277:3	38:20 39:16 41:12 43:6,8	58:21 59:3 65:1 75:1
	285:17,18	,	
withdrawn	286:4 289:14	44:1 45:12	80:22 98:12
43:17	290:15 291:1	46:12 47:5,7	111:21 113:3
witness 4:8,12	297:11 299:1	49:8,18,22	114:6 116:14
11:20 13:10,13	299:14 301:5	51:6 52:3,12	117:6,10
19:3,5,9 22:16	306:25 307:7	53:5 55:13	284:11 312:1
22:18 30:1	309:17 311:5	56:7,11 57:19	320:17
34:17 93:19	311:25 312:9	58:19 59:6	working 39:7
94:19 95:12,18	313:4 321:4,10	66:16,21 68:9	49:25 70:14
129:5,11,17	321:14 322:11	68:13 69:19,20	110:6 116:25
132:3 133:10	witnessed 42:4	70:8,9,11 73:7	127:14 147:17
137:22 145:11	woessner 29:18	75:9,12 76:8	198:20 256:19
147:12 148:10	won 305:11	78:17 79:1	306:19 311:24
150:15 152:16	wondering	80:11 84:3,5	314:20 319:19
155:20 156:15	305:13	91:6 93:24	works 296:5
160:18 163:23	woodstock 79:2	97:4,7,9 99:19	303:5
164:6 169:25	79:11,13	99:21 100:5,9	workshops
170:9,16 171:4	word 136:7	100:13 101:7	118:12
171:9 179:7	239:6	106:5 107:17	world 195:15
190:3 191:11	words 11:23	118:6,7,25,25	198:12 199:1
194:24 200:16	173:24 197:16	119:20 121:25	279:9
200:22 202:23	203:23 206:3	144:23 162:5	worries 179:8
203:7 205:1	208:25 214:1	183:9 192:3	worry 291:25
209:24 211:22	221:2,7 224:4	244:25 249:12	would've
213:19,23,25	228:13 230:22	252:4 259:19	143:18
214:5,20,23	249:10 251:12	262:12 283:9	write 142:9,12
215:1 220:24	251:13 256:15	296:1,14,15,19	246:24 254:7
221:12 225:9	270:16 271:21	298:16 302:9	274:20 293:7
226:24 230:21	273:11 298:15	307:16 312:2,6	writing 91:9
231:17 236:19	300:14	315:15,18	258:8
237:6 239:4,9	work 21:9	316:17	written 142:4
246:9 249:19	22:15 25:16	worked 4:20	269:2
251:8 261:24	26:18 28:11,13	26:21 30:22	wrong 241:9
264:15 275:22	29:5 35:1 38:2	49:6,20 51:21	283:13

[wrote - zooming] Page 93

wrote 99:19	264:22 268:7	280:3,14 281:9
118:25 262:15	268:19 280:13	zones 278:22
262:19	281:20 303:24	279:1
X	308:13,25	zoom 5:9 6:8
x 323:1,11	313:23 314:6	6:15
xylenes 102:8	year 26:3,4	zoomed 138:10
	55:16 74:1,1	zooming
y	76:15,16 105:2	137:23
y 110:14	105:6,24 106:5	
yeah 6:25 7:1	106:19,20	
9:14 12:22	134:9 268:21	
19:1,7 22:14	286:10,13	
24:14 32:1	294:5	
71:13 78:24	years 27:2	
79:8 80:25	30:21 38:13	
81:14 82:24,24	41:2 42:6	
82:24 83:1	48:15,17 49:3	
92:14 94:5	65:6 71:11	
96:8 106:7	78:13 99:5	
121:1 129:4,8	112:11,11	
131:7 132:17	126:20 245:6	
139:16 142:22	278:2 296:11	
147:19 158:14	296:12 298:5,7	
160:1 163:23	yep 10:4	
166:10 171:3	yesterday	
171:14,17	316:12,18	
173:4 182:2	york 2:9 41:17	
184:5 198:4	young 2:4	
200:22 201:6	119:4	
206:18 207:2		-
213:6,11 215:5	Z	-
216:22 219:14	zero 186:12	
223:10 225:8	208:25 228:20	
229:13,15	267:2 268:9,13	
259:10 264:13	zone 27:6,7	
	279:2,8,13,20	
-		•